1 2 3 4 5 6	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California RODERICK E. WALSTON Chief Assistant Attorney General THEODORA BERGER Assistant Attorney General CRAIG C. THOMPSON EDWARD G. WEIL SUSAN S. FIERING Deputy Attorneys General 2101 Webster Street Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: (510) 286-1364					
8	Attorneys for the People of the State o	f California .				
9	CURERTOR COURS OF MUE CHAME	OR ON TROPNIA				
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE					
11	COUNTY OF SAN FRAN	CISCO				
12						
13	rel. DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney	No. 938430				
14	General of the State of California,	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANTS BACCARAT,				
15	Plaintiffs,	INC.; COMPAGNIE DES CRISTALLERIES DE				
16	v.	BACCARAT (SUED HEREIN AS DOE 4); COMPAGNIE				
17	BACCARAT, INC.; et al.,	DES CRISTALLERIES DE SAINT LOUIS (SUED				
18	Defendants.	HEREIN AS ST. LOUIS); COMPAGNIE FRANCISE DU				
19		CRISTAL-DAUM (SUED HEREIN AS DAUM				
20		CORPORATION); LALIQUE S.A. (SUED HEREIN AS				
21	1. <u>Introduction</u>	LALIQUE)				
22	1.1. On May 16, 1991, the People of the State of					
23	California, ex rel. Daniel E. Lungren, ("People") filed a					
24	complaint for Civil Penalties and Injunctive Relief ("Complaint")					
25	in San Francisco County Superior Court. Baccarat, Inc.,					
26	Compagnie Francaise du Cristal-Daum (sued herein as Daum					
27	Corporation), Lalique S.A. (sued herein	as Lalique) and Compagnie				

Francaise de Saint Louis (sued herein as Saint Louis)
("Defendants"), have accepted service of this Complaint.

- 1.2. Defendants are corporations that employ more than ten persons and offer leaded crystal decanters for sale within the State of California.
- 1.3. People's Complaint alleges that Defendants, through the sale of leaded crystal decanters to consumers in California, violated provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code sections 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), and Business and Professions Code sections 17200 et seq. ("Unfair Competition Act"), by knowingly exposing persons to a chemical known to the State of California to cause reproductive toxicity, without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals.
- 1.4. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint.
- 1.6. The parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full settlement of disputed claims between the parties as alleged in the Complaint for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. By execution of this Consent Judgment, Defendants do not admit any violations of Proposition 65 or the Unfair

Competition Act. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy or defense Defendants may have in any other or future legal proceedings. However, this paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities and duties of Defendants under this Consent Judgment.

2. Injunctive Relief - Warning Program.

- 2.1. Clear and reasonable warning that use of leaded crystal decanters exposes persons to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm, shall be provided by the Defendants in the manner provided in this Consent Judgment. Upon receiving the written agreement of the Attorney General's Office, a Defendant may provide warnings in an alternative manner to the requirements of this Consent Judgment.
- 2.2 No warnings shall be required pursuant to this Consent Judgment for a particular size, shape or configuration of leaded crystal decanter in any product line where a Defendant has provided the Attorney General's Office with adequate data, including Quality Assurance/Quality Control information, demonstrating that there is no detectable leaching of lead from all such decanters then available for sale in California in tests performed according to the protocol set forth in the attached

Exhibit A, at least sixty (60) days in advance of making such product available for sale in the State of California, unless the Attorney General notifies the Defendant in writing that the State objects to the claims made for the data within that sixty (60) day period. If the Attorney General objects to modification of the warning within sixty (60) days from the date of receipt of all required data, then Defendants may apply to the Court by noticed motion for an order modifying the Consent Judgment and the Consent Judgment shall be modified as to the leaded crystal decanter tested if the Court determines that the data are valid and that the tests were performed according to the appropriate protocol set forth in Exhibit A.

2.3 By no later than March 30, 1996 each Defendant or an entity acting on its behalf, shall mail to the central purchasing office for all distributors and retail stores with whom it transacts business for sale in California of leaded crystal decanters for which warnings are required: 1) At least five copies of the sign contained in Exhibit B ("Warning Sign") printed on 65-pound cover stock. The Warning Sign shall be 8-1/2" by 11" in size and shall have the exact content, form, and print style as Exhibit B. 2) A letter explaining the warning program and providing posting instructions. The letter and warning instructions referred to in this Paragraph shall contain the text shown in Exhibit C, and shall contain no further information or statements without advance written approval of the Attorney General's Office. Said items shall be sent to all retail customers regardless of size, by certified mail, return

receipt requested.

- 2.4 In the event that a defendant does not receive a return receipt from the certified mailing referred to in paragraph 2.3 above, the defendant shall contact the distributor or retail store to verify the address and remail the material referred to in paragraph 2.3 above by certified mail, return receipt requested.
- 2.5 Any defendant who has already sent out a copy of the warning sign contained in Exhibit B and a letter of explanation pursuant to the settlement in Mangini v. Action Industries, et al., Superior Court for the City and County of San Francisco, Consolidated Nos. 932724, 931884, 938173, shall be deemed to have complied with the requirements of paragraphs 2.3 and 2.4 above as to the distributors and retail stores that received the warning sign and letter of explanation.
- 2.6 In the event that a defendant transacts business with a new distributor or retail store after the date of this settlement, it shall send the Warning Sign (Exhibit B) and letter (Exhibit C) to the new company in the manner set forth in paragraphs 2.3 and 2.4 above prior to shipping any leaded crystal decanters to the new distributor or retail store.
- 2.7 Warning Signs shall be placed in each California retail establishment in which any of the Defendants' leaded crystal decanters are sold by no later than April 15, 1996. Where a retail establishment has already posted and continues to post a warning sign pursuant to the terms of the settlement in Mangini v. Action Industries, et al., Superior Court for the City and

County of San Francisco, Consolidated Nos. 932724, 931884, 938173, it shall be deemed to have complied with the requirements of this paragraph. Where a retail establishment sells only leaded crystal decanters that do not require a warning, it is not required to post the Warning Sign. Warning Signs shall not be covered or obscured, and shall be placed and displayed in a manner rendering them likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase. Specifically, such signs shall be placed as follows:

- a. Department Stores or other stores with separate check-out for tableware department: For a store selling leaded crystal decanters in a physically separate department or section, which contains cash registers within such department or section that are used primarily to purchase items sold in that department or section, signs shall be placed at each cash register in that section or department, and at a minimum of two additional locations where leaded crystal decanters are displayed within the section or department;
- b. Large stores without a separate check-out for tableware department: Any store that sells leaded crystal decanters and has more than 7,500 square feet of floor space and that uses one or more check-out stands for all merchandise purchased at the store, shall post a single sign at each location where leaded crystal decanters are displayed, plus as many additional signs as are necessary to assure that any potential purchaser of tableware would be reasonably likely to see a Warning Sign.

1.3

- 2.8 By no later than March 30, 1996 each Defendant, or an entity acting on its behalf, shall mail to the central purchasing office for each mail order house which it knows or has reason to know sells such a Defendant's leaded crystal decanters for which warnings are required to residents of California by mail: 1) a copy of the Warning Sign and 2) a letter explaining the warning program and providing instructions for distribution of the warning to California consumers. The letter and instructions referred to in this paragraph shall contain the text shown in Exhibit D and shall contain no further information or statements without the advance written approval of the California Attorney General's Office. Said items shall be sent to all mail order houses regardless of size, by certified mail, return receipt requested.
- 2.9 In the event that a defendant does not receive a return receipt from the certified mailing referred to in paragraph 2.8

above, the defendant shall contact the company to verify the address and remail the material referred to in paragraph 2.8 above by certified mail, return receipt requested.

- 2.10 In the event that a defendant transacts business with a mail order company after the date of this settlement, it shall send the Warning Sign (Exhibit B) and letter (Exhibit D) to the new mail order company in the manner set forth in paragraphs 2.8 and 2.9 above prior to shipping any leaded crystal decanters to the new mail order company.
- 2.11 Any defendant who has already sent out a copy of the warning sign contained in Exhibit B and a letter of explanation pursuant to the settlement in Mangini v. Action Industries, et al., Superior Court for the City and County of San Francisco, Consolidated Nos. 932724, 931884, 938173, shall be deemed to have complied with the requirements of paragraphs 2.8 and 2.9 above as to the mail order companies that received the warning sign and letter of explanation.
- 2.12 Where a Defendant's leaded crystal decanters for which warnings are required are available for sale by mail order to residents of the State of California, clear and reasonable warning shall be provided by no later than April 15, 1996 by including a warning, either in the mail order catalog or brochure or with the leaded crystal decanters when it is shipped to California customers, as follows:
- a. Mail Order Catalog or Brochure. The following warning message shall be stated within the catalog or brochure, on the inside front cover, on the same page as any order form, or

on the same page as the price, in at least 12 point type: "Prop 65 WARNING: Use of the leaded crystal decanters for sale in this catalog or brochure will expose you to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm." If not all of the items for sale in the catalog or brochure require a warning, the following shall be used as an alternative to the preceding warning message: "Prop 65 WARNING: Use of the following brands of leaded crystal decanters for sale in this catalog or brochure will expose you to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm: [Insert List of Brand Names and Exceptions]."

b. Package Insert or Label. Alternatively, a warning may be provided with the leaded crystal decanters when they are shipped, by (a) inserting a card or slip of paper measuring at least 4" by 6" in the shipping carton, (b) affixing a pressure-sensitive label measuring at least standard business-card size on the face of the shipping carton, or (c) printing the warning on the packing slip or customer invoice identifying the leaded crystal decanters in lettering of the same size as the description of the leaded crystal decanters. The warning shall read as follows: "Prop 65 WARNING: Use of this product will expose you to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. You may return this product for a full refund within 30 days of receipt, if you wish. You also may obtain a list of each brand of leaded crystal decanters sold by this company for which the same warning

is given." Upon request of the person receiving that warning, the mail order house shall provide a list of each brand of leaded crystal decanter sold by that mail-order house for which a warning is required.

- 2.13 Where a mail order company has already provided and continues to provide a warning pursuant to the terms of the settlement in Mangini v. Action Industries, et al., Superior Court for the City and County of San Francisco, Consolidated Nos. 932724, 931884, 938173, it shall be deemed to have complied with the requirements of paragraph 2.12 above.
- 2.14 Any Defendant that has complied with the terms of Paragraphs 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.8, 2.9, 2.10, 2.11 of this Consent Judgment shall not be found to have violated this Consent Judgment where a retail store, distributor, mail order supplier, or any other person required to provide Proposition 65 warnings for leaded crystal decanters fails to provide such warnings.

3. <u>Penalties and Costs</u>

3.1. Within thirty (30) days of execution of this Consent Judgment, Defendants shall pay a civil penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b) as follows: Baccarat - \$19,700; Daum - \$3,200; Lalique - \$2,100; St. Louis - \$1,250. Payment shall be made by delivery of certified funds payable to the Attorney General of the State of California to 2101 Webster Street, 12th Floor, Oakland, California, 94612-3049 (Attn: Edward G. Weil, Deputy Attorney General).

4. Litigation Costs

4.1. Within thirty (30) days of execution of this Consent

Judgment, Defendants shall pay the following sums of money to the Attorney General as reimbursement for the costs of investigating and prosecuting this action: Baccarat - \$9,844; Daum - \$1,600; Lalique - \$1,040; St. Louis - \$600. Payment shall be made by delivery of certified funds payable to the Attorney General of the State of California to 2101 Webster Street, 12th Floor, Oakland, California, 94612-3049 (Attn: Edward G. Weil, Deputy Attorney General).

5. Additional Enforcement Actions; Continuing Obligations

5.1. By entering into this Consent Judgment, the People do not waive any right to take further enforcement actions on any violations not covered by the Consent Judgment and Complaint.

Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as diminishing each Defendant's continuing obligation to comply with Proposition 65 or the Unfair Competition Act in its future activities.

6. Enforcement of Consent Judgment

before the Superior Court of San Francisco, enforce the terms and conditions contained in the Consent Judgment. In any action brought by People to enforce the Consent Judgment, People may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties or remedies are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment; and where said violations of this Consent Judgment constitute subsequent violations of Proposition 65 or other laws independent of the Consent Judgment and/or those alleged in the Complaint, People are not limited to enforcement of the Consent Judgment, but may

seek in another action whatever fines, costs, penalties or remedies are provided for by law for failure to comply with Proposition 65 or other laws.

