#### RECEIVED NOV 0 6 2013 Reuben Y 193981) Ì Daniel D. Cho (SBN 105409) Ben Yeroushalmi (SBN 232540) FILED 2 YEROUSHALMI & ASSOCIATES Mp 11/08/13 9100 Wilshire Bouleyard, Suite 610E SAN MATEO COUNTY 3 Beverly Hills, California 90212 NOV **0 7** 2013 4 Telephone: 310.623.1926 3/10/623.1930 Facsimile: 5 Attorneys for Plaintiffs. 6 Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 **COUNTY OF SAN MATEO** 10 **CASE NO. CIV 512114** CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC., in the public interest, 11 CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED] 12 Plaintiff, Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq. 13 GINA GROUP, LLC, a New York Limited 14 Dept. Law + Monin (Oest. 10) Liability Company; GINA HOSIERY LTD.. a New York Corporation; GINA 15 Judge: Hon. Steven-Dylina **GERALD J. BUCHWALD** CONCEPTS, LLC, a New York Limited Complaint filed: March 11, 2013 16 Liability Company; and DOES 1-20: 17 Defendants. 18 INTRODUCTION 19 This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff, Consumer 1.1 20 Advocacy Group, Inc. (referred to as "CAG") acting on behalf of itself and in the interest of the 21 public, and defendants, Gina Group, LLC, Gina Hosiery LTD., and Gina Concepts, LLC 22 (referred to as "Defendants" collectively) with each a Party to the action and collectively referred 23 to as "Parties." 24 **Defendants and Products** 1.2 25 1.2.1 Defendants are New York corporations and limited liability companies 26 which employ ten or more persons. Defendants manufacture, cause to be manufactured, sell, or 27 28 74362768.1 0046277-00002

distribute adult and children's footwear, including the Pink Girls Jelly Flip Flops, Style # ZTG-4501/A, Yellow Girls Jelly Flip Flops, Style # ZTG-4501/A, Blue Chatties® Sole Searching<sup>TM</sup> Flip Flops, Style # ZTG-4702/A, Chatties® Sandals "M 7-8" Style # ZTL-2600/A, Rue 21 etc!, S (6/7), rue FLOPS, Brown sandals with rhinestone jewel decorations, and handbags and wallets, including the Red Purse with Red Bow Front, SKU # 2934100236908110 (collectively referred to hereinafter "Covered Products"). For purposes of this Consent Judgment, Defendants are deemed a person in the course of doing business in California and are subject to the provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

# 1.3 Chemicals Of Concern

- 1.3.1 Lead and Lead Compounds are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm.
- 1.3.2 Diethyl hexyl phthalate ("DEHP") is known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm.
- 1.3.3 Di-Butyl Phthalate ("DBP") is known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

#### 1.4 Notices of Violation.

1.4.1 On May 26, 2011, CAG served Defendants, W&W Wholesale, Inc., and Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled dated May 25, 2011 "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("May 25, 2011 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP and DBP contained in Pink Girls Jelly Flip Flops, Style # ZTG-4501/A, Yellow Girls Jelly Flip Flops, Style # ZTG-4501/A, Blue Chatties® Sole Searching<sup>TM</sup> Flip Flops, Style # ZTG-4702/A sold by Defendants. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the May 26, 2011 Notice.

1.4.2 On August 5, 2011, CAG served Defendants, National Stores, Inc. and Fallas Parades and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("August 5, 2011 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DBP contained in footwear, including but not limited to, Chatties® Sandals "M 7-8" Style # ZTL-2600/A sold by Defendants. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the August 5, 2011 Notice.

1.4.3 On February 27, 2012, CAG served Defendants, Big Lots, Inc., Big Lots Stores, Inc. and Big Lots!, and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled dated February 26, 2012 "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("February 26, 2012 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to lead contained in handbags and wallets, including but not limited to, Red Purse with Red Bow Front, SKU # 2934100236908110. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the February 27, 2012 Notice.

1.4.4 On September 14, 2012, CAG served Defendants, Rue 21 and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("September 14, 2012 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DBP contained in footwear, including but not limited to Rue 21 etc!, S (6/7), rue FLOPS, Brown sandals with rhinestone jewel decorations, a consumer product designed as footwear sold by Defendants. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the September 14, 2012 Notice.

