ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER		
Justice	Melan Noble	Melan.Noble@doj.ca.gov	(916) 210-7011		
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400 NOTICE FILE NUMBER			NOTICE FILE NUMBER		
CA Pawn & SecondhandDealer System Regulations Z					
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPACTS Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.					
Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate	whether this regulation:				
Creek the appropriate society second to indicate whether this regulation. a. Impacts business and/or employees					
		ad of performance			
c. Impacts jobs or occupations g. Impacts individuals					
d. Impacts Jobs of Occupations g. Impacts Mathematical					
		·			
If any box in Items 1 a	through g is checked, complete this l	Economic Impact Statement.			
	checked, complete the Fiscal Impact				
Department of Justice		•			
2. The(Agency/Department)	estimates that the economic impa	ct of this regulation (which includes	the fiscal impact) is:		
_					
⊠ Below \$10 million					
Between \$10 and \$25 million					
Between \$25 and \$50 million					
·	over \$50 million, agencies are required to sub at Code Section 11346.3(c)]	mit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impac</u>	<u>t Assessment</u>		
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	3,874				
	c . Daymbuskan and Sasandham	d Danlawa			
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonpr	ofits): Pawnbrokers and Secondnar	id Dealers			
Enter the number or percentage of total	90%				
businesses impacted that are small businesses:	9070				
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be crea	ted: 0 eliminated: 0)			
Explain: Regulations pertain to a trans	formation of a paper reporting pro	ocess to an automated proce	ess.		
	-	•			
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	Statewide				
	Local or regional (List areas):				
6. Enter the number of jobs created: 0	and eliminated: 0				
o. Eliter the named or jobs created.					
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impac	tted: N/A				
Will the regulation affect the ability of California other states by making it more costly to produce		NO			
other states by making it more costly to produce	. 900d3 01 3C1 VICC3 NCIC 7E3				
If YES, explain briefly:					

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

В	ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assumptions in the	e rulemaking record.		
1.	What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and indivi-	duals may incur to comply with this regulation ov	er its lifetime? \$ Undetermined	
	a. Initial costs for a small business: \$0	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 150	Years: N/A	
	b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$0	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 150	Years: N/A	
	c. Initial costs for an individual: \$0	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0	Years:	
	d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: Unknown			
	·			
2	If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs fo	r each industry: N/A		
۷.	In multiple moustries are impacted, effect the share of total costs to	reactification, which		
2	If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual	costs a typical business may incur to comply with	those requirements	
Э.	Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting,			
4.	Will this regulation directly impact housing costs?	< no		
	If YES, enter the	e annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$		
		Number of units:		
5.	Are there comparable Federal regulations?			
	Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence	e of Federal regulations: These regulations a	are needed to interpret and	
	make specific California law regarding the California	a Pawn and SecondhandDealer System	ı .	
	Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may	be due to State - Federal differences: \$ 0		
=				
ς.	ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of benefits	is not specifically required by rulemaking law, bu	t encouraged.	
1.	. Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may include among others, the health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the State's environment: The proposed regulations clarify and make specific			
	the single, statewide, uniform electronic reporting s	system designed to protect the public	from the dissemination of stolen	
	property and assist criminal investigations in tracing	g and recovering stolen property.		
2.	Are the benefits the result of: 🔀 specific statutory requirements, of	or goals developed by the agency based on	broad statutory authority?	
	Explain: Statute requires the transformation from a pa	per based to an electronic based repo	rting system.	
_				
3.	What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its li	fetime? \$ Oriquantiliable		
4.	Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing businesses	ess within the State of California that would result	from this regulation: None.	
=				
D.	. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calculations an specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.	d assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimati	ion of the dollar value of benefits is not	
1	List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternat	ives were considered, explain why not. The DO	U considered taking no action.	
١.				
	and not adopting regulations interpreting and mak	ing specific Ab 391, as subsequently ar	nended in part by Ab 1751.	
		·	PAGE 2	

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

2.	Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:				
	Regulation: Benefit: \$ 0 Cost: \$ 150				
	Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ N/A Cost: \$ N/A				
	Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$				
3.	Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives: Since the costs and benefits of Alternative 1 are unquantifiable,				
	the DOJ cannot perform a comparison to the proposed regulations.				
4.	Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs?				
	Explain: The authorizing statutes for the proposed regulations are prescriptive in nature. Moreover, prescriptive standards				
	are necessary to ensure uniformity of the reports being submitted and the mechanism(s) of reporting.				
<u> </u>	MAJOR REGULATIONS Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.				
	California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.				
1.	Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed \$10 million? YES NO				
	If YES, complete E2. and E3 If NO, skip to E4				
2.	Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:				
	Alternative 1:				
	Alternative 2:				
	(Attach additional pages for other alternatives)				
2	For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:				
J.	Regulation: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$				
	Alternative 1: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$				
	Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$				
4. '	Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in California exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through 12 months after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented?				
	☐ YES ☐ NO				
	If YES, agencies are required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment (SRIA)</u> as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the SRIA in the Initial Statement of Reasons.				
5.	Briefly describe the following:				
	The Increase or decrease of investment in the State: N/A				
	The incentive for innovation in products, materials or processes: N/A				
	The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California residents, worker safety, and the state's environment and quality of life, among any other benefits identified by the agency:				
See Section C.1 on page 2.					
	PAGE:				

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

\$ a. Funding provided in guide fact of , Statutes of , Statutes of b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Budget Act of Fiscal Year: 2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are NOT reimbursable by the State. (Approximate) (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code). \$ Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and provide the appropriate information: a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the Co vs Case of: vs vs Date of Election: volume for the people of this State expressed in their approval of Proposition No Date of Election: vs	
Budget Act of	
b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Budget Act of Fiscal Year: 2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are NOT reimbursable by the State. (Approximate) (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code). \$	
Fiscal Year: 2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are NOT reimbursable by the State. (Approximate) (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code). \$	
2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are NOT reimbursable by the State. (Approximate) (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code). \$ Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and provide the appropriate information: a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the Case of: vs. c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Proposition No.	
(Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code). \$	
Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and provide the appropriate information: a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the Case of: vs. c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Proposition No.	
a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the Case of: vs. c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Proposition No.	
Case of:	
Case of:	
c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Proposition No.	ourt.
-	·
Date of Election:	·
d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s).	
Local entity(s) affected:	
e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from:	
Authorized by Section: of the	Code;
f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each	h;
g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in	
3. Annual Savings. (approximate)	
\$	
4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulation	15.
5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.	
6. Other. Explain	

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and ass year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	umptions of fiscal impact for the current
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	9
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for theFiscal Year	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
n l	
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and atta impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ch calculations and assumptions of fiscal
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	ar e
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE /3 /14
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sect the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secreta	ions 6601-6616, and understands ry must have the form signed by the
highest ranking official in the organization. AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE .
AGENCY SECRETARY MCC(12.14)	8/30/18
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Im	pact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE