1 2	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California HERSCHEL T. ELKINS, (SBN 27279)	
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General CHRISTINA V. TUSAN. (SBN 192203)	
4	Deputy Attorney General 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, California 90013	
5	Los Angeles, California 90013	
6	DOMALD A DEITED (CDM (2407)	
7	RONALD A. REITER, (SBN 62497) Supervising Deputy Attorney General 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000	
8	San Francisco, California 94102	
9		
10	Attorneys for the People of the State of California (Additional counsel for the Plaintiffs on following page)	
11	(
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF	
13	COUNTY OF SACRAMEN	ГО
14	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND KATHLEEN CONNELL, CONTROLLER OF	CASE NO.: 99AS02793
15	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	FINAL JUDGMENT
16	Plaintiffs,	
17 18	V.	
19	FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE INSURANCE (COMPANY; FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE (COMPANY)	
20	COMPANY; FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA, OUT OF THE PROPERTY OF T	
21	INC.; FIDELITY NATIONAL FINANCIAL, INC.; (1) ROCKY MOUNTAIN SUPPORT SERVICES, INC.; (2) ROCKY MOUNTAIN SUPPORT SERVICES, INC.; (2) ROCKY MOUNTAIN SUPPORT SERVICES, INC.; (3)	
22	FIDELITY NATIONAL LOAN PORTFOLIO () SERVICES; CALIFORNIA TRACKING SERVICE, () INC.; TICOR TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY; ()	
23	- SECURITY UNION TITLE INSURANCE	
24	COMPANY; CHICAGO TITLE COMPANY; CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY; CHICAGO TITLE AND TRUST COMPANY; and TITLE ACCOUNTING SERVICES	
25	TITLE ACCOUNTING SERVICES (CORPORATION;	
26	Defendants.	
27		
28		

1 2	TERENCE HALLINAN, District Attorney for the City and County of San Francisco DAVID A. PFEIFER, (SBN 127785)
3	JUNE D. CRAVETT, (SBN 105094) Assistant District Attorneys
4	732 Brannan Street San Francisco, California 94103
5	Suit Funcisco, Cumornia 71705
6	Attorneys for the People of the State of California
7	DENNIS HERRERA,
8	City Attorney for the City and County of San Francisco JOANNE HOEPER, (SBN 114961) Chief Trial Attorney
9	Chief Trial Attorney DONALD P. MARGOLIS, (SBN 116588)
10	Deputy City Attorney 1390 Market Street, 6 th Floor San Francisco, California 94102-5408
11	San Francisco, Camornia 94102-3408
12	Attorneys for the People of the State of California
13	Tittorneys for the reopie of the state of Camorina
14	KATHLEEN CONNELL, Controller for the State of California
15	RICHARD J. CHIVARO, (SBN 124391) Chief Counsel
16	300 Capitol Mall, Suite 1850 Sacramento, California 95814
17	
18	Attorneys for the California State Controller
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	ii

FINAL JUDGMENT

Plaintiff, the People of the State of California ("the People"), appeared through the Attorney General, Bill Lockyer, by Deputy Attorneys General Ronald A. Reiter and Christina V. Tusan; through the District Attorney of San Francisco, Terence Hallinan, by Assistant District Attorney June Cravett, and through the City Attorney of San Francisco, Dennis Herrera, by Deputy City Attorney Donald Margolis. Plaintiff Kathleen Connell, Controller of the State of California appeared through Chief Counsel, Richard J. Chivaro. Defendants Fidelity National Title Insurance Company, Fidelity National Title Company, Fidelity National Title Company, Fidelity National Financial, Inc., Rocky Mountain Support Services, Inc., Fidelity National Loan Portfolio Services, California Tracking Service, Inc. (hereafter collectively "Fidelity Title"), Ticor Title Insurance Company, Security Union Title Insurance Company, Chicago Title Company, Chicago Title Insurance Company, Chicago Title Accounting Services Corporation (hereafter collectively "Chicago") (hereafter Chicago and Fidelity Title are collectively referred to as "Fidelity") appeared through their attorneys Latham & Watkins, by Stephen Stublarec.

The Court having considered the Stipulation For Entry of Final Judgment executed by the parties and filed herewith, and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY AGREED, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

JURISDICTION

1. This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this action and of the parties. Venue as to all matters between the parties relating hereto lies in this Court.

INJUNCTION

2. The injunctive provisions of this judgment apply to Fidelity; its directors, officers, and shareholders; to the employees, representatives, agents, subsidiary and affiliated companies (regardless of the form of business organization), successors-in-interest, and assigns of Fidelity; and any person or entity acting by, through, under, on behalf of, or in concert with Fidelity or any other person or entity described in this paragraph, whether acting as a principal or agent, all of whom are referred to as "defendants." Non-subsidiary

10

14

15

13

16 17

18 19

20 21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28 ///

companies or entities in which Fidelity does not have an ownership interest that are: 1) separately licensed to perform escrow services; and 2) not performing escrow services on Fidelity's behalf or for the benefit of Fidelity are not considered "agents" for purposes of the injunctive provisions of this judgment.

- 3. For the purpose of this judgment, the term "financial benefit" means any consideration, other than consideration denominated as interest, that defendants obtain from a financial institution in connection with the defendants' deposit of escrow funds with that financial institution. "Financial benefit" includes a financial institution's absorption of expenses incident to providing normal banking functions or its forbearance from charging a fee in connection with providing normal banking functions or services, including those normal banking functions and services that the Federal Reserve Board determines may be provided without full charge consistent with 12 C.F.R. part 217. Examples of "financial benefits" that may be provided by a financial institution include, but are not limited to, escrow accounting services and bank reconciliation, wire transfers, and loans at preferential interest rates.
- 4 Defendants, and each of them, are permanently enjoined and restrained from engaging in any of the following:
- Α. Billing or collecting from title insurance or escrow customers an amount that exceeds the actual cost to defendants of services provided by third parties in connection with defendants' performance of escrow and title services, such as overnight mail, courier, and notary services, unless (1) such practice is permitted by state and federal law and (2) defendants clearly and conspicuously disclose that the defendants have marked-up the third party charge.
- B. Obtaining any financial benefit in connection with the deposit of escrow funds unless the full value of all financial benefits is (1) exclusively used to underwrite the cost of escrow services and (2) fully allocated to Fidelity's escrow operations. Fidelity shall continually maintain, with a retention period of a minimum of three fiscal years, accounting

28 ///

information that clearly, accurately, and in sufficient detail demonstrates its compliance with this provision.

- C. Assessing a separate charge to escrow or title customers for any service such as wire transfers, if the service is provided as a financial benefit or the cost of the service is otherwise waived, credited, paid, or assumed by the provider of the service.
- D. Depositing escrow funds in any financial institution in which defendants have any ownership interest, management, or control if (1) the deposit of funds in that financial institution would breach Fidelity's fiduciary duties as escrow agent or (2) that financial institution failed to provide financial benefits in connection with the deposit of escrow funds that were comparable to the best arrangement for the provision of financial benefits offered by California financial institutions in connection with the deposit of escrow funds.
- E. Demanding, charging, or collecting a separate fee from escrow or title insurance customers in connection with investigating or tracking whether a beneficiary under a deed of trust causes a deed of reconveyance to be recorded after the obligation owed to the beneficiary has been satisfied, except to the extent that a separate fee is affirmatively and explicitly authorized by a subsequent statute.
- F. Demanding, charging, or collecting a separate fee from escrow or title insurance customers in connection with preparing, issuing, or recording a release of obligation or providing notice of intention to do so, except to the extent that a separate fee is affirmatively and explicitly authorized by a subsequent statute.
- G. Collecting a separate fee for a deed of reconveyance (including any recording fee therefore) from an escrow or title insurance customer without separately accounting for this fee in a suspense account or otherwise segregating this fee from defendants' funds in accordance with generally acceptable accounting principles until the fee is transmitted to the trustee or beneficiary under the deed of trust, is returned to the customer, or is paid as required by law.

- H. Raising any fees charged in connection with escrow services or the provision of title insurance to circumvent or offset any discounts offered pursuant to paragraphs 15 through 21. Defendants shall have the burden of establishing to plaintiffs and/or the Department of Insurance that the basis for any rate or fee increase is unrelated to the discounts offered pursuant to paragraphs 15 through 21.
- I. Using the term "discount" or otherwise advertising or promoting Fidelity's escrow services on the basis of the discounts offered pursuant to paragraphs 15 through 21. Nothing herein prevents defendants from informing the public of Fidelity's charges for escrow services.
- J. Disbursing monies to financial institutions that are beneficiaries under deeds of trust at the close of escrow unless disbursed in the following manner:
- (1) By wire or electronic fund transfer upon close of escrow; by check sent upon close of escrow via a next day delivery service, such as Federal Express, for delivery on the next business day following the close of escrow; or by hand delivery for delivery on the same day as, or on the next business day following, the close of escrow.
- (2) In the event that the close of escrow occurs so late in the day that it would be impracticable for the funds to be disbursed in the manner described in subparagraph J(1), the funds shall be disbursed on the next business day following the close of escrow by the most expeditious means available.
- (3) Notwithstanding subparagraphs J(1) and J(2), any means directed by the seller or refinancing owner in an escrow instruction signed or initialed by the seller or refinancing owner.
- (4) If the defendant acts as the escrow, any instruction described in subparagraph J(3) shall appear on a separate page with no other writing except that necessary to identify the escrow, the parties, the property, the date, the signature of the party giving the instruction, and the following notice which shall clearly and conspicuously appear immediately above or adjacent to the place reserved for the escrow customer's signature. "Any delay in sending funds to a beneficiary under a deed of trust could result in additional

- (6) Fidelity Title or Chicago (a) recorded a release of obligation for the claimant and Fidelity Title's records or Chicago's records do not clearly refute that the claimant paid a fee for a reconveyance or release of obligation or (b) Fidelity Title or Chicago did not record a release of obligation or a reconveyance in the capacity of trustee under a deed of trust for the claimant, Fidelity Title or Chicago's records indicate that the claimant paid a fee for a reconveyance or release of obligation, and Fidelity Title or Chicago's records do not establish that Fidelity Title or Chicago either transmitted the fee paid by the claimant to the beneficiary or trustee under the deed of trust or escheated the fee to the state.
- B. Fidelity may treat multiple buyers, sellers, and borrowers in an escrow transaction (e.g., tenants in common, a husband and wife in a community property transaction) as a single customer or claimant for the purpose of paying claims.
- 7. Fidelity shall have no obligation to make payment under paragraph 6 if Fidelity establishes that any of the defendants did any of the following:
- A. Transmitted the customer's reconveyance fee to the trustee or beneficiary under the deed of trust that encumbered the customer's property.
- B. Prepared and recorded a deed of reconveyance while acting in the capacity of trustee under the deed of trust.
 - C. Escheated to the state the fee charged the customer.
- 8. Within five business days after the Attorney General has given Fidelity notice that the verification process has been completed and that the Attorney General has determined that the information provided was substantially complete and accurate, as more fully described in paragraphs 23 through 25 of this Judgment and paragraph 1F of the Stipulation, Fidelity, at its sole expense, shall cause to be published a notice, whose form and contents are satisfactory to the People, at least once per week for three consecutive weeks. The published notices will appear in the Los Angeles Times, the San Francisco Chronicle and Examiner, the Sacramento Bee, the Modesto Bee, the Fresno Bee, the San Diego Union Tribune, the Bakersfield Californian, the Orange County Register, the San Jose Mercury

News, and the Oakland Tribune. The notice shall state that former escrow customers who meet the criteria set forth in paragraph 6(a)1 through 6(a)5 are eligible to file a claim to recover sixty-five dollars (\$65) if the claim is returned by a date specified in the notice that is at least 90 days after the date on which the first notice is published ("the Claim Deadline"). The notice shall indicate that the claim must indicate the claimant's name and the address of the property involved in the escrow. The notice may request additional documentation if available to the customer but shall clearly indicate that the customer is not obliged to provide any further information to be eligible. The published notice shall state that no specific claim form is required as long as the claimant's name and property address is set forth, but the notice shall set forth a sample form that may be completed and returned. The notice shall also set forth the address to which the claim form is to be sent and a toll-free telephone number to which inquiries may be directed.

- 9. The claims shall be returned to a Settlement Administrator, as more particularly described in paragraph 23. The Settlement Administrator shall log the claims and transmit them to Fidelity.
- transmit all claims to Fidelity. After the completion of the verification process and a determination that the information provided by Fidelity was substantially complete and accurate, as more fully described in paragraphs 23 through 25 of this Judgment and paragraph 1F of the Stipulation, Fidelity shall (A) pay the claim without further review or (B) review the claim, conduct a diligent search of all relevant business records and public records, and determine the claimant's eligibility for payment. If Fidelity determines that the claimant is eligible, or if Fidelity cannot determine that the claimant is ineligible, Fidelity shall pay the claim within 15 days. If Fidelity determines that the claimant is not eligible, Fidelity shall provide the Settlement Administrator, within 15 days, with the name and address of each person who submitted the claim that Fidelity determined was ineligible and a complete statement of reasons for the denial of that claimant's claim including all of the documentation on which Fidelity relies to establish Fidelity's determination that the claimant

is not eligible for payment. The Settlement Administrator shall review the rejected claims, any written objections submitted as described under paragraph 11, and the records, including the escrow file, that may be relevant for determining the claimant's eligibility. The Settlement Administrator shall report its evaluation of the merits of each rejected claim to the People. If the People dispute Fidelity's rejection of a claim and the parties are unable to resolve the dispute, all disputed claims shall be submitted, at Fidelity's sole expense, to an arbitrator selected by the parties or, in the event the parties do not agree on an arbitrator, to an arbitrator selected by the court.

- 11. Fidelity shall notify each person who submitted a claim that was rejected and briefly describe the reason for rejecting the claim. The notice shall indicate that if the claimant disputes the rejection of the claim, the claimant may provide the Settlement Administrator with a written objection stating all of the claimant's grounds for disputing the rejection of the claim. The notice shall indicate that the written objection must be mailed to the Settlement Administrator at an address set forth in the notice and must be submitted by a specified date, which shall not be less than 15 days following the date of the mailing of the notice.
- 12. The Settlement Administrator shall respond to any questions by the public about the claims procedure and, in connection therewith, shall establish a toll free number.
- 13. The envelopes containing the checks sent by Fidelity to claimants shall be marked with the Settlement Administrator's return address. If any check sent to a claimant is returned undeliverable, the Settlement Administrator shall take or cause to be taken reasonable steps, including skip-tracing if reasonable, to attempt to locate the claimant. If thereafter the claimant is still not located or if the claimant's check is not cashed within six months of the date of issuance, any funds payable under the judgment shall be promptly paid as cy pres restitution as provided in paragraph 22.
- 14. Within 90 days following the Claim Deadline, Fidelity shall provide the People and the Settlement Administrator with a report indicating the name and address of each claimant paid, the date of payment, and the amount of the claim. If any claims are thereafter

paid, Fidelity shall supplement the report with additional quarterly reports indicating the name and address of each claimant paid, the date of payment, and the amount paid. Within seven months of the date of issuing checks in payment of claims, Fidelity shall provide the People and the Settlement Administrator with a report of the names and addresses of claimants whose checks are not cashed within six months of issuance. The reports required herein shall be subscribed under oath by an officer of Fidelity indicating his or her firsthand personal knowledge of the facts set forth in the report.

Discounts for Eligible Customers

- 15. Within five business days after the completion of the cash claims process described in paragraphs 6 through 14, Fidelity shall reduce its charge to customers by twenty dollars (\$20) for escrow services, or by twenty dollars (\$20) for title insurance when escrow services are not directly provided, if the customer satisfies the following conditions:
- A. The customer closes a transaction for the purchase, sale, or refinancing of residential real property containing one- to four-dwelling units on and after the date of the entry of this judgment.
- B. That customer previously purchased escrow services (or title insurance without escrow services) between May 19, 1995 and the date of entry of judgment from Fidelity Title, Chicago or from other settling defendants identified by the People in a declaration filed with the court following entry of judgment.
- C. That customer did not receive cash payment of a claim, as provided in paragraphs 6 through 14 of this judgment.
 - D. The customer is a natural person or a trust.
- 16. Customers who had multiple transactions involving the purchase of escrow services or title insurance without escrow services from Fidelity Title, Chicago or other settling defendants between May 19, 1995 and the date of entry of judgment shall be entitled to a twenty dollar (\$20) discount for each transaction.
- 17. Fidelity shall determine whether a customer is eligible for a discount based on an examination of Fidelity's business records and public records. For the purpose of this

18.

A.

judgment, it shall be presumed that Fidelity Title, Chicago or another settling defendant provided escrow services or title insurance without escrow services between May 19, 1995 and the date of entry of judgment if a deed or deed of trust recorded during that time period indicates that recording was requested by Fidelity Title, Chicago or another settling defendant. In determining eligibility for a discount, Fidelity may ask a customer if the customer has already received a cash payment or a discount from another settling defendant. Unless the customer positively states in writing that the customer has already received a cash payment or a discount from another settling defendant, Fidelity may presume without any investigation that the customer, if otherwise qualified, is entitled to a discount.

Fidelity shall prominently disclose in the preliminary report provided to customers that any customer who purchased, sold or refinanced residential property between May, 1995 and the date of entry of judgment may be entitled to a \$20 discount on escrow services for each such transaction. The disclosure shall state that if the previous transaction involved property that is different from the property that is the subject of the current transaction, the customer must inform Fidelity of the earlier transaction, provide the address of the property involved in the previous transaction, and the date or approximate date, that the escrow closed to be eligible for a discount. The disclosure shall be in substantially the same form as the following:

"You may be entitled to receive a \$20 discount on escrow services if you purchased, sold or refinanced residential property in California between May, 1995 and [the date of entry of judgment]. If you had more than one qualifying transaction, you may be entitled to multiple discounts.

If your previous transaction involved the same property that is the subject of this preliminary report, you do not have to do anything, Fidelity will provide the discount. If your previous transaction involved property different from the property that is subject of your current transaction, you must inform Fidelity of the earlier transaction, provide the address of the property involved in the previous transaction, and the date or approximate date that the escrow closed to be eligible for the discount.

Unless you inform Fidelity of the prior transaction on a property that is not the subject of this transaction, Fidelity has no obligation to conduct an investigation to determine if you qualify for a discount. If you provide Fidelity information concerning a prior transaction, Fidelity is required to determine if you qualify for a discount.

- B. Customers shall not be required to provide any documentation or verification of eligibility or to request a discount in order to qualify for a discount if their prior qualifying transaction involved the same property that is the subject of the current transaction. Fidelity, however, shall consider any documentation voluntarily provided by customers in determining eligibility for a discount.
- C. If the previous transaction involved property different from the property that is the subject of the current transaction, the customer must, in response to the notice provided by Fidelity described in paragraph 18(A) of this Judgment, inform Fidelity of the earlier transaction, provide the address of the property involved in the previous transaction, and the date, or approximate date, that the escrow closed to be eligible for a discount. Unless the customer provides this information, Fidelity shall have no obligation to conduct an investigation to determine whether the customer qualifies.

19. Fidelity shall provide discounts under this judgment until the earlier of (a) the date on which Fidelity has paid out discounts totaling the MDRO or (b) 10 years after the date of the commencement of the discount program.

- 20. If at any time prior to payment of the MDRO, Fidelity completes less than 100,000 escrows in a fiscal year, one-half of the difference between what has been paid in discounts and the MDRO shall become immediately due and payable in cash to the Attorney General. These funds shall be distributed as cy pres restitution to the Consumer Protection Prosecution Trust Fund described in paragraph 22 of this Judgment. In such event, the remaining one-half of the difference between what has been paid and the MDRO shall continue to be paid in the form of discounts.
- 21. Fidelity shall provide counsel for the People with a report, quarterly during the first year following the date of entry of this judgment and semiannually thereafter, indicating that Fidelity is acting in compliance with the obligation to provide discounts as set forth in this judgment and stating the names and addresses of each person receiving a discount, as required under this judgment, and the amount of the discount. The reports required herein shall be subscribed under oath by an officer of Fidelity indicating his or her firsthand personal knowledge of the facts set forth in the report.

CASH PAYMENT

22. Fidelity is ordered to pay the People the sum of five million one hundred forty-eight thousand three hundred twenty dollars (\$5,148,320) in two equal installments, the first upon execution of the stipulation for entry of judgment and the second installment on the date that is 12 months following the date on which the first installment is required to be paid. Each installment shall be paid in the form of a wire transfer to the Office of the Attorney General and distributed as set forth below. The Attorney General shall hold the first installment until the completion of the verification procedure described in paragraphs 23 through 25 of the Judgment and a determination that the information provided by Fidelity was substantially complete and accurate as more fully described in paragraph 1F of the Stipulation. Thereafter, the Attorney General shall distribute the first installment and, upon

2.7

its receipt, the second installment as follows: the Attorney General shall distribute one million three hundred thousand dollars (\$1,300,000) as provided under Business and Professions Code section 17206 and Government Code section 26506 with one-half of those funds distributed to the Attorney General, one-fourth of those funds distributed to the District Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco, and one-fourth of the funds distributed to the City Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco; four hundred twenty-four thousand one hundred sixty dollars (\$424,160) among counsel for the People as reimbursement of attorney's fees and costs, including attorney's fees and costs for the monitoring of Fidelity's compliance with the judgment; and eight hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$850,000) as cy pres restitution to be paid to the Consumer Protection Prosecution Trust Fund, established in People v. ITT Consumer Financial Corporation, et al., Alameda Superior Court Case No. 656038-0, for the investigation and prosecution of cases involving consumer real estate, home mortgage, and consumer finance transactions, civil law enforcement or other consumer protection matters as the trustees of that trust fund in their discretion may direct.

VERIFICATION

- 23. Fidelity and counsel for the People jointly shall select, and Fidelity shall retain at its sole expense, a third party settlement administrator ("Settlement Administrator") and a third party verifier ("Verifier"). The Settlement Administrator shall be an independent firm that is substantially experienced in the administration of consumer payment programs. The Verifier shall be an independent firm containing one or more certified public accountants experienced in verification and examination procedures. The total cost of verification performed by the Verifier shall not exceed \$328,000. To the extent, if any, the costs of verification exceed \$280,000, Fidelity shall receive a dollar for dollar credit on attorneys' fees set forth in paragraph 22 for any amount paid to the Verifier between \$280,000 and \$328,000. The Verifier shall have responsibility for the following:
- A. The Verifier shall verify that information provided to the People by Fidelity was substantially complete and accurate at the time the information was presented.

14 15

13

16 17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

2526

2728

The verification procedure may include a reasonable examination and testing of Fidelity's records and interviews of Fidelity's personnel.

- B. The procedures to be employed by the Verifier to determine compliance and payment shall be developed by the Verifier consistent with applicable standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and shall include testing and such other procedures sufficient to enable the Verifier to render an opinion concerning the defendants' assertion of compliance relied on by the People as a basis for settlement.
- C. The Verifier shall provide Fidelity and counsel for the People with a final report no later than January 1, 2003, unless Fidelity and counsel for the People agree to a later date, which agreement shall not be unreasonably withheld if additional time is needed to prepare an appropriate report. The final report shall be issued promptly upon completion of all action required hereunder. The final report shall (1) summarize all tasks undertaken by the Verifier, (2) set forth the Verifier's opinion that defendants have furnished substantially accurate and complete information to counsel for the People, and (3) set forth the Verifier's certification of its compliance with the procedures set forth in this Judgment except to the extent of any specifically described deficiencies in compliance. The final report shall be provided to the Attorney General of California, Consumer Law Section, 300 S. Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90013, Attention: Deputy Attorney General Christina Tusan; to the San Francisco District Attorney, Consumer and Environmental Protection Unit, 732 Brannan Street, San Francisco, California, Attention: June Cravett; and to the City Attorney of San Francisco, 1390 Market Street, 6th Floor, San Francisco, California 94102-5408, Attention: Donald Margolis (and/or to such other address or to the attention of such other person as the offices of the Attorney General, District Attorney of San Francisco, or City Attorney of San Francisco shall specify in writing to Fidelity and the Verifier).
- D. The letter of engagement entered into between Fidelity and the Verifier shall provide the following: (1) a description of the Verifier's duties as provided in this Judgment, (2) a requirement that the Verifier shall make available to Fidelity and counsel for

2.7

the People, within 30 days of written request, copies of all records, documents, reports and work papers obtained or prepared in connection with the duties set forth herein, and (3) a requirement that the Verifier make available to Fidelity and counsel for the People a person or persons familiar with the procedures to be performed as required by this Judgment or provided in the letter of engagement. If Fidelity has already produced documents responsive to the request, the Verifier may identify those documents in lieu of providing duplicates. The letter of engagement shall acknowledge that the Attorney General, the District Attorney of San Francisco, and the City Attorney of San Francisco are each an intended user or beneficiary of the report.

- 24. To facilitate the Verifier's responsibilities, Fidelity shall make available, at Fidelity's expense, to the Verifier sufficient documents, persons, and other information, including data bases, to enable the Verifier to fulfill its functions under this Judgment, including documents, access to persons, and information reasonably related to the determination of whether Fidelity furnished substantially accurate and complete information to counsel for the People.
- 25. Fidelity and the Verifier shall provide for review by the Attorney General, the District Attorney of San Francisco, and/or the City Attorney of San Francisco, within 30 days of a written request, all records, documents and personnel reasonably necessary to ascertain Fidelity's and the Verifier's compliance with this Judgment. Nothing herein limits the right of the Attorney General, the District Attorney of San Francisco, or the City Attorney of San Francisco to request or obtain information from defendants as otherwise provided in this Judgment or as provided by law.

SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATION

26. The Settlement Administrator shall carry out its duties as described in the "Payments to Claimants" portion of this judgment, as set forth in paragraphs 6 through 14, and shall verify that Fidelity has processed claims, properly rejected those claims Fidelity determined to be ineligible, and actually paid claimants with eligible claims as provided under paragraphs 6 through 14.

- 27. The Settlement Administrator shall provide Fidelity and counsel for the People with a final report no later than May 1, 2003, unless Fidelity and counsel for the People agree to a later date, which agreement shall not be unreasonably withheld if additional time is needed to prepare an appropriate report. The final report shall be issued promptly upon completion of all action required hereunder. The final report shall (1) set forth the Settlement Administrator's opinion that Fidelity has paid cash claims to claimants as provided under paragraphs 6 through 14 of this Judgment, and (2) set forth the Settlement Administrator's certification of its compliance with the procedures set forth in this Judgment except to the extent of any specifically described deficiencies in compliance.
- Administrator shall provide the following: (1) a description of the Settlement Administrator's duties as provided in this Judgment, (2) a requirement that the Settlement Administrator shall make available to Fidelity and counsel for the People, within 30 days of written request, copies of all records, documents, reports and work papers obtained or prepared in connection with the duties set forth herein, and (3) a requirement that the Settlement Administrator make available to Fidelity and counsel for the People a person or persons familiar with the procedures to be performed as required by this Judgment or provided in the letter of engagement. If Fidelity has already produced documents responsive to the request, the Settlement Administrator may identify those documents in lieu of providing duplicates. The letter of engagement shall acknowledge that the Attorney General, the District Attorney of San Francisco, and the City Attorney of San Francisco are each an intended user or beneficiary of the report.
- 29. To facilitate the Settlement Administrator's responsibilities, Fidelity shall make available, at Fidelity's expense, to the Settlement Administrator sufficient documents, persons, and other information, including data bases, to enable the Settlement Administrator to fulfill its functions under this Judgment, including documents, access to persons, and information reasonably related to the determination of whether Fidelity furnished (A) substantially accurate and complete information to counsel for the People

regarding payment of claims, (B) properly determined claimants' eligibility for payment under paragraphs 6 through 14 of this Judgment, and (C) timely paid eligible cash claimants.

- 30. A. On or before May 1, 2003, Fidelity and the Settlement Administrator shall provide, to the extent applicable to each, to the Attorney General of California, Consumer Law Section, 300 S. Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90013, Attention: Deputy Attorney General Christina Tusan; to the San Francisco District Attorney, Consumer and Environmental Protection Unit, 732 Brannan Street, San Francisco, California, Attention: June Cravett; and to the City Attorney of San Francisco, 1390 Market Street, 6th Floor, San Francisco, California 94102-5408, Attention: Donald Margolis (and/or to such other address or to the attention of such other person as the offices of the Attorney General, District Attorney of San Francisco, or City Attorney of San Francisco shall specify in writing to Fidelity and the Settlement Administrator), a Final Certification Report containing the following information:
- (1) A certification by Fidelity that all monetary relief provided for herein due to all eligible claimants has been paid. The report shall also certify compliance by Fidelity and the Settlement Administrator with each provision of this Judgment related to such monetary relief to the extent applicable to each.
- (2) An alphabetical list of the name, address, and telephone number of every eligible cash claimant to whom payment was made and a list of the name, address, and telephone number of every person who filed a claim that was determined to be ineligible for payment together with a brief description of the basis for concluding that the claimant was ineligible.
- B. Fidelity and the Settlement Administrator shall provide for review by the Attorney General, the District Attorney of San Francisco, and/or the City Attorney of San Francisco, within 30 days of a written request, all records, documents and personnel reasonably necessary to ascertain Fidelity's and the Settlement Administrator's compliance with this Judgment as to claimants (for example, in response to inquiries concerning specific claimants). Nothing herein limits the right of the Attorney General, the District Attorney of

9

15

14

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

San Francisco, or the City Attorney of San Francisco to request or obtain information from defendants as otherwise provided in this Judgment or as provided by law.

RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

31. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter for the purpose of enabling any of the parties to apply to the Court at any time for such further orders or directives as may be necessary or appropriate for the modification of the injunctive provisions herein or for the interpretation or enforcement of any of the provisions of this Judgment.

BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 17203

32. All injunctive and other equitable relief under this Judgment, including all relief described in paragraphs 5 through 22 inclusive, is ordered pursuant to the court's equitable powers, including those remedial powers authorized by Business and Professions Code section 17203.

PAYMENT OF COURT COSTS

33. Fidelity shall pay all court costs associated with its appearance in this action, including any fee for the filing of the stipulation for entry of judgment. Except as otherwise provided herein, each party shall bear its own costs, including attorneys' fees.

EFFECTIVE DATE AND SCOPE OF JUDGMENT

- 34. This Judgment shall be binding and effective when entered by the Court.
- 35. As the parties have stipulated, plaintiffs have determined that this Judgment, including the payment provisions, is a fair, equitable, and final resolution and disposition of all and only those matters pleaded in the Complaint to constitute violations of Business and Professions Code sections 17200 et seg. and 17500 during the period from May 19, 1995 until the date of entry of this judgment and those matters pleaded to constitute violations of Code of Civil Procedure section 1500 et seq. for unclaimed property accruing beginning May 19, 1995 until the date of entry of this judgment (other than claims for interest pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1577). As the parties have further stipulated and the court adjudges, the Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment and this Judgment do not settle, compromise, bar, or otherwise in any manner affect either (1) any claims that the State

1	Controller may have against Fidelity for the time period prior to May 19, 1995 concerning		
2	property prior to May 19, 1992, and (2) any and all claims for interest pursuant to Code of		
3	Civil Procedure section 1577 for monies escheated to the State Controller's Office without		
4	limitation on time period, which claims, if any, the State Controller may pursue or which		
5	defenses, if any, Fidelity may assert, notwithstanding the Stipulation for Entry of Final		
6	Judgment or the entry of this Judgment.		
7			
8			
9	DATED: JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
1516			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	19		

1 2	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California HERSCHEL T. ELKINS, (SBN 27279)	
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General CHRISTINA V. TUSAN (SBN 192203)	
4	Senior Assistant Attorney General CHRISTINA V. TUSAN, (SBN 192203) Deputy Attorney General 300 South Spring Street, Suite 5000 Los Angeles, California 90013	
5	Los Angeles, California 90013	
6		
7	RONALD A. REITER, (SBN 62497)	
8	Supervising Deputy Attorney General 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000	
9	San Francisco, California 94102	
10		
11	Attorneys for the People of the State of California	
12	(Additional counsel for the Plaintiffs on following page)	
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
14	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO	
15		
16	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA)	
17	AND KATHLEEN CONNELL,) CONTROLLER OF THE STATE OF) CALIFORNIA,)	
18	FINAL JUDGMENT	
19	Plaintiffs,	
20)	
21	V. STEWART TITLE COMPANY OF	
22	CALIFORNIA, INC.; STEWART TITLE () GUARANTY COMPANY; AND STEWART ()	
23	INFORMATION SERVICES CORPORATION)	
24	Defendants.	
25		
26		
27		
28		
	FINAL JUDGMENT	

1	TERENCE HALLINAN
2	District Attorney for the City and County of San Francisco DAVID A. PFEIFER (SBN 127785)
3	JUNE D. CRAVETT (SBN 105094) Assistant District Attorneys 732 Brannan Street
4	San Francisco, California 94103
5	
6	Attorneys for the People of the State of California
7	DELB HG MEDDED A
8	DENNIS HERRERA, City Attorney for the City and County of San Francisco
9	JOANNE HÖEPER (SBN 114961) Chief Trial Attorney DONALD P. MARGOLIS (SBN 116588)
10	DONALD P. MARGOLIS (SBN 116588) Deputy City Attorneys 1390 Market Street, 6 th Floor
11	San Francisco, California 94102-5408
12	
13	Attorneys for the People of the State of California
14	KATHLEEN CONNELL, Controller for the State of California
15	RICHARD J. CHIVARO (SBN 124391) Chief Counsel
16	300 Capitol Mall, Suite 1850 Sacramento, California 95814
17	Sacramento, Camornia 73017
18	Attorneys for the California State Controller
19	7 thorneys for the Camorna State Controller
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	ii
	FINAL JUDGMENT

Plaintiff, the People of the State of California ("the People"), appeared through the Attorney General, Bill Lockyer, by Deputy Attorneys General Ronald A. Reiter and Christina V. Tusan, through the District Attorney of San Francisco, Terence Hallinan, by Assistant District Attorney June Cravett, and through the City Attorney of San Francisco, Dennis Herrera, by Deputy City Attorney Donald P. Margolis. Plaintiff Kathleen Connell, Controller of the State of California appeared through Richard J. Chivaro, Chief Counsel. Defendants Stewart Title Company of California, Inc., Stewart Title Guaranty Company, and Stewart Information Services Corporation (collectively "Stewart") appeared through their attorneys Alborg, Veiluva and Cannata, by Thomas E. Alborg.

The Court having considered the Stipulation For Entry of Final Judgment executed by the parties and filed herewith, and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY AGREED, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

JURISDICTION

1. This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this action and of the parties. Venue as to all matters between the parties relating hereto lies in this Court.

INJUNCTION

2. The injunctive provisions of this judgment apply to Stewart; its directors, officers, and shareholders; to the employees, representatives, agents, subsidiary and affiliated companies (regardless of the form of business organization), successors-in-interest, and assigns of Stewart; and any person or entity acting by, through, under, on behalf of, or in concert with Stewart or any other person or entity described in this paragraph, whether acting as a principal or agent, all of whom are referred to as "defendants." For the purpose of the injunctive provisions of this judgment, the term "agent" does not apply to non-subsidiary companies or entities in which Stewart does not have an ownership interest under the following circumstances: 1) those entities are preforming escrows services on their own behalf and 2) those entities are acting as Stewart's agent solely through their involvement in facilitating the provision of title insurance on behalf of Stewart.

- 3. For the purpose of this judgment, the term "financial benefit" means any consideration, other than consideration denominated as interest, that defendants obtain from a financial institution in connection with the defendants' deposit of escrow funds with that financial institution. "Financial benefit" includes a financial institution's absorption of expenses incident to providing normal banking functions or its forbearance from charging a fee in connection with providing normal banking functions or services, including those normal banking functions and services that the Federal Reserve Board determines may be provided without full charge consistent with 12 C.F.R. Part 217. Examples of "financial benefits" that may be provided by a financial institution include, but are not limited to, escrow accounting services and bank reconciliation, wire transfers, and loans at preferential interest rates.
- 4. Defendants, and each of them, are permanently enjoined and restrained from engaging in any of the following:
- A. Billing or collecting from title insurance or escrow customers an amount that exceeds the actual cost to defendants of services provided by third parties in connection with defendants' performance of escrow and title services, such as overnight mail, courier, and notary services, unless (1) such practice is permitted by state and federal law and (2) defendants clearly and conspicuously disclose that the defendants have marked-up the third party charge.
- B. Obtaining any financial benefit in connection with the deposit of escrow funds unless the full value of all financial benefits is (1) exclusively used to underwrite the cost of escrow services and (2) fully allocated to Stewart's escrow division. Stewart shall continually maintain, with a retention period of a minimum of three fiscal years, accounting information that clearly, accurately, and in sufficient detail demonstrates its compliance with this provision.
- C. Assessing a separate charge to escrow or title customers for any service such as wire transfers, if the service is provided as a financial benefit or the cost of the

service is otherwise waived, credited, paid, or assumed by the provider of the service.

- D. Depositing escrow funds in any financial institution in which defendants have any ownership interest, management, or control if (1) the deposit of funds in that financial institution would breach Stewart's fiduciary duties as escrow agent or (2) that financial institution failed to provide financial benefits in connection with the deposit of escrow funds that were comparable to the best arrangement for the provision of financial benefits offered by California financial institutions in connection with the deposit of escrow funds.
- E. Demanding, charging, or collecting a separate fee from escrow or title insurance customers in connection with investigating or tracking whether a beneficiary under a deed of trust causes a deed of reconveyance to be recorded after the obligation owed to the beneficiary has been satisfied, except to the extent that a separate fee is affirmatively and explicitly authorized by a subsequent statute.
- F. Demanding, charging, or collecting a separate fee from escrow or title insurance customers in connection with preparing, issuing, or recording a release of obligation or providing notice of intention to do so, except to the extent that a separate fee is affirmatively and explicitly authorized by a subsequent statute.
- G. Collecting a separate fee for a deed of reconveyance (including any recording fee therefore) from an escrow or title insurance customer without separately accounting for this fee in a suspense account or otherwise segregating this fee from defendants' funds in accordance with generally acceptable accounting principles until the fee is transmitted to the trustee or beneficiary under the deed of trust, is returned to the customer, or is paid as required by law.
- H. Disbursing monies to financial institutions that are beneficiaries under deeds of trust at the close of escrow unless disbursed in the following manner:
- (1) By wire or electronic fund transfer upon close of escrow; by check sent upon close of escrow via a next day delivery service, such as Federal Express, for

delivery on the next business day following the close of escrow; or by hand delivery for delivery on the same day as, or on the next business day following, the close of escrow.

- (2) In the event that the close of escrow occurs so late in the day that it would be impracticable for the funds to be disbursed in the manner described in subparagraph H(1), the funds shall be disbursed on the next business day following the close of escrow by the most expeditious means available.
- (3) Notwithstanding subparagraphs H(1) and H(2), any means directed by the seller or refinancing owner in an escrow instruction signed or initialed by the seller or refinancing owner.
- (4) If the defendant acts as the escrow, any instruction described in subparagraph H(3) shall appear on a separate page with no other writing except that necessary to identify the escrow, the parties, the property, the date, the signature of the party giving the instruction, and the following notice which shall clearly and conspicuously appear immediately above or adjacent to the place reserved for the escrow customer's signature. "Any delay in sending funds to a beneficiary under a deed of trust could result in additional interest charges or other expense. You should compare the amount of interest charges or other expenses resulting from a delay in sending funds with the amount you will be charged for wire, electronic fund transfer, or overnight delivery before you sign or initial this escrow instruction."
- (5) When disbursing funds by wire or electronic transfer, Defendant shall utilize to the fullest extent practicable wire transfers (or similar electronic transfers) where such transfers are included in earned credits furnished by the depositing institution.

RESTITUTION

5. Stewart shall pay restitution in the total amount of two million two hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$2,250,000) ("Restitution") in the form of cash payments, as provided in paragraphs 6 through 17, with the balance of the restitution remaining after cash payments paid as cy pres restitution to the Consumer Protection Prosecution Trust Fund, established

9

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26 2.7

28

in People v. ITT Consumer Financial Corporation, et al., Alameda Superior Court Case No. 656038-0, for the investigation and prosecution of cases involving consumer real estate, home mortgage, and consumer finance transactions, civil law enforcement or other consumer protection matters as the trustees of that trust fund in their discretion may direct. All administrative expenses associated with the payment of these funds shall be borne by Stewart and are in addition to Stewart's restitution obligation described in this paragraph.

Payments to Claimants

- 6. A Within five days after the Attorney General has given Stewart notice that the verification process has been completed and the Attorney General has determined that the information provided was substantially complete and accurate, as more fully described in paragraphs 19-21 of this Judgment and paragraph 1F of the Stipulation, Stewart shall directly mail a check in the amount of sixty five dollars (\$65) to each of its former escrow customers who meet all of the following conditions:
- (1) Customer closed an escrow transaction for the purchase, sale or refinancing of residential property containing one- to four-dwelling units where Stewart acted as escrow agent or title insurer between May 19, 1995 and the date of the entry of judgment (hereafter referred to as "Customer").
- (2) (a) Customer was charged and paid a fee for the preparation or recording of a deed of reconveyance where Stewart did not perform the services charged for; or (b) Customer was charged and paid a fee for a release of obligation and Stewart did not prepare a release of obligation or (c) Stewart charged, and Customer paid, for preparing a release of obligation or recording a reconveyance where the performance of the service was unnecessary.
- (3) Where Stewart has charged a fee as described in paragraph 6(A)(2), there is a presumption that such fees were paid by Customer.
- 7. Stewart shall have no obligation to make payment under paragraph 6 of this Judgment if Stewart establishes that it transmitted the Customer's reconveyance fee to the

trustee or beneficiary under the deed of trust that encumbered the customer's property or escheated the fee charged the customer to the state.

- 8. Any payments not disbursed under paragraph 6 of this Judgment shall be subject to payment to each eligible Customer who submits a timely claim ("Claimant") as more fully discussed in paragraph 10 of this Judgment. For the purpose of this judgment, a claim shall be deemed eligible and the Claimant shall be entitled to payment under the following circumstances:
- A. Claimant closed an escrow transaction for the purchase, sale or refinancing of residential property containing one- to four-dwelling units where Stewart acted as escrow agent or title insurer between May 19, 1995 and the date of the entry of judgment.
- B. (1) Claimant deposited funds during his or her escrow transaction that may have been used by Stewart to obtain financial benefits from a lending institution; (2) the Claimant was charged for various miscellaneous services including messenger services, recording services, overnight delivery services, or wire transfer services or (3) Claimant paid for tracking service or paid a fee in connection with preparing, issuing, or recording a release of obligation or providing a notice of intent to do so.
- C. Claimants in the category described in paragraphs 8(B)(1) or 8(B)(2) of this Judgment who present a single claim under one of those categories shall be entitled to a minimum payment of twenty five (\$25) in cash, and a maximum cash payment of fifty dollars (\$50), depending on the number of claims and available settlement funds.
- D. Claimants with claims under both paragraphs 8(B)(1) and 8(B)(2) of this Judgment shall be entitled to a minimum payment of fifty dollars (\$50) in cash, and a maximum payment of one hundred dollars (\$100) depending on the number of claims and available settlement funds.
- E. Claimants with claims under 8(B)(3) shall be entitled to a payment of sixty-five dollars (\$65) in cash. This payment shall be in addition to any amounts available

7

20

21

22

23

24

252627

28

under paragraphs 8(C) or 8(D) of this Judgment.

- 9. Stewart shall have no obligation to make payment under paragraph 8(B)(3) of this Judgment if Stewart establishes that it did any of the following:
- A. Transmitted the Claimant's reconveyance fee to the trustee or beneficiary under the deed of trust that encumbered the Customer's property.
- B. Prepared and recorded a deed of reconveyance while acting in the capacity of trustee under the deed of trust.
 - C. Escheated to the state the fee charged the Customer.
- 10. Within five days after the Attorney General has given Stewart notice that the verification process has been completed and that the Attorney General has determined that the information provided was substantially complete and accurate, as more fully described in paragraphs 19-21 of this Judgement and paragraph 1F of the Stipulation, Stewart, at its sole expense, shall cause to be published a notice, whose form and contents are satisfactory to the People, at least once per week for three consecutive weeks. The published notices will appear in the Los Angeles Times, the San Francisco Chronicle and Examiner, the Sacramento Bee, the Modesto Bee, the Fresno Bee, the San Diego Union Tribune, the Bakersfield Californian, the Orange County Register, the San Jose Mercury News, and the Oakland Tribune. The notice shall state that former escrow Customers who meet the criteria set forth in paragraph 8 are eligible to file a claim to recover a minimum of twenty-five dollars (\$25) and a maximum of one hundred sixty-five dollars (\$165) if the claim is returned by a date specified in the notice that is at least 90 days after the date on which the first notice is published. The notice shall indicate that the claim must indicate the Claimant's name and the address of the property involved in the escrow. The notice may request additional documentation if available to the Customer but shall clearly indicate that the Customer is not obliged to provide any further information to be eligible. The published notice shall state that no specific claim form is required as long as the Claimant's name and property address is set forth, but the notice shall set forth a sample form that may be completed and returned.

12

16 17

15

18 19

20 21

2223

2425

2627

28

The notice shall also set forth the address to which the claim form is to be sent and a toll-free telephone number to which inquiries may be directed.

- 11. The claims shall be returned to a Settlement Administrator, as more particularly described in paragraph 19. The Settlement Administrator shall log the claims and transmit them to Stewart.
- 12. After the close of the claims settlement period, the Settlement Administrator will transmit all claims to Stewart. After the completion of the verification process and a determination that the information provided by Stewart was substantially complete and accurate, as more fully described in paragraphs 19 through 21 of this Judgment and paragraph 1F of the Stipulation, Stewart shall (A) pay the claim without further review or (B) review the claim, conduct a diligent search of all relevant business records and public records, and determine the Claimant's eligibility for payment. If Stewart determines that the Claimant is eligible, Stewart shall pay the claim within 15 days. If Stewart determines that the Claimant is not eligible, Stewart shall provide the Settlement Administrator, within 15 days, with the name and address of each person who submitted the claim that Stewart determined was ineligible and a complete statement of reasons for the denial of that Claimant's claim including all of the documentation on which Stewart relies to establish Stewart's determination that the Claimant is not eligible for payment. The Settlement Administrator shall review the rejected claims, any written objections submitted as described under paragraph 13, and the records, including the escrow file, that may be relevant for determining the Claimant's eligibility. The Settlement Administrator shall report its evaluation of the merits of each rejected claim to the People. If the People dispute Stewart's rejection of a claim and the parties are unable to resolve the dispute, all disputed claims shall be submitted, at Stewart's sole expense, to an arbitrator selected by the parties or, in the event the parties do not agree on an arbitrator, to an arbitrator selected by the court.
- 13. Stewart shall also notify each person who submitted a claim that was rejected and briefly describe the reason for rejecting the claim. The notice shall indicate that if the

Claimant disputes the rejection of the claim, the Claimant may provide the Settlement Administrator with a written objection stating all of the Claimant's grounds for disputing the rejection of the claim. The notice shall indicate that the written objection must be mailed to the Settlement Administrator at an address set forth in the notice and must be submitted by a specified date, which shall not be less than 15 days following the date of the mailing of the notice.

- 14. Stewart shall pay the sums set forth in paragraph 6 for all undisputed claims and all disputed claims that an arbitrator determines are owed as discussed in paragraph 12. The amount of payments under paragraph 8 shall be prorated based on remaining available settlement funds, but shall in no event exceed the maximum amounts payable under paragraph 8 or fall below the minimum amounts payable under paragraph 8. Under no circumstances shall Stewart be obligated to make restitution to Claimants of a sum in excess of the total Restitution amount set forth in paragraph 5.
- 15. The Settlement Administrator shall respond to any questions by the public about the claims procedure and, in connection therewith, shall establish a toll free number.
- 16. The envelopes containing the checks sent by Stewart to Claimants shall be marked with the Settlement Administrator's return address. If any check sent to a Claimant is returned undeliverable, the Settlement Administrator shall take or cause to be taken reasonable steps, including skip-tracing if reasonable, to attempt to locate the Claimant. If thereafter the Claimant is still not located or if the Claimant's check is not cashed within six months, any funds payable under the judgment shall be promptly, but in no event later than October 1, 2002, paid as cy pres restitution as provided in paragraph 5.
- 17. Within 90 days following the closure of the cash claims period, Stewart shall provide the People and the Settlement Administrator with a report indicating the name and address of each Claimant paid, the date of payment, and the amount of the claim. If any claims are thereafter paid, Stewart shall supplement the report with additional quarterly reports indicating the name and address of each Claimant paid, the date of payment, and the

13 14

15

12

16 17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26 2.7

28

amount paid. Within seven months of the date of issuing checks in payment of claims, Stewart shall provide the People and the Settlement Administrator with a report of the names and addresses of Claimants whose checks are not cashed within six months of issuance. The reports required herein shall be subscribed under oath by an officer of Stewart indicating his or her firsthand personal knowledge of the facts set forth in the report.

CASH PAYMENT

18. In addition to the Restitution amount provided in paragraph 5, Stewart is ordered to pay the People the sum of two hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$250,000) in the form of a wire transfer to the Office of the Attorney General. Upon the completion of the verification procedure described in paragraphs 19 through 21 of the Judgment and a determination that the information provided by Stewart was substantially complete and accurate as more fully described in paragraph 1F of the Stipulation, the Attorney General shall distribute one hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000) as provided under Business and Professions Code section 17206, 17536 and Government Code section 26506 with one-half of those funds distributed to the Attorney General, one-fourth of those funds distributed to the District Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco, and one-fourth of the funds distributed to the City Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco; one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) among counsel for the People as reimbursement of attorney's fees and costs, including attorney's fees and costs for the monitoring of Stewart's compliance with the judgment.

VERIFICATION

19. Stewart and counsel for the People jointly shall select, and Stewart shall retain at its sole expense, a third party settlement administrator ("Settlement Administrator") and a third party verifier ("Verifier"). The Settlement Administrator shall be an independent firm that is substantially experienced in the administration of consumer payment programs. The Verifier shall be an independent firm containing one or more certified public accountants experienced in verification and examination

11

8

17

16

18 19

2021

22

23

24

2526

27

28

procedures. The Verifier shall have responsibility for the following:

- A. The Verifier shall verify that information provided to the People by Stewart was substantially complete and accurate at the time the information was presented. The verification procedure may include a reasonable examination and testing of Stewart's records and interviews of Stewart's personnel.
- B. The procedures to be employed by the Verifier to determine compliance and payment shall be developed by the Verifier consistent with applicable standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and shall include testing and such other procedures sufficient to enable the Verifier to render an opinion concerning the defendants' assertion of compliance relied on by the People as a basis for settlement.
- C. The Verifier shall provide Stewart and counsel for the People with a final report no later than March 1, 2002, unless Stewart and counsel for the People agree to a later date, which agreement shall not be unreasonably withheld if additional time is needed to prepare an appropriate report. The final report shall be issued promptly upon completion of all action required hereunder. The final report shall (1) summarize all tasks undertaken by the Verifier, (2) set forth the Verifier's opinion that defendants have furnished substantially accurate and complete information to counsel for the People, and (3) set forth the Verifier's certification of its compliance with the procedures set forth in this Judgment except to the extent of any specifically described deficiencies in compliance. The final report shall be provided to the Attorney General of California, Consumer Law Section, 300 S. Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90013, Attention: Deputy Attorney General Christina Tusan; to the San Francisco District Attorney, Consumer and Environmental Protection Unit, 732 Brannan Street, San Francisco, California, Attention: June Cravett; and to the City Attorney of San Francisco, 1390 Market Street, 6th Floor, San Francisco, California 94102-5408, Attention: Donald P. Margolis (and/or to such other address or to the attention of such other person as the offices of the Attorney

2.7

General, District Attorney of San Francisco, or City Attorney of San Francisco shall specify in writing to Stewart and the Verifier).

- D. The letter of engagement entered into between Stewart and the Verifier shall provide the following: (1) a description of the Verifier's duties as provided in this Judgment, (2) a requirement that the Verifier shall make available to Stewart and counsel for the People, within 30 days of written request, copies of all records, documents, reports and work papers obtained or prepared in connection with the duties set forth herein, and (3) a requirement that the Verifier make available to Stewart and counsel for the People a person or persons familiar with the procedures to be performed as required by this Judgment or provided in the letter of engagement. If Stewart has already produced documents responsive to the request, the Verifier may identify those documents in lieu of providing duplicates. The letter of engagement shall acknowledge that the Attorney General, the District Attorney of San Francisco, and the City Attorney of San Francisco are each an intended user or beneficiary of the report.
- 20. To facilitate the Verifier's responsibilities, Stewart shall make available, at Stewart's expense, to the Verifier sufficient documents, persons, and other information, including data bases, to enable the Verifier to fulfill its functions under this Judgment, including documents, access to persons, and information reasonably related to the determination of whether Stewart furnished substantially accurate and complete information to counsel for the People.
- 21. Stewart and the Verifier shall provide for review by the Attorney General, the District Attorney of San Francisco, and/or the City Attorney of San Francisco, within 30 days of a written request, all records, documents and personnel reasonably necessary to ascertain Stewart's and the Verifier's compliance with this Judgment. Nothing herein limits the right of the Attorney General, the District Attorney of San Francisco, or the City Attorney of San Francisco to request or obtain information from defendants as otherwise provided in this Judgment or as provided by law.

SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATION

- 22. The Settlement Administrator shall carry out its duties as described in the "Payments to Claimants" portion of this judgment, as set forth in paragraphs 6 through 16, and shall verify that Stewart has processed claims, properly rejected those claims Stewart determined to be ineligible, and actually paid Claimants with eligible claims as provided under paragraphs 6 through 17.
- 23. The Settlement Administrator shall provide Stewart and counsel for the People with a final report no later than July 1, 2002, unless Stewart and counsel for the People agree to a later date, which agreement shall not be unreasonably withheld if additional time is needed to prepare an appropriate report. The final report shall be issued promptly upon completion of all action required hereunder. The final report shall (1) set forth the Settlement Administrator's opinion that Stewart has paid cash claims to Claimants as provided under paragraphs 6 through 17 of this Judgment, and (2) set forth the Settlement Administrator's certification of its compliance with the procedures set forth in this Judgment except to the extent of any specifically described deficiencies in compliance.
- Administrator shall provide the following: (1) a description of the Settlement Administrator's duties as provided in this Judgment, (2) a requirement that the Settlement Administrator shall make available to Stewart and counsel for the People, within 30 days of written request, copies of all records, documents, reports and work papers obtained or prepared in connection with the duties set forth herein, and (3) a requirement that the Settlement Administrator make available to Stewart and counsel for the People a person or persons familiar with the procedures to be performed as required by this Judgment or provided in the letter of engagement. If Stewart has already produced documents responsive to the request, the Settlement Administrator may identify those documents in lieu of providing duplicates. The letter of engagement shall acknowledge that the

Attorney General, the District Attorney of San Francisco, and the City Attorney of San Francisco are each an intended user or beneficiary of the report.

- 25. To facilitate the Settlement Administrator's responsibilities, Stewart shall make available, at Stewart's expense, to the Settlement Administrator, sufficient documents, persons, and other information, including data bases, to enable the Settlement Administrator to fulfill its functions under this Judgment, including documents, access to persons, and information reasonably related to the determination of whether Stewart furnished (A) substantially accurate and complete information to counsel for the People regarding payment of claims, (B) properly determined Claimants' eligibility for payment under paragraphs 6 through 17 of this Judgment, and (C) timely paid eligible cash Claimants.
- 26. A. On or before July 1, 2002, Stewart and the Settlement Administrator shall provide, to the extent applicable to each, to the Attorney General of California, Consumer Law Section, 300 S. Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90013, Attention: Deputy Attorney General Christina Tusan; to the San Francisco District Attorney, Consumer and Environmental Protection Unit, 732 Brannan Street, San Francisco, California, Attention: June Cravett; and to the City Attorney of San Francisco, 1390 Market Street, 6th Floor, San Francisco, California 94102-5408, Attention: Donald P. Margolis (and/or to such other address or to the attention of such other person as the offices of the Attorney General, District Attorney of San Francisco, or City Attorney of San Francisco shall specify in writing to Stewart and the Settlement Administrator), a Final Certification Report containing the following information:
- (1) A certification by Stewart that all monetary relief provided for herein due to all eligible Claimants has been paid. The report shall also certify compliance by Stewart and the Settlement Administrator with each provision of this Judgment related to such monetary relief to the extent applicable to each.
 - (2) An alphabetical list of the name and address of every eligible

cash Claimant to whom payment was made and a list of the name and address of every person who filed a claim that was determined to be ineligible for payment together with a brief description of the basis for concluding that the Claimant was ineligible.

B. Stewart and the Settlement Administrator shall provide for review by the Attorney General, the District Attorney of San Francisco, and/or the City Attorney of San Francisco, within 30 days of a written request, all records, documents and personnel reasonably necessary to ascertain Stewart's and the Settlement Administrator's compliance with this Judgment as to Claimants (for example, in response to inquiries concerning specific Claimants). Nothing herein limits the right of the Attorney General, the District Attorney of San Francisco, or the City Attorney of San Francisco to request or obtain information from defendants as otherwise provided in this Judgment or as provided by law.

RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

27. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter for the purpose of enabling any of the parties to apply to the Court at any time for such further orders or directives as may be necessary or appropriate for the modification of the injunctive provisions herein or for the interpretation or enforcement of any of the provisions of this Judgment.

BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 17203

28. All injunctive and other equitable relief under this Judgment, including all relief described in paragraphs 5 through 17 inclusive, is ordered pursuant to the court's equitable powers, including those remedial powers authorized by Business and Professions Code section 17203.

PAYMENT OF COURT COSTS

29. Stewart shall pay all court costs associated with its appearance in this action, including any fee for the filing of the stipulation for entry of judgment. Except as otherwise provided herein, each party shall bear its own costs, including attorneys' fees.

EFFECTIVE DATE AND SCOPE OF JUDGMENT

30. This Judgment shall be binding and effective when entered by the Court.

31. As the parties have stipulated, plaintiffs have determined that this
Judgment, including the payment provisions, is a fair, equitable, and final resolution and
disposition of all and only those matters pleaded in the Complaint to constitute violations
of Business and Professions Code sections 17200 et seq. and 17500 during the period
from May 19, 1995 until the date of entry of this judgment, and those matters pleaded to
constitute violations of Code of Civil Procedure section 1500 et seq. for unclaimed
property up through December 31, 1998 (other than claims for interest pursuant to Code
of Civil Procedure section 1577). As the parties have further stipulated and the court
adjudges, the Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment and this Judgment do not settle,
compromise, bar, or otherwise in any manner affect any and all claims for interest
pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1577 for monies escheated to the State
Controller's Office without limitation on time period, which the State Controller may
pursue notwithstanding the Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment or the entry of this
Judgment.

DATED:	HIDGE OF THE CURERION COURT
	TUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of-California HERSCHEL T. ELKINS, (SBN 27279) Senior Assistant Attorney General CHRISTINA V. TUSAN, (SBN 192203) Deputy Attorney General 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, California 90013 RONALD A. REITER, (SBN 62497) Supervising Deputy Attorney General 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, California 94102
	Attorneys for Plaintiff the People of the State of California
10	(Additional co-counsel on following page)
11	
12	
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
14	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO
15	
16	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF
17	CALIFORNIA,
18) FINAL JUDGMENT
19	Plaintiff,
20	v.
21	FIRST AMERICAN TITLE INSURANCE (COMPANY AND FIRST AMERICAN)
22	CORPORATION
23	Defendants.
24	<u> </u>
25	
26	
27	
28	
40	

FINAL JUDGMENT

1 2	TERENCE HALLINAN (SBN 39953) District Attorney for the City and County of San Francisco DAVID A. PFEIFER (SBN 127785) JUNE D. CRAVETT (SBN 105094) Assistant District Attorneys 732 Brannan Street San Francisco Colifornia 04103
3	JUNE D. CRAVETT (SBN 105094) Assistant District Attorneys
4	San Francisco, California 94103
5	
6	DENNIS HERRERA, City Attorney for the City and County of San Francisco
7	City Attorney for the City and County of San Francisco JOANNE HOEPER, (SBN 114961) Chief Trial Attorney
8	DONALD P. MARGOLIS, (SBN 116588) Deputy City Attorney
9	Chief Trial Attorney DONALD P. MARGOLIS, (SBN 116588) Deputy City Attorney 1390 Market Street, 6 th Floor San Francisco, California 94102-5408
10	
11 12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs the People of the State of California
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2526	
27	
28	
	ii

FINAL JUDGMENT

22 | 23 |

Plaintiff, the People of the State of California, appeared through the Attorney General, Bill Lockyer, by Deputy Attorneys General Ronald A. Reiter and Christina V. Tusan, through the District Attorney of San Francisco, Terrence Hallinan, by Assistant District Attorney June Cravett, and through the City Attorney of San Francisco, Dennis Herrera, by Deputy City Attorney Donald P. Margolis. Defendants First American Title Insurance Company and First American Corporation (collectively "First American") appeared through their attorneys Rutan & Tucker by Robert Braun.

The Court having considered the Stipulation For Entry of Final Judgment executed by the parties and filed herewith, and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY AGREED, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

JURISDICTION

1. This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this action and of the parties. Venue as to all matters between the parties relating hereto lies in this Court.

INJUNCTION

2. The injunctive provisions of this judgment apply to First American; its directors, officers, and shareholders; to the employees, representatives, agents, subsidiary and affiliated companies (regardless of the form of business organization), successors-in-interest, and assigns of First American; and any person or entity acting by, through, under, on behalf of, or in concert with First American or any other person or entity described in this paragraph, whether acting as a principal or agent, all of whom are referred to as "defendants." For the purpose of the injunctive provisions of this judgment, the term "agent" does not apply to non-subsidiary companies or entities in which First American does not have an ownership interest under the following circumstances: 1) those entities are preforming escrows services on their own behalf and 2) those entities are acting as First American's agent solely through their involvement in facilitating the provision of title insurance on behalf of First American.

///

3. For the purpose of this judgment the following definitions shall apply:

A. The term "financial benefit" means any consideration, other than consideration denominated as interest, that defendants obtain from a financial institution in connection with the defendants' deposit of escrow funds with that financial institution. "Financial benefit" includes a financial institution's absorption of expenses incident to providing normal banking functions or its forbearance from charging a fee in connection with providing normal banking functions or services, including those normal banking functions and services that the Federal Reserve Board determines may be provided without full charge consistent with 12 C.F.R. part 217. Examples of "financial benefits" that may be provided by a financial institution include, but are not limited to, escrow accounting services and bank reconciliation, wire transfers, and loans at preferential interest rates.

- B. The term "escrow," whether used as a noun or an adjective, refers only to escrows conducted at or through an office located within the State of California. "Escrow funds" refers only to funds generated by escrows conducted at or through an office located within the State of California. The terms "customer," "escrow customer," and "claimant" are limited to those persons using and/or purchasing escrow services from an office located within the State of California.
- 4. Defendants, and each of them, are permanently enjoined and restrained from engaging in any of the following:
- A. Billing or collecting from title insurance or escrow customers an amount that exceeds the actual cost to defendants of services provided by third parties in connection with defendants' performance of escrow and title services, such as overnight mail, courier, and notary services, unless (1) such practice is permitted by state and federal law and (2) defendants clearly and conspicuously disclose that the defendants have marked-up the third party charge.
- B. Obtaining any financial benefit in connection with the deposit of escrow funds unless the full value of all financial benefits is (1) exclusively used to underwrite the cost of escrow services and (2) fully allocated to First American's escrow division. First

American shall continually maintain, with a retention period of a minimum of three fiscal years, accounting information that clearly, accurately, and in sufficient detail demonstrates its compliance with this provision.

- C. Assessing a separate charge to escrow or title customers for any service such as wire transfers, if the service is provided as a financial benefit or the cost of the service is otherwise waived, credited, paid, or assumed by the provider of the service.
- D. Depositing escrow funds in any financial institution in which defendants have any ownership interest, management, or control if (1) the deposit of funds in that financial institution would breach First American's fiduciary duties as escrow agent or (2) that financial institution failed to provide financial benefits in connection with the deposit of escrow funds that were comparable to the best arrangement for the provision of financial benefits offered by California financial institutions in connection with the deposit of escrow funds.
- E. Demanding, charging, or collecting a separate fee from escrow or title insurance customers in connection with investigating or tracking whether a beneficiary under a deed of trust causes a deed of reconveyance to be recorded after the obligation owed to the beneficiary has been satisfied, except to the extent that a separate fee is affirmatively and explicitly authorized by a subsequent statute.
- F. Demanding, charging, or collecting a separate fee from escrow or title insurance customers in connection with preparing, issuing, or recording a release of obligation or providing notice of intention to do so, except to the extent that a separate fee is affirmatively and explicitly authorized by a subsequent statute.
- G. Collecting a separate fee for a deed of reconveyance (including any recording fee therefore) from an escrow or title insurance customer without separately accounting for this fee in a suspense account or otherwise segregating this fee from defendants' funds in accordance with generally acceptable accounting principles until the fee is transmitted to the trustee or beneficiary under the deed of trust, is returned to the customer, or is paid as required by law.

- H. Raising any fees charged in connection with escrow services or the provision of title insurance to circumvent or offset any discounts offered pursuant to paragraphs 16 through 22. Defendants shall have the burden of establishing to plaintiffs and/or the Department of Insurance that the basis for any rate or fee increase is unrelated to the discounts offered pursuant to paragraphs 16 through 22.
- I. Using the term "discount" or otherwise advertising or promoting First American's escrow services on the basis of the discounts offered pursuant to paragraphs 16 through 22. Nothing herein prevents defendants from informing the public of First American's charges for escrow services.
- J. Disbursing monies to financial institutions that are beneficiaries under deeds of trust at the close of escrow unless disbursed in the following manner:
- (1) By wire or electronic fund transfer upon close of escrow; by check sent upon close of escrow via a next day delivery service, such as Federal Express, for delivery on the next business day following the close of escrow; or by hand delivery for delivery on the same day as, or on the next business day following, the close of escrow.
- (2) In the event that the close of escrow occurs so late in the day that it would be impracticable for the funds to be disbursed in the manner described in subparagraph J(1), the funds shall be disbursed on the next business day following the close of escrow by the most expeditious means available.
- (3) Notwithstanding subparagraphs J(1) and J(2), any means directed by the seller or refinancing owner in an escrow instruction signed or initialed by the seller or refinancing owner.
- (4) If the defendant acts as the escrow, any instruction described in subparagraph J(3) shall appear on a separate page with no other writing except that necessary to identify the escrow, the parties, the property, the date, the signature of the party giving the instruction, and the following notice which shall clearly and conspicuously appear immediately above or adjacent to the place reserved for the escrow customer's signature. "Any delay in sending funds to a beneficiary under a deed of trust could result in additional

interest charges or other expense. You should compare the amount of interest charges or other expenses resulting from a delay in sending funds with the amount you will be charged for wire, electronic fund transfer, or overnight delivery before you sign or initial this escrow instruction."

(5) When disbursing funds by wire or electronic transfer, Defendant shall utilize to the fullest extent practicable wire transfers (or similar electronic transfers) where such transfers are included in earned credits furnished by the depositing institution.

RESTITUTION

5. First American shall pay restitution in the total amount of five million five hundred thousand dollars (\$5,500,000) in the form of cash payments, as provided in paragraphs 6 through 15, with the balance of the restitution remaining after deducting the amount of cash payments to be paid in the form of discounts, as provided in paragraphs 16 through 22. The discount restitution payments will begin within five business days after the completion of the cash claims process described in paragraphs 6 through 15 of this Judgment.

Payments to Claimants

- 6. A. First American shall pay sixty-five dollars (\$65) to each of its former escrow customers who meet all of the following conditions:
- (1) Except as provided in paragraph 6(A)(3)(b) the customer closed an escrow transaction in which First American acted as escrow agent between May 19, 1995 and the date of the entry of judgment.
- (2) The customer was charged and paid a fee for any services involved in the preparation, execution and recordation of a deed of reconveyance including but not limited to document preparation or forwarding services.
- (3)(a) The customer received a release of obligation, or (b) the customer neither received a release of obligation nor a reconveyance, and closed an escrow transaction between July 1, 1997 and the date of the entry of judgment.
 - (4) The customer files a timely claim as provided in paragraph 8.
 - (5) Claimant is a natural person or a trust.

28

- B. First American in addition shall pay twenty-five dollars (\$25) to each of its former escrow customers who meet the conditions set forth in paragraph 6A(1), without regard to 6(A)(3)(b); 6A(4) and 6A(5).
- C. First American may treat multiple buyers, sellers, and borrowers in an escrow transaction (e.g., tenants in common, a husband and wife in a community property transaction) as a single customer or claimant for the purpose of paying claims.
- 7. First American shall have no obligation to make payment under paragraph 6 if First American establishes that it did any of the following:
- A. Transmitted the customer's reconveyance fee to the trustee or beneficiary under the deed of trust that encumbered the customer's property.
- B. Prepared and recorded a deed of reconveyance while acting in the capacity of trustee under the deed of trust.
 - C. Escheated to the state the fee charged the customer.
- 8. Within five business days after the Attorney General has given First American notice that the verification process has been completed and that the Attorney General has determined that the information provided was substantially complete and accurate, as more fully described in paragraphs 24 through 26 of this Judgment and paragraph 1F of the Stipulation, First American, at its sole expense, shall cause to be published a notice, whose form and contents are satisfactory to plaintiff, at least once per week for three consecutive weeks. The published notices will appear in the Los Angeles Times, the San Francisco Chronicle and Examiner, the Sacramento Bee, the Modesto Bee, the Fresno Bee, the San Diego Union Tribune, the Bakersfield Californian, the Orange County Register, the San Jose Mercury News, and the Oakland Tribune. The notice shall state that natural persons or trusts who closed an escrow transaction in which First American acted as escrow agent between May 19, 1995 and the date of the entry of judgment are eligible to file a claim to recover between twenty-five dollars (\$25) and ninety dollars (\$90) if the claim is returned by a date specified in the notice that is at least 90 days after the date on which the first notice is published. The notice shall indicate that the claim must indicate the claimant's name and

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the address of the property involved in the escrow. The notice may request additional documentation if available to the customer but shall clearly indicate that the customer is not obliged to provide any further information to be eligible. The published notice shall state that no specific claim form is required as long as the claimant's name and property address is set forth, but the notice shall set forth a sample form that may be completed and returned. The notice shall also set forth the address to which the claim form is to be sent and a toll-free telephone number to which inquiries may be directed.

- 9. The claims shall be returned to a Settlement Administrator, as more particularly described in paragraph 24. The Settlement Administrator shall log the claims and transmit them to First American.
- 10. Within 60 days after the close of the claims settlement period described in paragraph 8 of this Judgment, the Settlement Administrator will transmit all claims to First American. First American shall (A) pay the claim without further review or (B) review the claim, conduct a diligent search of all relevant business records and public records, and determine the claimant's eligibility for payment. If First American determines that the claimant is eligible, or if First American cannot determine that the claimant is ineligible, First American shall pay the claim within 15 days. If First American determines that the claimant is not eligible, First American shall provide the Settlement Administrator, within 15 days, with the name and address of each person who submitted the claim that First American determined was ineligible and a complete statement of reasons for the denial of that claimant's claim including all of the documentation on which First American relies to establish First American's determination that the claimant is not eligible for payment. The Settlement Administrator shall review the rejected claims, any written objections submitted as described under paragraph 12, and the records, including the escrow file, that may be relevant for determining the claimant's eligibility. The Settlement Administrator shall report its evaluation of the merits of each rejected claim to plaintiff. If plaintiff disputes First American's rejection of a claim and the parties are unable to resolve the dispute, all disputed claims shall be submitted, at First American's sole expense, to an arbitrator selected by the

parties or, in the event the parties do not agree on an arbitrator, to an arbitrator selected by the court.

- 11. For the purpose of this judgment, a claim shall be deemed eligible and the claimant shall be entitled to payment under either of the following circumstances:
- A. First American recorded a release of obligation for the claimant and First American's records do not clearly refute that the claimant paid a fee for a reconveyance or release of obligation.
- B. First American did not record a release of obligation or a reconveyance in the capacity of trustee under a deed of trust for the claimant, First American's records indicate that the claimant paid a fee for a reconveyance or release of obligation, and First American's records do not establish that First American either transmitted the fee paid by the claimant to the beneficiary or trustee under the deed of trust or escheated the fee to the state.
- 12. First American shall notify each person who submitted a claim that was rejected and briefly describe the reason for rejecting the claim. The notice shall indicate that if the claimant disputes the rejection of the claim, the claimant may provide the Settlement Administrator with a written objection stating all of the claimant's grounds for disputing the rejection of the claim. The notice shall indicate that the written objection must be mailed to the Settlement Administrator at an address set forth in the notice and must be submitted by a specified date, which shall not be less than 15 days following the date of the mailing of the notice.
- 13. The Settlement Administrator shall respond to any questions by the public about the claims procedure and, in connection therewith, shall establish a toll free number.
- 14. The envelopes containing the checks sent by First American to claimants shall be marked with the Settlement Administrator's return address. If any check sent to a claimant is returned undeliverable, the Settlement Administrator shall take or cause to be taken reasonable steps, including skip-tracing if reasonable, to attempt to locate the claimant. If thereafter the claimant is still not located or if the claimant's check is not cashed within six months, any funds payable under the judgment shall be promptly, but in no event later

than April 1, 2004, paid as cy pres restitution as provided in paragraph 23.

shall provide plaintiff and the Settlement Administrator with a report indicating the name and address of each claimant paid, the date of payment, and the amount of the claim. If any claims are thereafter paid, First American shall supplement the report with additional quarterly reports indicating the name and address of each claimant paid, the date of payment, and the amount paid. Within seven months of the date of issuing checks in payment of claims, First American shall provide plaintiff and the Settlement Administrator with a report of the names and addresses of claimants whose checks are not cashed within six months of issuance. The reports required herein shall be subscribed under oath by an officer of First American indicating his or her firsthand personal knowledge of the facts set forth in the report.

Discounts for Eligible Customers

- 16. First American shall reduce its charge to customers by twenty dollars (\$20) for escrow services, or by twenty dollars (\$20) for title insurance when escrow services are not directly provided, if the customer satisfies the following conditions:
- A. The customer closes a transaction for the purchase, sale, or refinancing of residential real property containing one- to four-dwelling units on and after the date of the entry of this judgment.
- B. That customer previously purchased escrow services (or title insurance without escrow services) between May 19, 1995 and the date of entry of judgment from First American or from other settling defendants identified by plaintiff in a declaration filed with the court following entry of judgment.
- C. That customer did not receive cash payment of a claim, as provided in paragraphs 6 through 15 of this judgment.
 - D. Customer is a natural person or trust.
- 17. Customers who had multiple transactions involving the purchase of escrow services or title insurance without escrow services from First American or other settling

defendants between May 19, 1995 and the date of entry of judgment shall be entitled to a twenty dollar (\$20) discount for each transaction.

- 18. First American shall determine whether a customer is eligible for a discount based on an examination of its business records and public records. For the purpose of this judgment, it shall be presumed that First American or another settling defendant provided escrow services or title insurance without escrow services between May 19, 1995 and the date of entry of judgment if a deed or deed of trust recorded during that time period indicates that recording was requested by First American or another settling defendant. In determining eligibility for a discount, First American may ask a customer if the customer has already received a cash payment or a discount from another settling defendant. Unless the customer positively states in writing that the customer has already received a cash payment or a discount from another settling defendant, or First American has written verification that the customer has already received a cash payment or discount from another settling defendant, First American may presume without any investigation that the customer, if otherwise qualified, is entitled to a discount.
 - 19. A. First American shall prominently disclose in the preliminary title report provided to customers that any customer who purchased, sold or refinanced residential property between May, 1995 and the date of entry of judgment may be entitled to a \$20 discount on escrow services for each such transaction. The disclosure shall state that if the previous transaction involved property that is different from the property that is the subject of the current transaction, the customer must inform First American of the earlier transaction, provide the address of the property involved in the previous transaction, and the date or approximate date, that the escrow closed to be eligible for a discount. The disclosure shall be in substantially the same form as the following:

"You may be entitled to receive a \$20 discount on escrow services if you purchased, sold or refinanced residential

property in California between May, 1995 and [the date of entry of judgment]. If you had more than one qualifying transaction, you may be entitled to multiple discounts.

If your previous transaction involved the same property that is the subject of this preliminary title report, you do not have to do anything, First American will provide the discount.

If your previous transaction involved property different from the property that is subject of your current transaction, you must inform First American of the earlier transaction, provide the address of the property involved in the previous transaction, and the date or approximate date that the escrow closed to be eligible for the discount.

Unless you inform First American of the prior transaction on a property that is not the subject of this transaction, First American has no obligation to conduct an investigation to determine if you qualify for a discount. If you provide First American information concerning a prior transaction, First American is required to determine if you qualify for a discount."

- B. Customers shall not be required to provide any documentation or verification of eligibility or to request a discount in order to qualify for a discount if the prior transaction involved the same property that is the subject of the current transaction. First American, however, shall consider any documentation voluntarily provided by customers in determining eligibility for a discount.
- C. If the previous transaction involved property different from the property that is the subject of the current transaction, the customer must, in response to the notice provided by First American described

in paragraph 19(A) of this Judgment, inform First American of the earlier transaction, provide the address of the property involved in the previous transaction, and the date, or approximate date, that the escrow closed to be eligible for a discount. Unless the customer provides this information, First American shall have no obligation to conduct an investigation to determine whether the customer qualifies.

- D. Where First American is obligated to conduct an investigation of whether a customer qualifies for a discount, it shall do so based on an examination of First American's business records and public records.
- 20. First American shall provide discounts under this judgment until the earlier of (a) the date on which First American has paid out all discounts owed under this judgment as described in paragraph 5 or (b) 10 years after the date of entry of this judgment.
- 21. If First American has not fully discharged its obligation to pay discounts within 7 years following the date of entry of judgment, the following shall apply:
- A. Plaintiff and First American shall review the amount of discounts remaining to be paid and the anticipated number of transactions during the next 3-year period in which First American is likely to provide escrow services or title insurance without escrow services.
- B. There is a rebuttable presumption affecting the burden of proof that the number of anticipated transactions in which First American will provide escrow services or title insurance without escrow services during the next 3-year period is the same as the number of transactions during the previous 3-year period in which First American provided escrow services or title insurance without escrow services.
- C. If the parties agree that it is likely that First American will provide the amount of remaining discounts during the next 3-year period at the rate of twenty dollars (\$20) per discount, First American shall continue to provide twenty dollar (\$20) discounts until all discounts owing under this judgment have been paid or until the date that is 10 years

///

after the date of the entry of this judgment.

D. If the parties agree that it is unlikely that First American will pay out the amount of remaining discounts during the next 3-year period at the rate of twenty dollars (\$20) per discount, the amount of each discount shall be increased in five dollar (\$5) increments to an amount that the parties agree will likely be sufficient to ensure that First American provides the remaining amount of discounts owed under this judgment during the next 3-year period. First American shall provide the increased discount until all discounts owing under this judgment have been paid or until the date that is 10 years after the date of the entry of this judgment.

- E. If the parties are unable to reach agreement as described in subparagraph C or D, the court shall set the amount of the discount at a sufficient level to ensure that First American will likely provide all discounts owed under this judgment within 10 years after the date of the entry of this judgment.
- 22. First American shall provide counsel for plaintiff with a report, quarterly during the first year following the date of entry of this judgment and semiannually thereafter, indicating that First American is acting in compliance with the obligation to provide discounts as set forth in this judgment and stating the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of each person receiving a discount, as required under this judgment, and the amount of the discount. The reports required herein shall be subscribed under oath by an officer of First American indicating his or her firsthand personal knowledge of the facts set forth in the report.

CASH PAYMENT

23. First American is ordered to pay plaintiff the sum of one million five hundred thousand dollars (\$1,500,000) in two equal installments, the first upon execution of the stipulation for entry of judgment and the second installment on the date that is 18 months following the date on which the first installment is required to be paid. Each installment

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

shall be paid in the form of a wire transfer to the Office of the Attorney General and distributed as set forth below. The Attorney General shall hold the first installment until the completion of the verification procedure described in paragraphs 24 through 26 of the Judgment and a determination that the information provided by First American was substantially complete and accurate as more fully described in paragraph 1F of the Stipulation. Thereafter, the Attorney General shall distribute the first installment and, upon its receipt, the second installment as follows: five hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000) as provided under Business and Professions Code sections 17206 and 17536 and Government Code section 26506 with one-half of those funds distributed to the Attorney General, onefourth of those funds distributed to the District Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco, and one-fourth of the funds distributed to the City Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco; one hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000) among counsel for plaintiff as reimbursement of attorney's fees and costs, including attorney's fees and costs for the monitoring of First American's compliance with the judgment; and one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) as cy pres restitution to be paid to the Consumer Protection Prosecution Trust Fund, established in <u>People v. ITT Consumer Financial Corporation</u>, et al., Alameda Superior Court Case No. 656038-0, for the investigation and prosecution of cases involving consumer real estate, home mortgage, and consumer finance transactions, civil law enforcement or other consumer protection matters as the trustees of that trust fund in their discretion may direct.

VERIFICATION

24. First American and counsel for plaintiff jointly shall select, and First American shall retain at its sole expense, a third party settlement administrator ("Settlement Administrator") and a third party verifier ("Verifier"). The Settlement Administrator shall be an independent firm that is substantially experienced in the administration of consumer payment programs. The Verifier shall be an independent firm containing one or more certified public accountants experienced in verification and examination procedures. The

13

14

20 21

19

22 | 23 |

2425

2627

28

Verifier shall have responsibility for the following:

- A. The Verifier shall verify that information provided to plaintiff by First American was substantially complete and accurate at the time the information was presented. The verification procedure may include a reasonable examination and testing of First American's records and interviews of First American's personnel.
- B. The procedures to be employed by the Verifier to determine compliance and payment shall be developed by the Verifier consistent with applicable standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and shall include testing and such other procedures sufficient to enable the Verifier to render an opinion concerning the defendants' assertion of compliance relied on by plaintiff as a basis for settlement.
- C. The Verifier shall provide First American and counsel for plaintiff with a final report no later than March 1, 2003, unless First American and counsel for plaintiff agree to a later date, which agreement shall not be unreasonably withheld if additional time is needed to prepare an appropriate report. The final report shall be issued promptly upon completion of all action required hereunder. The final report shall (1) summarize all tasks undertaken by the Verifier, (2) set forth the Verifier's opinion that defendants have furnished substantially accurate and complete information to counsel for plaintiff, and (3) set forth the Verifier's certification of its compliance with the procedures set forth in this Judgment except to the extent of any specifically described deficiencies in compliance. The final report shall be provided to the Attorney General of California, Consumer Law Section, 300 S. Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90013, Attention: Deputy Attorney General Christina Tusan; to the San Francisco District Attorney, Consumer and Environmental Protection Unit, 732 Brannan Street, San Francisco, California, Attention: June Cravett; and to the City Attorney of San Francisco, 1390 Market Street, 6th Floor, San Francisco, California 94102-5408, Attention: Donald P. Margolis (and/or to such other address or to the attention of such other person as the offices of the Attorney General, District Attorney of San Francisco, or City

Attorney of San Francisco shall specify in writing to First American and the Verifier).

- D. The letter of engagement entered into between First American and the Verifier shall provide the following: (1) a description of the Verifier's duties as provided in this Judgment, (2) a requirement that the Verifier shall make available to First American and counsel for plaintiff, within 30 days of written request, copies of all records, documents, reports and work papers obtained or prepared in connection with the duties set forth herein, and (3) a requirement that the Verifier make available to First American and counsel for plaintiff a person or persons familiar with the procedures to be performed as required by this Judgment or provided in the letter of engagement. If First American has already produced documents responsive to the request, the Verifier may identify those documents in lieu of providing duplicates. The letter of engagement shall acknowledge that the Attorney General, the District Attorney of San Francisco, and the City Attorney of San Francisco are each an intended user or beneficiary of the report.
- 25. To facilitate the Verifier's responsibilities, First American shall make available, at First American's expense, to the Verifier sufficient documents, persons, and other information, including data bases, to enable the Verifier to fulfill its functions under this Judgment, including documents, access to persons, and information reasonably related to the determination of whether First American furnished substantially accurate and complete information to counsel for plaintiff.
- 26. First American and the Verifier shall provide for review by the Attorney General, the District Attorney of San Francisco, and/or the City Attorney of San Francisco, within 30 days of a written request, all records, documents and personnel reasonably necessary to ascertain First American's and the Verifier's compliance with this Judgment. Nothing herein limits the right of the Attorney General, the District Attorney of San Francisco, or the City Attorney of San Francisco to request or obtain information from defendants as otherwise provided in this Judgment or as provided by law.

SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATION

27. The Settlement Administrator shall carry out its duties as described in the "Payments to Claimants" portion of this judgment, as set forth in paragraphs 6 through 15, and shall verify that First American has processed claims, properly rejected those claims First American determined to be ineligible, and actually paid claimants with eligible claims as provided under paragraphs 6 through 15.

///

- 28. The Settlement Administrator shall provide First American and counsel for plaintiff with a final report no later than September 1, 2003, unless First American and counsel for plaintiff agree to a later date, which agreement shall not be unreasonably withheld if additional time is needed to prepare an appropriate report. The final report shall be issued promptly upon completion of all action required hereunder. The final report shall (1) set forth the Settlement Administrator's opinion that First American has paid cash claims to claimants as provided under paragraphs 6 through 15 of this Judgment, and (2) set forth the Settlement Administrator's certification of its compliance with the procedures set forth in this Judgment except to the extent of any specifically described deficiencies in compliance.
- 29. The letter of engagement entered into between First American and the Settlement Administrator shall provide the following: (1) a description of the Settlement Administrator's duties as provided in this Judgment, (2) a requirement that the Settlement Administrator shall make available to First American and counsel for plaintiff, within 30 days of written request, copies of all records, documents, reports and work papers obtained or prepared in connection with the duties set forth herein, and (3) a requirement that the Settlement Administrator make available to First American and counsel for plaintiff a person or persons familiar with the procedures to be performed as required by this Judgment or provided in the letter of engagement. If First American has already produced documents responsive to the request, the Settlement Administrator may identify those documents in lieu

of providing duplicates. The letter of engagement shall acknowledge that the Attorney General, the District Attorney of San Francisco, and the City Attorney of San Francisco are each an intended user or beneficiary of the report.

- 30. To facilitate the Settlement Administrator's responsibilities, First American shall make available, at First American's expense, to the Settlement Administrator sufficient documents, persons, and other information, including data bases, to enable the Settlement Administrator to fulfill its functions under this Judgment, including documents, access to persons, and information reasonably related to the determination of whether First American furnished (A) substantially accurate and complete information to counsel for plaintiff regarding payment of claims, (B) properly determined claimants' eligibility for payment under paragraphs 6 through 15 of this Judgment, and (C) timely paid eligible cash claimants.
- 31. A. On or before September 1, 2003, First American and the Settlement Administrator shall provide, to the extent applicable to each, to the Attorney General of California, Consumer Law Section, 300 S. Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90013, Attention: Deputy Attorney General Christina Tusan; to the San Francisco District Attorney, Consumer and Environmental Protection Unit, 732 Brannan Street, San Francisco, California, Attention: June Cravett; and to the City Attorney of San Francisco, 1390 Market Street, 6th Floor, San Francisco, California 94102-5408, Attention: Donald P. Margolis (and/or to such other address or to the attention of such other person as the offices of the Attorney General, District Attorney of San Francisco, or City Attorney of San Francisco shall specify in writing to First American and the Settlement Administrator), a Final Certification Report containing the following information:
- (1) A certification by First American that all monetary relief provided for herein due to all eligible claimants has been paid. The report shall also certify compliance by First American and the Settlement Administrator with each provision of this Judgment related to such monetary relief to the extent applicable to each.
 - (2) An alphabetical list of the name, address, and telephone number

of every eligible cash claimant to whom payment was made and a list of the name, address, and telephone number of every person who filed a claim that was determined to be ineligible for payment together with a brief description of the basis for concluding that the claimant was ineligible.

B. First American and the Settlement Administrator shall provide for review by the Attorney General, the District Attorney of San Francisco, or the City Attorney of San Francisco, within 30 days of a written request, all records, documents and personnel reasonably necessary to ascertain First American's and the Settlement Administrator's compliance with this Judgment as to claimants (for example, in response to inquiries concerning specific claimants). Nothing herein limits the right of the Attorney General, the District Attorney of San Francisco, or the City Attorney of San Francisco to request or obtain information from defendants as otherwise provided in this Judgment or as provided by law.

RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

32. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter for the purpose of enabling any of the parties to apply to the Court at any time for such further orders or directives as may be necessary or appropriate for the modification of the injunctive provisions herein or for the interpretation or enforcement of any of the provisions of this Judgment.

BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 17203

33. All injunctive and other equitable relief under this Judgment, including all relief described in paragraphs 5 through 22 inclusive, is ordered pursuant to the court's equitable powers, including those remedial powers authorized by Business and Professions Code section 17203.

PAYMENT OF COURT COSTS

34. First American shall pay all court costs associated with its appearance in this action, including any fee for the filing of the stipulation for entry of judgment. Except as otherwise provided herein, each party shall bear its own costs, including attorneys' fees.

EFFECTIVE DATE AND SCOPE OF JUDGMENT

2

3

This Judgment shall be binding and effective when entered by the Court. 35.

36. As the parties have stipulated, plaintiff has determined that this Judgment,
including the payment provisions, is a fair, equitable, and final resolution and disposition of
all and only those matters pleaded in the Complaint to constitute violations of Business and
Professions Code sections 17200 et seq. and 17500 during the period from May 19, 1995
until the date of entry of this judgment. As the parties have further stipulated and the court
adjudges, the Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment and this Judgment do not settle,
compromise, bar, or otherwise in any manner affect either (1) any claims that the State
Controller may have against First American, which claims, if any the State Controller may
pursue notwithstanding the Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment or the entry of this
Judgment or (2) any defenses that First American may have to those claims.

5	all and only those matters pleaded in the Complaint to constitute violations of Business ar
6	Professions Code sections 17200 et seq. and 17500 during the period from May 19, 199
7	until the date of entry of this judgment. As the parties have further stipulated and the cou
8	adjudges, the Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment and this Judgment do not settle
9	compromise, bar, or otherwise in any manner affect either (1) any claims that the Sta
10	Controller may have against First American, which claims, if any the State Controller may
11	pursue notwithstanding the Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment or the entry of the
12	Judgment or (2) any defenses that First American may have to those claims.
13	
14	DATED: JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
15	JODGE OF THE SUFERIOR COURT
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

20

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California HERSCHEL T. ELKINS, (SBN 27279) Senior Assistant Attorney General CHRISTINA V. TUSAN, (SBN 192203) Deputy Attorney General 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, California 90013 RONALD A. REITER, (SBN 62497) Supervising Deputy Attorney General 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000
8	San Francisco, California 94102
9	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff the People of the State of California (Additional co-counsel on following page)
11	
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
13 14	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO
15	
16	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
17) Plaintiff,) FINAL JUDGMENT
18	$\left. \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{v}. \end{array} \right.$
19	LANDAMERICA FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.,
20	COMMONWEALTH LAND TITLE INSURANCE) COMPANY, COMMONWEALTH LAND TITLE) COMPANY I AWYERS TITLE INSURANCE
21	COMPANÝ, LAWYERS TITLE INSURANCE) CORPORATION, AND LAWYERS TITLE) COMPANY)
22	COMPANY
23	Defendants.
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

FINAL JUDGMENT

1 2	TERENCE HALLINAN, (SBN 39953) District Attorney for the City and County of San Francisco DAVID A. PFEIFER, (SBN 127785) JUNE D. CRAVETT, (SBN 105094) Assistant District Attorneys 732 Brannan Street San Francisco Celifornia 04103
3	JUNE D. CRAVETT, (SBN 105094) Assistant District Attorneys
4	732 Brannan Street San Francisco, California 94103
5	
6	
7	DENNIS HERRERA,
8	DENNIS HERRERA, City Attorney for the City and County of San Francisco JOANNE HOEPER, (SBN 114961) Chief Trial Attorney
9	Chief Trial Attorney DONALD P. MARGOLIS, (SBN 116588)
10	Chief Trial Attorney DONALD P. MARGOLIS, (SBN 116588) Deputy City Attorney 1390 Market Street, 6 th Floor San Francisco, California 94102-5408
11	San Francisco, California 94102-5408
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff the People of the State of California
13	Automeys for Framum the reopie of the State of Camornia
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
2728	
40	
	ii

FINAL JUDGMENT

10

12 13

15 16

14

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff, the People of the State of California, appeared through the Attorney General, Bill Lockyer, by Deputy Attorneys General Ronald A. Reiter and Christina V. Tusan, through the District Attorney of San Francisco, Terence Hallinan, by Assistant District Attorney June Cravett, and through the City Attorney of San Francisco, Dennis Herrera, by Deputy City Attorney Donald P. Margolis. Defendants LandAmerica Financial Group, Inc., Commonwealth Land Title Insurance Company, Commonwealth Land Title Company, Lawyer's Title Insurance Corporation, and Lawyers Title Company (hereafter collectively referred to as "LandAmerica") appeared through their attorneys Gorry, Meyer & Rudd, LLP, by Christopher L. Rudd.

The Court having considered the Stipulation For Entry of Final Judgment executed by the parties and filed herewith, and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY AGREED, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

JURISDICTION

1. This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this action and of the parties. Venue as to all matters between the parties relating hereto lies in this Court. The plaintiff's Complaint in this matter states claims upon which relief may be granted under Section 17200 et seq. of the Business and Professions Code.

INJUNCTION

2. The injunctive provisions of this judgment apply to LandAmerica; its directors and officers, to the employees, representatives, agents, subsidiary and affiliated companies (regardless of the form of business organization), successors-in-interest, and assigns of LandAmerica; and any person or entity acting by, through, under, on behalf of, or in concert with LandAmerica or any other person or entity described in this paragraph, whether acting as a principal or agent, all of whom are referred to as "defendants." For the purpose of the injunctive provisions of this judgment, the term "agent" does not apply to non-subsidiary companies or entities in which LandAmerica does not have an ownership interest under the following circumstances: 1) those entities are preforming escrows services on their own behalf and 2) those entities are acting as LandAmerica's agent solely through their

involvement in facilitating the provision of title insurance on behalf of LandAmerica.

- 3. For the purpose of this judgment, the term "financial benefit" means any consideration, other than consideration denominated as interest, that defendants obtain from a financial institution in connection with the defendants' deposit of escrow funds with that financial institution. "Financial benefit" includes a financial institution's absorption of expenses incident to providing normal banking functions or its forbearance from charging a fee in connection with providing normal banking functions or services, including those normal banking functions and services that the Federal Reserve Board determines may be provided without full charge consistent with 12 C.F.R. part 217. Examples of "financial benefits" that may be provided by a financial institution include, but are not limited to, escrow accounting services and bank reconciliation, wire transfers, and loans at preferential interest rates.
- 4. Defendants, and each of them, are permanently enjoined and restrained from engaging in any of the following:
- A. Billing or collecting from title insurance or escrow customers an amount that exceeds the actual cost to defendants of services provided by third parties in connection with defendants' performance of escrow and title services, such as overnight mail, courier, and notary services, unless (1) such practice is permitted by state and federal law and (2) defendants clearly and conspicuously disclose that the defendants have marked-up the third party charge.
- B. Obtaining any financial benefit in connection with the deposit of escrow funds unless the full value of all financial benefits is (1) exclusively used to underwrite the cost of escrow services and (2) fully allocated to LandAmerica's escrow division. LandAmerica shall continually maintain, with a retention period of a minimum of three fiscal years, accounting information that clearly, accurately, and in sufficient detail demonstrates its compliance with this provision.
- C. Assessing a separate charge to escrow or title customers for any service such as wire transfers, if the service is provided as a financial benefit or the cost of the

service is otherwise waived, credited, paid, or assumed by the provider of the service.

- D. Depositing escrow funds in any financial institution in which defendants have any ownership interest, management, or control if (1) the deposit of funds in that financial institution would breach LandAmerica's fiduciary duties as escrow agent or (2) that financial institution failed to provide financial benefits in connection with the deposit of escrow funds that were comparable to the best arrangement for the provision of financial benefits offered by California financial institutions in connection with the deposit of escrow funds.
- E. Demanding, charging, or collecting a separate fee from escrow or title insurance customers in connection with investigating or tracking whether a beneficiary under a deed of trust causes a deed of reconveyance to be recorded after the obligation owed to the beneficiary has been satisfied, except to the extent that a separate fee is affirmatively and explicitly authorized by a subsequent statute.
- F. Demanding, charging, or collecting a separate fee from escrow or title insurance customers in connection with preparing, issuing, or recording a release of obligation or providing notice of intention to do so, except to the extent that a separate fee is affirmatively and explicitly authorized by a subsequent statute.
- G. Collecting a separate fee for a deed of reconveyance (including any recording fee therefore) from an escrow or title insurance customer without separately accounting for this fee in a suspense account or otherwise segregating this fee from defendants' funds in accordance with generally acceptable accounting principles until the fee is transmitted to the trustee or beneficiary under the deed of trust, is returned to the customer, or is paid as required by law.
- H. Raising any fees charged in connection with escrow services or the provision of title insurance to circumvent or offset any discounts offered pursuant to paragraphs 16 through 22. Defendants shall have the burden of establishing to plaintiffs and/or the Department of Insurance that the basis for any rate or fee increase is unrelated to the discounts offered pursuant to paragraphs 16 through 22.

- I. Using the term "discount" or otherwise advertising or promoting LandAmerica's escrow services on the basis of the discounts offered pursuant to paragraphs 16 through 22. Nothing herein prevents defendants from informing the public of LandAmerica's charges for escrow services.
- J. Disbursing monies to financial institutions that are beneficiaries under deeds of trust at the close of escrow unless disbursed in the following manner:
- (1) By wire or electronic fund transfer upon close of escrow; by check sent upon close of escrow via a next day delivery service, such as Federal Express, for delivery on the next business day following the close of escrow; or by hand delivery for delivery on the same day as, or on the next business day following, the close of escrow.
- (2) In the event that the close of escrow occurs so late in the day that it would be impracticable for the funds to be disbursed in the manner described in subparagraph J(1), the funds shall be disbursed on the next business day following the close of escrow by the most expeditious means available.
- (3) Notwithstanding subparagraphs J(1) and J(2), any means directed by the seller or refinancing owner in an escrow instruction signed or initialed by the seller or refinancing owner.
- (4) If the defendant acts as the escrow, any instruction described in subparagraph J(3) shall appear on a separate page with no other writing except that necessary to identify the escrow, the parties, the property, the date, the signature of the party giving the instruction, and the following notice which shall clearly and conspicuously appear immediately above or adjacent to the place reserved for the escrow customer's signature. "Any delay in sending funds to a beneficiary under a deed of trust could result in additional interest charges or other expense. You should compare the amount of interest charges or other expenses resulting from a delay in sending funds with the amount you will be charged for wire, electronic fund transfer, or overnight delivery before you sign or initial this escrow instruction."
 - (5) When disbursing funds by wire or electronic transfer, defendant

shall utilize to the fullest extent practicable wire transfers (or similar electronic transfers) where such transfers are included in earned credits furnished by the depositing institution.

RESTITUTION

5. LandAmerica shall pay restitution in the total amount of eight million dollars (\$8,000,000) in the form of cash payments, as provided in paragraphs 6 through 15, with the balance of the restitution remaining after deducting the amount of cash payments to be paid in the form of discounts, as provided in paragraphs 16 through 22. The discount restitution payments will begin within five business days after the completion of the cash claims process described in paragraphs 6 through 15 of this Judgment.

Payments to Claimants

- 6. A. LandAmerica shall pay sixty-five dollars (\$65) to each of its former escrow customers who meet all of the following conditions:
- (1) The customer closed an escrow transaction in which LandAmerica acted as escrow agent between May 19, 1995 and the date of the entry of judgment.
- (2) The customer was charged and paid a fee for any services involved in the preparation, execution and recordation of a deed of reconveyance including but not limited to document preparation or forwarding services.
- (3) The customer received a release of obligation, or did not receive a release of obligation or a reconveyance.
 - (4) The customer files a timely claim as provided in paragraph 8.
 - (5) The customer is a natural person or a trust.
- B. LandAmerica may treat multiple buyers, sellers, and borrowers in an escrow transaction (e.g., tenants in common, a husband and wife in a community property transaction) as a single customer or claimant for the purpose of paying claims.
- 7. LandAmerica shall have no obligation to make payment under paragraph 6 if LandAmerica establishes that it did any of the following:
 - A. Transmitted the customer's reconveyance fee to the trustee or

11 12

10

1314

15

1617

18 19

20

2122

2324

25

2627

28

beneficiary under the deed of trust that encumbered the customer's property.

- B. Prepared and recorded a deed of reconveyance while acting in the capacity of trustee under the deed of trust.
 - C. Escheated to the state the fee charged the customer.
- 8. Within five business days after the Attorney General has given LandAmerica notice that the verification process has been completed and that the Attorney General has determined that the information provided was substantially complete and accurate, as more fully described in paragraphs 24 through 26 of this Judgment and paragraph 1F of the Stipulation, LandAmerica, at its sole expense, shall cause to be published a notice, whose form and contents are satisfactory to plaintiff, at least once per week for three consecutive weeks. The published notices will appear in the Los Angeles Times, the San Francisco Chronicle and Examiner, the Sacramento Bee, the Modesto Bee, the Fresno Bee, the San Diego Union Tribune, the Bakersfield Californian, the Orange County Register, the San Jose Mercury News, and the Oakland Tribune. The notice shall state that former escrow customers who meet the criteria set forth in paragraph 6 are eligible to file a claim to recover sixty-five dollars (\$65) if the claim is returned by a date specified in the notice that is at least 90 days after the date on which the first notice is published. The notice shall indicate that the claim must indicate the claimant's name and the address of the property involved in the escrow. The notice may request additional documentation if available to the customer but shall clearly indicate that the customer is not obliged to provide any further information to be eligible. The published notice shall state that no specific claim form is required as long as the claimant's name and property address is set forth, but the notice shall set forth a sample form that may be completed and returned. The notice shall also set forth the address to which the claim form is to be sent and a toll-free telephone number to which inquiries may be directed.
- 9. The claims shall be returned to a Settlement Administrator, as more particularly described in paragraph 24. The Settlement Administrator shall log the claims and transmit them to LandAmerica.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

- 10. Within 60 days after the close of the settlement period described in paragraph 8 of this Judgment, the Settlement Administrator will transmit all claims to LandAmerica. After the completion of the LandAmerica shall (A) pay the claim without further review or (B) review the claim, conduct a diligent search of its records, and determine the claimant's eligibility for payment. If LandAmerica determines that the claimant is eligible, or if LandAmerica cannot determine the claimant is ineligible, LandAmerica shall pay the claim within 15 days. If LandAmerica determines that the claimant is not eligible, LandAmerica shall provide the Settlement Administrator, within 15 days, with the name and address of each person who submitted the claim that LandAmerica determined was ineligible and a complete statement of reasons for the denial of that claimant's claim including all of the documentation on which LandAmerica relies to establish LandAmerica's determination that the claimant is not eligible for payment. The Settlement Administrator shall review the rejected claims, any written objections submitted as described under paragraph 12, and the records, including the escrow file, that may be relevant for determining the claimant's eligibility. The Settlement Administrator shall report its evaluation of the merits of each rejected claim to plaintiff. If plaintiff disputes LandAmerica's rejection of a claim and the parties are unable to resolve the dispute, all disputed claims shall be submitted, at LandAmerica's sole expense, to an arbitrator selected by the parties or, in the event the parties do not agree on an arbitrator, to an arbitrator selected by the court.
- 11. For the purpose of this judgment, a claim shall be deemed eligible and the claimant shall be entitled to payment under either of the following circumstances:
- A. LandAmerica recorded a release of obligation for the claimant and LandAmerica's records do not clearly refute that the claimant paid a fee for a reconveyance or release of obligation.
- B. LandAmerica did not record a release of obligation or a reconveyance in the capacity of trustee under a deed of trust for the claimant, LandAmerica's records indicate that the claimant paid a fee for a reconveyance or release of obligation, and LandAmerica's records do not establish that LandAmerica either transmitted the fee paid by

the claimant to the beneficiary or trustee under the deed of trust or escheated the fee to the state.