1.4.5 On December 14, 2012, CAG served Defendants, Rue21, Inc., Pennsylvania Fashions, Inc., and various public entities with a document entitled "60 Day Notice of Violation" ("December 14, 2012 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged

violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in handbags and wallets, including but not limited to, Handbag, SKU # 400159587627, Style No. 0744, Color No. 040 (dark blue glitter) and Handbag, SKU #400159587887, Style No. 0744, Color No. 030 (light yellow-green glitter).

## 1.5 Complaint.

On February 28, 2012, CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint") in San Mateo Superior Court, Case No. CIV 512114, against Defendants. CAG filed a First Amended Complaint ("FAC") against Defendants on or about March 11, 2013. The Complaint and FAC allege, among other things, that Defendants violated Proposition 65 by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to Lead, DEHP, and DBP from the Covered Products.

# 1.6 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and FAC and personal jurisdiction over Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaint and FAC, that venue is proper in the County of San Mateo and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint and FAC and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related thereto.

### 1.7 No Admission

This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any material allegation of the Complaint (each and every allegation of which Defendants deny), any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law

or violation of law, including without limitation, any admission concerning any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, regulatory, common law, or equitable doctrine, or the meaning of the terms "knowingly and intentionally expose" or "clear and reasonable warning" as used in Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, or of fault, wrongdoing, or liability by any Defendant, its officers, directors, employees, or parent, subsidiary or affiliated corporations, or be offered or admitted as evidence in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any court, agency, or forum. Furthermore, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding, except as expressly provided in this Consent Judgment.

# 2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Covered Products" means Covered Products sold by Gina Group, LLC, Gina Hosiery LTD., and Gina Concepts, LLC. "Covered Products" are limited to those products which were subject to the "Notices" served by CAG..
- 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date that this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court.
  - 2.3 "Lead" means lead and lead compounds.
  - 2.4 "DEHP" means Diethyl hexyl phthalate.
  - 2.4 "DBP" means Di-Butyl phthalate
- 2.5 "Notices" means the May 26, 2011, August 5, 2011, February 27, 2012, and September 14, 2012 60 Day Notices of Violation sent by CAG.
- 3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF / REFORMULATION / CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS.
- 3.1 Within 90 days of the Effective Date, Defendants shall not sell the Covered Products in California unless they are reformulated to contain less than 0.1% DEHP and DBP

and less than 100 ppm of lead. Any existing products remaining in Defendants inventory should have compliance Proposition 65 warnings.

## 4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 4.1 Payment and Due Date: Within 10 business days of the approval of the Consent Judgment, Defendants shall pay a total of sixty-eight thousand dollars and zero cents (\$68,000) in full and complete settlement of all monetary claims by CAG related to the Notices, as follows:
- 4.1.1 Civil Penalty: Defendants shall issue separate checks totaling four thousand dollars (\$4,000) as penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.12:
- (a) Defendants will issue a check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") in the amount of three thousand dollars (\$3,000) representing 75% of the total penalty and Defendants will issue a check to CAG in the amount of one thousand dollars (\$1,000) representing 25% of the total penalty; and
- (b) Separate 1099s shall be issued for each of the above payments:

  Defendants will issue a 1099 to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 (EIN: 680284486) in the amounts of \$3,000. Defendants will also issue a 1099 to CAG c/o Yeroushalmi
  & Associates, 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 610E, Beverly Hills, California 90212.
- 4.1.2 Payment In Lieu of Civil Penalties: Defendants shall pay two thousand dollars (\$2,000) in lieu of civil penalties to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." CAG will use this payment for investigation of the public's exposure to Proposition 65 listed chemicals through various means, including laboratory fees for testing for Proposition 65 listed chemicals, administrative costs and fees related to such activities, expert fees for evaluating exposures through various mediums, including but not limited to consumer product, occupational, and environmental exposures to Proposition 65 listed chemicals, and the cost of hiring consulting and retained experts who assist with the extensive scientific analysis necessary for those files in litigation, as well as administrative costs and fees related to such activities in order to reduce the

public's exposure to Proposition 65 listed chemicals by notifying those persons and/or entities believed to be responsible for such exposures and attempting to persuade those persons and/or entities to reformulate their products or the source of exposure to completely eliminate or lower the level of Proposition 65 listed chemicals, thereby addressing the same public harm as allegedly in the instant Action. Further, should the court require it, CAG will submit under seal, an accounting of these funds as described above as to how the funds were used. The check shall be made payable to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." and delivered to Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Associates, 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 610E, Beverly Hills, California 90212.

- 4.1.3 Reimbursement of Attorneys Fees and Costs: Defendants shall pay sixty-two thousand dollars (\$62,000) to "Yeroushalmi & Associates" as reimbursement for reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and any other costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant's attention, litigating, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. The check shall be made payable to "Yeroushalmi & Associates" and delivered to Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Associates, 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 610E, Beverly Hills, California 90212.
- 4.2 Other than the payment to OEHHA described above, Payments shall be delivered to: Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Associates, 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 610E, Beverly Hills, CA 90212.

#### 5. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAG on behalf of itself and in the public interest and Defendants, of any alleged violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted by CAG against Defendants for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings of exposur to Lead, DBP and DEHP from the Covered Products as set forth in the Notices for the Covered Products, and fully resolves all claims that have been or could have been asserted in this action up to and including the date of entry of Judgment for

failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for the Covered Products regarding DEHP. CAG, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, hereby discharges Defendants and its parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensors, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers and all other upstream and downstream entities in the distribution chain of any of the Covered Products, and the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them, and all of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, members, managers, employees, agents (collectively, "Released Parties"), from all claims up through the Effective Date for violations of Proposition 65 based on exposure to based on exposure to Lead, DBP and DEHP from the Covered Products. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance by the Released Parties with Proposition 65 regarding alleged exposures to Lead, DBP and DEHP from the Covered Products. Nothing in this Section affects CAG's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Defendants or Released Parties.

5.2 CAG on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against the Released Parties arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead, DBP and DEHP from the Covered Products. In furtherance of the foregoing, as to alleged exposures to Lead, DBP and DEHP from the Covered Products, CAG on behalf of itself only, hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about

exposure to Lead, DBP and DEHP from the Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM, MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

CAG understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if CAG suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead, DBP and DEHP from the Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure to Lead, DBP and DEHP from the Covered Products, CAG will not be able to make any claim for those damages against Released Parties. Furthermore, CAG acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead, DBP, and DEHP from Covered Products as may exist as of the date of this release but which CAG does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect their decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether their lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

#### ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

6.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the Parties hereto. The Parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of California, San Mateo County, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions contained herein. A Party may enforce any of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment only after that Party first provides 90 days notice to the Party allegedly failing

to comply with the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment and attempts to resolve such Party's failure to comply in an open and good faith manner.

- Notice of Violation. Prior to bringing any motion, order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, CAG shall provide a Notice of Violation ("NOV") to Defendants. The NOV shall include for each of the Covered Products: the date(s) the alleged violation(s) was observed and the location at which the Covered Products were offered for sale, and shall be accompanied by all test data obtained by CAG regarding the Covered Products, including an identification of the component(s) of the Covered Products that were tested.
  - 6.2.1 Non-Contested NOV. CAG shall take no further action regarding the alleged violation if, within 60 days of receiving such NOV, Defendants serves a Notice of Election ("NOE") that meets one of the following conditions:
  - (a) The Covered Products were shipped by Defendants for sale in California before the Effective Date, or
  - (b) Since receiving the NOV Defendants have taken corrective action by either (i) requesting that its customers or stores in California, as applicable, remove the Covered Products identified in the NOV from sale in California and destroy or return the Covered Products to Defendants or vendor, as applicable, or (ii) providing a clear and reasonable warning for the Covered Products identified in the NOV pursuant to 27 Cal. Code Regs. § 25603.
  - 6.2.2 Contested NOV. Defendants may serve an NOE informing CAG of its election to contest the NOV within 30 days of receiving the NOV.
  - (a) In its election, Defendants may request that the sample(s) Covered Products tested by CAG be subject to confirmatory testing at an EPA-accredited laboratory.

- (b) If the confirmatory testing establishes that the Covered Products do not contain lead, DBP, or DEHP in excess of the levels allowed in Section 3.1, above, CAG shall take no further action regarding the alleged violation. If the testing does not establish compliance with Section 3.1, above, Defendants may withdraw its NOE to contest the violation and may serve a new NOE pursuant to Section 6.2.1.
- (c) If Defendants do not withdraw an NOE to contest the NOV, the Parties shall meet and confer for a period of no less than 30 days before CAG may seek an order enforcing the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- 6.3 In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, such party may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties or remedies as may be provided by law for any violation of Proposition 65 or this Consent Judgment.

## 7. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 7.1 CAG shall file a motion seeking approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, CAG, Defendants waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint and FAC.
- 7.2 If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, (a) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the actions shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this Action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

# 8. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

- 8.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.
- 8.2 Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

## 9. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

9.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

# 10. DUTIES LIMITED TO CALIFORNIA

10.1 This Consent Judgment shall have no effect on Covered Products sold by Defendants outside the State of California.

## 11. SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

11.1 CAG shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by both parties, on the California Attorney General so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment prior to its submittal to the Court for approval. No sooner than forty five (45) days after the Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, and in the absence of any written objection by the Attorney General to the terms of this Consent Judgment, the parties may then submit it to the Court for approval.

## 12. ATTORNEY FEES

12.1 Except as specifically provided in Section 4.1.3, each Party shall bear its own costs and attorney fees in connection with this action.

## 13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

13.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or

otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

## 14. GOVERNING LAW

- 14.1 The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.
- California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable or are no longer required as a result of any such repeal or preemption, or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Covered Products, then any Defendant subject to this Consent Judgment may provide written notice to CAG of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve a Defendant from any obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal law or regulation.
- 14.3 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

28

# 15. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

15.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document and have the same force and effect as original signatures.

#### 16. NOTICES

16.1 Any notices under this Consent Judgment shall be by personal delivery of First Class Mail.

If to CAG:

Reuben Yeroushalmi 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 610E Beverly Hills, CA 90212 (310) 623-1926

If to Gina Group, LLC, Gina Hosiery, LTD, or Gina Concepts LLC:

Edmond Harary, Current President or CEO Gina Group, LLC 10 W. 33<sup>rd</sup> St., 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor New York, NY 10001

With a copy to:

Melissa Jones Stoel Rives LLP 500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1600 Sacramento, CA 95814

#### 17. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE

17.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of the party represented and legally to bind that party.

CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date: 3-7-13 ,2013	Date:, 2013
Name: Michel Sastor	Name:
CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.	Title: GINA GROUP, LLC
AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date:	Date:, 2013
	Name:
Name:	Title GINA
Tide:	CONCEPTS, LLC.
GINA HOSTERY, LTD.	
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
IT IS SO ORDERED.  Date:	
Date:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
Date:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
Date:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
Ďate:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
Date:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
Ďate:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
Date:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
Date:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
Description	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

			•
1	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
2	Date:, 2013	Date: <u>AUG 14</u> , 2013	
3		EDMOND HARBRY	
4	Name:	Name:	
5 6	Title: CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.	Title: GINA GROUP, LLC	
7	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
8	Date: <u>AUG /4</u> , 2013	Date: <u>AUG- 14</u> , 2013	
10	GDHOND HARARY	LENNY (SALAY)	
П	Name:	Name:	
12		Title: PRES M.M GINA CONCEPTS, LLC.	
13 14	Title: fis 1111.	•	
15	GINA HOSIERY, LTD.  These hower blee compliance	with IP 11.1, Blave, by service of	the
316	Angrosed Consent andrews of Process Devent hope in fill	a fle Colif Myrung Neveral mil	sthe Coli
3 <sub>17</sub>	Date: /1/6/13	Mensul D. Burkman	agpassa
18		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
20		·	
21.			
22			
23			
24			
25 26			
27			
28	·		`

74362768.1 0046277-00002

CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]