7. Application of Consent Judgment

7.1. The Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon the parties, their divisions, subdivisions, and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

8.0 Application of Testing Standard and Protocol

8.1 The testing standard and protocol set forth in the Exhibit A is based on determinations concerning the nature of the laboratory test used and its relationship to actual and specific conditions of decanter use. This Judgment, including but not limited to this standard and protocol, is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the parties, for purposes of settling, compromising and resolving issues disputed in this action, including future compliance by the defendants with Section 2 of this Judgment, and shall not be used for any other purpose, or in any other matter and shall not constitute an adoption or employment of a method of analysis for a listed chemical in a specific medium as set forth in 22 C.C.R. section 12901(b).

9. Authority to Stipulate to Consent Judgment

9.1. Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the party represented and legally to bind that party.

10. Claims Covered

10.1. This Consent Judgment is a final and binding resolution between the People and each defendant of any alleged violation of Proposition 65 and of Business and Professions Code Sections 17200 et seq. up through the date of execution of this agreement, arising from failure to warn of exposure to lead from use of any decanters manufactured by the named Defendants or any corporate affiliate, that was committed by the named Defendants or by any entity within its chain of distribution, including, but not limited to, distributors, wholesalers and retailers of those decanters.

11. Retention of Jurisdiction

11.1. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement the Consent Judgment.

12. Execution in Counterparts

12.1. The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one and the same document.

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

Dated: //b , 1996 DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney
General of the State of
California
RODERICK E. WALSTON
Chief Assistant Attorney General
THEODORA BERGER
Assistant Attorney General
CRAIG C. THOMPSON
EDWARD G. WEIL
SUSAN S. FIERING
Deputy Attorneys General

y: //

SUSAN S. FIERING
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for People

1		
2	Dated:	KELLER AND HECKMAN JEROME H. HECKMAN
3		RALPH A. SIMMONS GEORGE G. MISKO
4		Q ->1/
5	Ву	GEORGE G. MICHO
6		Attorneys for Defendants
7	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND	DECREED.
8		
9	·	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
10		·
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	·	
23		
24		
25		
26		•
27		

EXHIBIT A

EXHIBIT A

LEAD TESTING PROTOCOL FOR DECANTERS

Introduction

The purpose of this protocol is to set forth an analytical method, including appropriate specifications and test conditions, that may be routinely used to assess whether decanters are suitable under Proposition 65 with respect to the potential for lead migration.

Samples

Each company shall test, at a minimum, six randomly selected samples of the "worst case" decanter type of a particular "product line" using "unused decanters" for each experiment. If any of the six samples test above the detection limit of 25 ppb, then each company shall either provide the Proposition 65 warning or shall test a number of randomly selected "worst case" decanters sufficient to establish that, after accounting for the deviation among the results of the sampled decanters, there is a 95% statistical confidence that the test result of the actual population from which the sample is drawn is less than or equal to the 5 ppb level.

A "product line" is defined as a group of decanters manufactured by the same process, which is composed of pieces of the same general design and appearance, but may have some variation with regards to size or shape. The "worst case" decanter type of a particular product line is the article type that is shipped for sale in California at the time of testing that is likely to generate the highest lead concentration result on the lead leaching test based on criteria such as surface to volume ratio. An "unused decanter" is one that has not been previously extracted or washed with any medium subsequent to the manufacturing process, except as provided in the testing procedure described below.

Once the worst case decanter type of a particular product line has been identified, the actual test articles shall be randomly selected using any generally accepted random sampling method such as International Standards Organization 2859-1 (1989), or any random method described in <u>Quality Control Handbook</u>, J.M. Juran, Ed. (3d Edition, 1962), Chapter 24.

The lot, batch, or other group from which the worst case decanter type to be tested are drawn must be representative of the entire population of the worst case decanter type of that product line manufactured in the calendar year or since the date of the last test. In order to accomplish this, a manufacturer must insure that its manufacturing process for a particular worst case decanter type chosen for testing from the product line did not change during the calendar year or since the last test. A manufacturing process change will be deemed to have occurred if there is a material change in the manufacturing process that is reasonably expected to affect lead migration in any manner from

15.

4 5

_

q

,

. .

the decanter to beverages which may be in contact with it or if there is a material change in any physical properties of the decanter, including but not limited to an increase in the surface area of the decanter in relation to the decanter volume by more than ten percent, that is reasonably expected to affect lead migration in any manner. If there is such a change, the manufacturer shall retest the product in accordance with the testing program to determine whether warnings are required.

If any of the six decanters tested show results above the detection limit of 25 ppb, or if the number of decanters tested do not establish that, after accounting for the deviation among the results of the sampled decanters, there is a 95% statistical confidence that the test result of the actual population from which the sample is drawn is less than or equal to the 5 ppb level, the entire product line represented by those decanters shall be deemed to require a warning pursuant to Proposition 65.

Food-Simulating Liquid

Four percent acetic acid solution should be used to simulate or slightly exaggerate alcoholic beverages. Before performing the extraction studies, the background level of lead in the test liquid should be determined.

Time/Temperature

The decanters should be thoroughly rinsed with water containing a mild detergent, followed by distilled water, and then thoroughly dried. The decanters should then be filled to 1 cm below the stopper area with 4% acetic acid at 22 degrees centigrade and the stopper reinserted. The filled decanters should be stored at this temperature for 24 hours.

Analytical Methodology

Analysis should be performed using an atomic absorption spectrometer, having a limit of detection (LOD) for lead of approximately 25 parts per billion (i.e. 25 ug/L) or lower. Where samples of the decanter test liquid exhibit lead levels below the LOD, these samples should be spiked by the addition of a standard lead solution to a concentration of approximately 25 parts per billion to validate the detection limit. Where samples of the decanter test liquid exhibit lead levels about the LOD, at least one such sample should be spiked by the addition of a standard lead solution to a concentration about twice that of the unspiked sample, and the percentage recovery of lead determined and reported.

Quality Control/Quality Assurance

As part of the testing program, each company shall maintain

the following records, or require by contract that any laboratory conducting testing shall maintain the following records and will provide them to the company upon request:

A. Records kept in the normal course of business showing the maintenance and calibration of equipment used to conduct the testing program;

B. Individual test results of all tests conducted as part of the Testing Program;

Provided that the company shall not be required to maintain the

above records for any test for more than two years after that

6 test was conducted.

EXHIBIT B

PROP 65 WARRING

LEADED CRYSTAL

Consuming foods or beverages that have been kept or served in the following brands of leaded crystal exposes you to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm:

ACC/RACC	Ceska	Doulton	Inn	Noritake	Royal Monarch	Tiffany & Co.*
Action	Christian Dior	International	Irena	Ofnah	Saint Louis	Tiffin
Industries/	Christopher	Dublin	Irgia	Oneida	Sasaki	Tipperary
International	Stuart	Edinburgh	Jonal	Orrefors*	Savoir Vivre	Toscany
American Glass	Cristal De	Emerald Isle	Kagami	Oscar De	Schonwald	Classics
Works	Sevres	Euport	Kosta Boda*	La Renta	Schott	Tudor
Annahutte	Cristallerie	Fabrege	Lalique	Pasabahce	Sculptured	Tyrol*
Astral	Zwiesel	Fifth Avenue*	Legacy	Polo/Ralph	Slovakia	Tyrone
Atlantis	Cristalleries de	Fostoria	Lenox	Lauren*	Spiegelau	Val Saint
Baccarat*	Lorraine CL	Gallia	Leupold	Primavera Di	St. Brendan	Lambert
Badash	Crystal Clear	Galway	Lorri	Cristallo	St. George	Vienna Design
Beyer	Crystal Legends	Godinger	LS Collection	Riedel	Stefan	Villeroy &
Boĥemia	Colle	Gorham	Magic	Rogaska	Stolichnaya	Boch
Byrdes	Colony	Hermes	Marc Aurel	Rosenthal	Stuart	Waterford
C.A.L.P.	Dansk	Hofbauer	Marquis	Royal Albert	Studio Nova	Wedgwood
Capri	Dartington	Home Beautiful	Maxwell	Royal Brierley	Stylesetters	West Virginia
Cartier	Daum	Hoya	Mikasa	Royal Crystal	Svend Jensen	WMF
Castle	DaVinci	Illusions	Miller Rogaska	Rock (RCR)	Thieresenthal	Zwiesel Glass
Cavan	Design Guild	Imperlite	Mozart	Royal Doulton	Thomas	
Cazlor	Devon	Imperlux	Nachtmann	Royal Gallery	Thomas Webb	

^{*}This warning does not apply to: Baccarat decanters, flacons, stoppered pitchers, mustard and jam pots; Fifth Avenue Crystal LTD "Reef" collection; Kosta Boda stemware and serveware; Orrefors stemware and other grey labeled products; Polo/Rulph Lauren "Emma" and "Chairman" patterns; Tiffany & Co. 'sic Wineglass Collection; and Tyrol glassware and Ouverture stems -e.

EXHIBIT C

1

2

RETAILER INSTRUCTIONS

EXHIBIT C

3

.

R

.

6

5

7

8

9

. .

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

RE: Court-ordered Warnings for Leaded Crystal Tableware

Dear Retailer:

Important materials concerning the need to provide courtordered warnings for certain leaded crystal tableware products are attached to this letter. This only applies to products that are used for serving or storing food or beverages. Warnings are not required for figurines, vases and other items which are not designed for use with food or beverages. It is very important that you read and follow the instructions enclosed with this letter.

Enclosed are two very important items:

- 1. Signs for posting in your store <u>if</u>, <u>and only if</u>, <u>you</u> <u>currently sell any leaded crystal tableware for which a warning is required</u>. The sign lists certain brands of leaded crystal tableware products for which a warning under Proposition 65 is to be provided.
- 2. Instructions for posting these signs.

If you do not post these signs by April 15, 1996 as required, you risk further legal action by the Attorney General or others, in which monetary penalties could be sought.

These materials are being provided by leaded crystal tableware manufacturers and distributors as part of a courtapproved settlement of a legal action brought under "Proposition 65" by the Attorney General. In this legal action, the Attorney General claims that certain brands of leaded crystal tableware contain lead, which passes into food and drink kept or served in those items in excess of Proposition 65 warning levels. Lead is a chemical known to the State to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm, and the Attorney General claims that manufacturers and retailers of these products are legally required to provide consumers with a clear and reasonable warning of this exposure to lead. The companies sued by the Attorney General dispute these claims, but have agreed to take various actions to settle the case.

The leaded crystal tableware listed on the enclosed sign may be sold legally because they comply with international standards for lead release. They require a warning because they exceed the stricter lead exposure standards of Proposition 65.

Not all suppliers are participating in this court-ordered

warning program. Suppliers that are not participating in this program may be providing leaded crystal tableware that requires a Proposition 65 warning. You should contact your suppliers to determine if they are in compliance with Proposition 65, since failure to comply could subject you to the legal actions referred to above.

Here's what you need to do to comply with the court-ordered

warning program:

Ι,

1. Check the listing of brands on the enclosed warning sign, and see if any of the leaded crystal tableware sold in your store is listed as requiring a warning. If leaded crystal tableware sold in your store is on the list, then you must post the enclosed signs. If your supplier of another brand of leaded crystal tableware informs you that its products require a warning, then your must also provide warnings for those products. If leaded crystal tableware sold in your store is not on the list, and none of your suppliers inform you that their products

2. Post the signs. If any of the leaded crystal tableware sold in your store appears on the list, then your must post these signs by no later than April 15, 1996. Where you must post them depends on the type of store you operate. There are three types:

require a warning, then you do not need to provide warnings.

- a. Department stores with a separate check-out for the tableware department. If you sell leaded crystal tableware in a physically separate section with its own cash registers primarily used for that department, you must post the signs at each cash register in the tableware department and at two other conspicuous places in the tableware department.
- b. Large stores without separate check-out for tableware. If you have more than 7,500 square feet of floor space, post a sign conspicuously at each place where leaded crystal tableware is displayed, plus any additional signs needed to assure that any potential purchaser of leaded crystal tableware is likely to see one of the signs.
- Small stores with no separate check-out for tableware. If you have 7,500 square feet of floor space or less, you may choose either (a) or (b). You do not need to do both.