- 12. LandAmerica shall notify each person who submitted a claim that was rejected and briefly describe the reason for rejecting the claim. The notice shall indicate that if the claimant disputes the rejection of the claim, the claimant may provide the Settlement Administrator with a written objection stating all of the claimant's grounds for disputing the rejection of the claim. The notice shall indicate that the written objection must be mailed to the Settlement Administrator at an address set forth in the notice and must be submitted by a specified date, which shall not be less than 15 days following the date of the mailing of the notice.
- 13. The Settlement Administrator shall respond to any questions by the public about the claims procedure and, in connection therewith, shall establish a toll free number.
- 14. The envelopes containing the checks sent by LandAmerica to claimants shall be marked with the Settlement Administrator's return address. If any check sent to a claimant is returned undeliverable, the Settlement Administrator shall take or cause to be taken reasonable steps, including skip-tracing if reasonable, to attempt to locate the claimant. If thereafter the claimant is still not located or if the claimant's check is not cashed within six months, any funds payable under the judgment shall be promptly, but in no event later than April 1, 2004 paid as cy pres restitution as provided in paragraph 23.
- shall provide plaintiff and the Settlement Administrator with a report indicating the name and address of each claimant paid, the date of payment, and the amount of the claim. If any claims are thereafter paid, LandAmerica shall supplement the report with additional quarterly reports indicating the name and address of each claimant paid, the date of payment, and the amount paid. Within seven months of the date of issuing checks in payment of claims, LandAmerica shall provide plaintiff and the Settlement Administrator with a report of the names and addresses of claimants whose checks are not cashed within six months of issuance. The reports required herein shall be subscribed under oath by an officer of

LandAmerica indicating his or her firsthand personal knowledge of the facts set forth in the report.

Discounts for Eligible Customers

- 16. LandAmerica shall reduce its charge to customers by twenty dollars (\$20) for escrow services, or by twenty dollars (\$20) for title insurance when escrow services are not directly provided, if the customer satisfies the following conditions:
- A. The customer closes a transaction for the purchase, sale, or refinancing of residential real property containing one- to four-dwelling units on and after the date the discount restitution payments are to begin pursuant to paragraph 5 of this Judgment.
- B. That customer previously purchased escrow services (or title insurance without escrow services) between May 19, 1995 and the date of entry of judgment from LandAmerica or from other settling defendants identified by plaintiff in a declaration filed with the court following entry of judgment.
- C. That customer did not receive cash payment of a claim, as provided in paragraphs 6 through 15 of this judgment.
 - D. The customer is a natural person or a trust.
- 17. Customers who had multiple transactions involving the purchase of escrow services or title insurance without escrow services from LandAmerica or other settling defendants between May 19, 1995 and the date of entry of judgment shall be entitled to a twenty dollar (\$20) discount for each transaction.
- 18. LandAmerica shall determine whether a customer is eligible for a discount based on an examination of its business records and public records. For the purpose of this judgment, it shall be presumed that LandAmerica or another settling defendant provided escrow services or title insurance without escrow services between May 19, 1995 and the date of entry of judgment if a deed or deed of trust recorded during that time period indicates that recording was requested by LandAmerica or another settling defendant.
- 19. Customers shall not be required to provide any documentation or verification of eligibility or to request a discount in order to qualify for a discount. LandAmerica,

however, shall consider any documentation voluntarily provided by customers in determining eligibility for a discount.

- 20. LandAmerica shall provide discounts under this judgment until the earlier of (a) the date on which LandAmerica has paid out all discounts owed under this judgment as described in paragraph 5 or (b) 10 years after the date of entry of this judgment.
- 21. If LandAmerica has not fully discharged its obligation to pay discounts within 7 years following the date of entry of judgment, the following shall apply:
- A. Plaintiff and LandAmerica shall review the amount of discounts remaining to be paid and the anticipated number of transactions during the next 3-year period in which LandAmerica is likely to provide escrow services or title insurance without escrow services.
- B. There is a rebuttable presumption affecting the burden of proof that the number of anticipated transactions in which LandAmerica will provide escrow services or title insurance without escrow services during the next 3-year period is the same as the number of transactions during the previous 3-year period in which LandAmerica provided escrow services or title insurance without escrow services.
- C. If the parties agree that it is likely that LandAmerica will provide the amount of remaining discounts during the next 3-year period at the rate of twenty dollars (\$20) per discount, LandAmerica shall continue to provide twenty dollar (\$20) discounts until all discounts owing under this judgment have been paid or until the date that is 10 years after the date of the entry of this judgment.
- D. If the parties agree that it is unlikely that LandAmerica will pay out the amount of remaining discounts during the next 3-year period at the rate of twenty dollars (\$20) per discount, the amount of each discount shall be increased in five dollar (\$5) increments to an amount that the parties agree will likely be sufficient to ensure that LandAmerica provides the remaining amount of discounts owed under this judgment during the next 3-year period. LandAmerica shall provide the increased discount until all discounts owing under this judgment have been paid or until the date that is 10 years after the date of

4 5 6

8 9 10

7

12 13

11

14

15

16 17

19

20

18

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

the entry of this judgment.

- E. If the parties are unable to reach agreement as described in subparagraph C or D, the court shall set the amount of the discount at a sufficient level to ensure that LandAmerica will likely provide all discounts owed under this judgment within 10 years after the date of the entry of this judgment.
- 22. LandAmerica shall provide counsel for plaintiff with a report, quarterly during the first year following the date of entry of this judgment and semiannually thereafter, indicating that LandAmerica is acting in compliance with the obligation to provide discounts as set forth in this judgment and stating the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of each person receiving a discount, as required under this judgment, and the amount of the discount. The reports required herein shall be subscribed under oath by an officer of LandAmerica indicating his or her firsthand personal knowledge of the facts set forth in the report.

CASH PAYMENT

23. LandAmerica is ordered to pay plaintiff the sum of one million six hundred thousand dollars (\$1,600,000). The payment shall be made in the form of a wire transfer to the Office of the Attorney General. The Attorney General shall hold the cash payment until the completion of the verification procedure described in paragraphs 24 through 26 of the Judgment and a determination that the information provided by Land America was substantially complete and accurate as more fully described in paragraph 1F of the Stipulation. Thereafter, the Attorney General shall distribute the payments as follows: seven hundred thousand dollars (\$700,000) as provided under Business and Professions Code sections 17206, 17536 and Government Code section 26506 with one-half of those funds distributed to the Attorney General, one-fourth of those funds distributed to the District Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco and one-fourth of those funds distributed to the City Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco; four hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$450,000) among counsel for plaintiff as reimbursement of attorney's fees and costs, including attorney's fees and costs for the monitoring of LandAmerica's compliance with the judgment; and four hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$450,000) as cypres restitution to be paid

VERIFICATION

as the trustees of that trust fund in their discretion may direct.

24. LandAmerica and counsel for plaintiff jointly shall select, and LandAmerica shall retain at its sole expense, a third party settlement administrator ("Settlement Administrator") and a third party verifier ("Verifier"). The Settlement Administrator shall be an independent firm that is substantially experienced in the administration of consumer payment programs. The Verifier shall be an independent firm containing one or more certified public accountants experienced in verification and examination procedures. The Verifier shall have responsibility for the following:

to the Consumer Protection Prosecution Trust Fund, established in <u>People v. ITT Consumer</u>

Financial Corporation, et al., Alameda Superior Court Case No. 656038-0, for the

investigation and prosecution of cases involving consumer real estate, home mortgage, and

consumer finance transactions, civil law enforcement or other consumer protection matters

- A. The Verifier shall verify that information provided to plaintiff by LandAmerica was substantially complete and accurate at the time the information was presented. The verification procedure may include a reasonable examination and testing of LandAmerica's records and interviews of LandAmerica's personnel.
- B. The procedures to be employed by the Verifier to determine compliance and payment shall be developed by the Verifier consistent with applicable standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and shall include testing and such other procedures sufficient to enable Verifier to render an opinion concerning the defendants' assertion of compliance relied on by plaintiff as a basis for settlement.
- C. The Verifier shall provide LandAmerica and counsel for plaintiff with a final report no later than March 1, 2003, unless LandAmerica and counsel for plaintiff agree to a later date, which agreement shall not be unreasonably withheld if additional time is needed to prepare an appropriate report. The final report shall be issued promptly upon completion of all action required hereunder. The final report shall (1)

summarize all tasks undertaken by the Verifier, (2) set forth the Verifier's opinion that defendants have furnished substantially accurate and complete information to counsel for plaintiff, and (3) set forth the Verifier's certification of its compliance with the procedures set forth in this Judgment except to the extent of any specifically described deficiencies in compliance. The final report shall be provided to the Attorney General of California, Consumer Law Section, 300 S. Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90013, Attention: Deputy Attorney General Christina Tusan; to the San Francisco District Attorney, Consumer and Environmental Protection Unit, 732 Brannan Street, San Francisco, California, Attention: June Cravett; and to the City Attorney of San Francisco, 1390 Market Street, 6th Floor, San Francisco, California 94102-5408, Attention: Donald P. Margolis (and/or to such other address or to the attention of such other person as the offices of the Attorney General, District Attorney of San Francisco, or City Attorney of San Francisco shall specify in writing to LandAmerica and the Verifier).

- D. The letter of engagement entered into between LandAmerica and the Verifier shall provide the following: (1) a description of the Verifier's duties as provided in this Judgment, (2) a requirement that Verifier shall make available to LandAmerica and counsel for plaintiff, within 30 days of written request, copies of all records, documents, reports and work papers obtained or prepared in connection with the duties set forth herein, and (3) a requirement that the Verifier make available to LandAmerica and counsel for plaintiff a person or persons familiar with the procedures to be performed as required by this Judgment or provided in the letter of engagement. If LandAmerica has already produced documents responsive to the request, the Verifier may identify those documents in lieu of providing duplicates. The letter of engagement shall acknowledge that the Attorney General, the District Attorney of San Francisco, and the City Attorney of San Francisco are each an intended user or beneficiary of the report.
- 25. To facilitate the Verifier's responsibilities, LandAmerica shall make available, at LandAmerica's expense, to the Verifier sufficient documents, persons, and other information, including data bases, to enable the Verifier to fulfill its functions under

22 | 23 |

this Judgment, including documents, access to persons, and information reasonably related to the determination of whether LandAmerica furnished substantially accurate and complete information to counsel for plaintiff.

26. LandAmerica and the Verifier shall provide for review by the Attorney General, the District Attorney of San Francisco, and/or the City Attorney of San Francisco, within 30 days of a written request, all records, documents and personnel reasonably necessary to ascertain LandAmerica's and the Verifier's compliance with this Judgment . Nothing herein limits the right of the Attorney General, the District Attorney of San Francisco, or the City Attorney of San Francisco to request or obtain information from defendants as otherwise provided in this Judgment or as provided by law.

SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATION

- 27. The Settlement Administrator shall carry out its duties as described in the "Payments to Claimants" portion of this judgment, as set forth in paragraphs 6 through 15, and shall verify that LandAmerica has processed claims, properly rejected those claims LandAmerica determined to be ineligible, and actually paid claimants with eligible claims as provided under paragraphs 6 through 15.
- 28. The Settlement Administrator shall provide LandAmerica and counsel for plaintiff with a final report no later than September 1, 2003, unless LandAmerica and counsel for plaintiff agree to a later date, which agreement shall not be unreasonably withheld if additional time is needed to prepare an appropriate report. The final report shall be issued promptly upon completion of all action required hereunder. The final report shall (1) set forth the Settlement Administrator's opinion that LandAmerica has paid cash claims to claimants as provided under paragraphs 6 through 15 of this Judgment, and (2) set forth the Settlement Administrator's certification of its compliance with the procedures set forth in this Judgment except to the extent of any specifically described deficiencies in compliance.
- 29. The letter of engagement entered into between LandAmerica and the Settlement Administrator shall provide the following: (1) a description of the Settlement

Administrator's duties as provided in this Judgment, (2) a requirement that the Settlement Administrator shall make available to LandAmerica and counsel for plaintiff, within 30 days of written request, copies of all records, documents, reports and work papers obtained or prepared in connection with the duties set forth herein, and (3) a requirement that the Settlement Administrator make available to LandAmerica and counsel for plaintiff a person or persons familiar with the procedures to be performed as required by this Judgment or provided in the letter of engagement. If LandAmerica has already produced documents responsive to the request, the Settlement Administrator may identify those documents in lieu of providing duplicates. The letter of engagement shall acknowledge that the Attorney General, the District Attorney of San Francisco, and the City Attorney of San Francisco are each an intended user or beneficiary of the report.

- 30. To facilitate the Settlement Administrator's responsibilities, LandAmerica shall make available, at LandAmerica's expense, to the Settlement Administrator sufficient documents, persons, and other information, including data bases, to enable the Settlement Administrator to fulfill its functions under this Judgment, including documents, access to persons, and information reasonably related to the determination of whether LandAmerica furnished (A) substantially accurate and complete information to counsel for plaintiff regarding payment of claims, (B) properly determined claimants' eligibility for payment under paragraphs 6 through 15 of this Judgment, and (C) timely paid eligible cash claimants.
- 31. A. On or before September 1, 2003, LandAmerica and the Settlement Administrator shall provide, to the extent applicable to each, to the Attorney General of California, Consumer Law Section, 300 S. Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90013, Attention: Deputy Attorney General Christina Tusan; to the San Francisco District Attorney, Consumer and Environmental Protection Unit, 732 Brannan Street, San Francisco, California, Attention: June Cravett; and to the City Attorney of San Francisco, 1390 Market Street, 6th Floor, San Francisco, California 94102-5408, Attention: Donald P. Margolis (and/or to such other address or to the attention of such other person as the

offices of the Attorney General, District Attorney of San Francisco, or City Attorney of San Francisco shall specify in writing to LandAmerica and the Settlement Administrator), a Final Certification Report containing the following information:

- (1) A certification by LandAmerica that all monetary relief provided for herein due to all eligible claimants has been paid. The report shall also certify compliance by LandAmerica and the Settlement Administrator with each provision of this Judgment related to such monetary relief to the extent applicable to each.
- (2) An alphabetical list of the name, address, and telephone number of every eligible cash claimant to whom payment was made and a list of the name, address, and telephone number of every person who filed a claim that was determined to be ineligible for payment together with a brief description of the basis for concluding that the claimant was ineligible.
- B. LandAmerica and the Settlement Administrator shall provide for review by the Attorney General, the District Attorney of San Francisco, and/or the City Attorney of San Francisco, within 30 days of a written request, all records, documents and personnel reasonably necessary to ascertain LandAmerica's and the Settlement Administrator's compliance with this Judgment as to claimants (for example, in response to inquiries concerning specific claimants). Nothing herein limits the right of the Attorney General, the District Attorney of San Francisco, or the City Attorney of San Francisco to request or obtain information from defendants as otherwise provided in this Judgment or as provided by law.

RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

32. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter for the purpose of enabling any of the parties to apply to the Court at any time for such further orders or directives as may be necessary or appropriate for the modification of the injunctive provisions herein or for the interpretation or enforcement of any of the provisions of this Judgment.