1

2

3

4

EXHIBIT D

MAIL ORDER HOUSE INSTRUCTIONS

5

RE: Court-ordered Warnings for Leaded Crystal Tableware Products

Dear Mail Order House:

7

8

This letter conveys important materials concerning the need to provide court-ordered warnings for certain leaded crystal tableware products offered for sale to customers in California. This only applies to products that are used for serving or storing food or beverages. Warnings are not required for figurines, vases and other items which are not designed for use with food and beverages. It is very important that you read and follow the instructions enclosed with this letter.

11 12

10

Enclosed are two very important items:

13

14

1. A sign listing certain brands of leaded crystal tableware that contain lead, and for which a warning under Proposition 65 is to be provided.

15

2. Instructions for providing warnings in either your catalogs or brochures <u>or</u> a warning accompanying your products when they are shipped to California customers.

17

16

These materials are being provided by leaded crystal
tableware manufacturers and distributors as part of a courtapproved settlement of a legal action bought under "Proposition
65" by the Attorney General. In this legal action, the Attorney
General claims that certain brands of leaded crystal tableware
contain lead, which passes into food and drink kept or served in
those items in excess of Proposition 65 warning levels. Lead is
a chemical known to the State to cause birth defects or other

21

reproductive harm, and the Attorney General claims that manufacturers and retailers of these products are legally required to provide consumers with a clear and reasonable warning of this exposure to lead. The companies sued by the Attorney

23

of this exposure to lead. The companies sued by the Attorney General dispute these claims, but have agreed to take various actions to settle the case.

24 25

If you do not provide these warnings by April 15, 1996 as required, you risk further legal action by the Attorney General or others, in which monetary penalties could be sought.

2627

The leaded crystal tableware contained on the list may be sold to california customers legally because it complies with all

international standards for lead release. They require a warning because they exceed the strict lead exposure standards of California's Proposition 65.

Not all suppliers are participating in this court-ordered warning program. Suppliers that are not participating in this program may be providing leaded crystal tableware products that require Proposition 65 warnings. You should contact your suppliers to determine if they are in compliance with Proposition 65, since failure to comply could subject you to the legal actions referred to above.

Sincerely,

EXHIBIT D

- 1				
١	MATI.	ORDER	WARNING	INSTRUCTIONS

message.

Here's what you need to do to comply with the court-ordered warning program:

 1. Check the listing of brands on the enclosed sign and see if any of the leaded crystal tableware you are offering for sale in California is listed as requiring a warning. If your leaded crystal tableware is on the list, or if your supplier of another brand of leaded crystal tableware informs you that its products require a warning, then you must provide warnings. If your leaded crystal tableware is not on the list, and none of your other suppliers inform you that its products require a warning, then you do not need to provide warnings.

ç

2. Where necessary, begin providing warning by no later than April 15, 1996. If you need to provide warnings you may do so in one of two ways.

a. Mail Order Catalog or Brochure. The following warning message shall be stated within the mail order catalog or brochure, on the inside front cover, on the same page as any order form, or on the same page as the price, in at least 12 point type:

"Prop 65 WARNING: Use of the leaded crystal tableware products for sale in this catalog or brochure will expose you to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm."

If not all of the items for sale in the catalog or brochure require a warning, the following shall be

used as an alternative to the preceding warning

"Prop 65 WARNING: Use of the following brands of leaded crystal tableware for sale in this catalog or brochure will expose you to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm: [Insert Listings of Brand Names and Exceptions]."

b. Package Insert or Label. Alternatively, a warning may be provided with the leaded crystal tableware requiring a warning by (a) inserting a card or slip of paper measuring at least 4" x 6" in the shipping carton, or (b) affixing a pressure-

sensitive label measuring at least standard business card size on the shipping carton, or (c) printing the warning on the packing slip or customer invoice identifying that leaded crystal tableware requiring a warning in letters of the same size as the description of the product. The warning shall read as follows: "Prop 65 WARNING: Use of this product will expose you to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. You may return this product for full refund within 30 days of receipt, if you wish. You may also obtain a list of each brand of leaded crystal tableware for which the same warning is given."

Upon request by the person receiving that warning, the mail order house shall provide a list of brands of leaded crystal tableware sold by you for which a warning is required.

Δ