# **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

#### ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

|  | ECONOMIC IVII                   | ACTOTATEMENT  |                        |  |  |
|--|---------------------------------|---|------------------------|--|--|
|  | CONTACT PERSON                  | EMAIL ADDRESS   | TELEPHONE NUMBER       |  |  |
| Department of Justice J  | laimie Tackett                  | Jaimie. Tackett@doj.ca.gov                                | 916-210-5267           |  |  |
| DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400                         |                                 |   | NOTICE FILE NUMBER     |  |  |
| Regulations Governing Shared Gang Datal                                    | bases                           |   | Z                      |  |  |
| A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPACT                                    | TE Include calculations and     | l assumptions in the sulamaking record                    |                        |  |  |
| A. ESTIMATED FRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPAC                                     | 13 Include Calculations and     | assumptions in the raiemaking record.                     |                        |  |  |
| 1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate                         | whether this regulation:        |   |                        |  |  |
| a. Impacts business and/or employees                                       | e. Imposes rep                  | orting requirements                                       |                        |  |  |
| b. Impacts small businesses f. Imposes prescriptive instead of performance |                                 |   |                        |  |  |
| c. Impacts jobs or occupations   | 🔀 g. Impacts indi               | ividuals  |                        |  |  |
| d. Impacts California competitiveness                                      | h. None of the                  | above (Explain below):                                    |                        |  |  |
|  | <u>—</u>                        |   |                        |  |  |
|  |                                 |   |                        |  |  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                                      | -                               | mplete this Economic Impact Statement.                    |                        |  |  |
| If box in Item 1.h. is   | checked, complete the Fi        | iscal Impact Statement as appropriate.                    |                        |  |  |
| Department of Justice  |                                 |   |                        |  |  |
| 2. The(Agency/Department)  | estimates that the ed           | conomic impact of this regulation (which includes         | the fiscal impact) is: |  |  |
|  |                                 |   |                        |  |  |
|  |                                 |   |                        |  |  |
| Between \$10 and \$25 million  |                                 |   |                        |  |  |
| Between \$25 and \$50 million  |                                 |   |                        |  |  |
|  |                                 | required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impac</u> | : Assessment           |  |  |
| as specified in Government   | (Coae Section 11346.3(c))       |   |                        |  |  |
| 3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:                          | 0                               |   |                        |  |  |
| 5. Litter the total number of businesses impacted.                         |                                 |   |                        |  |  |
| Describe the types of businesses (Include nonpro                           | Stell N/A                       |   | ·                      |  |  |
| Describe the types of businesses (include nonpro                           | M(S). 14/71                     | <del></del>   |                        |  |  |
| Enter the number or percentage of total                                    | 0                               |   |                        |  |  |
| businesses impacted that are small businesses:                             | <del></del>                     |   |                        |  |  |
| 4. Enter the number of businesses that will be create                      | nd. O                           | eliminated: 0   |                        |  |  |
| 4. Enter the number of businesses that will be create                      | eu: U                           | eiminated: O  |                        |  |  |
| Explain: N/A   |                                 |   |                        |  |  |
|  | <del></del>                     |   |                        |  |  |
| 5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:                              | Statewide                       |   |                        |  |  |
|  |                                 |   |                        |  |  |
| Ш  | Local or regional (List areas): | <u> </u>  |                        |  |  |
| 6. Enter the number of jobs created: 0                                     | and eliminated: 0               |   | •                      |  |  |
|  |                                 |   | •                      |  |  |
| Describe the types of jobs or occupations impact                           | ed: N/A                         |   |                        |  |  |
|  |                                 |   |                        |  |  |
|  |                                 |   |                        |  |  |
| 7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California b                  | usinesses to compete with       |   |                        |  |  |
| other states by making it more costly to produce goods or services here?   |                                 |   |                        |  |  |
|  |                                 |   |                        |  |  |
| If YES, explain briefly:   |                                 |   |                        |  |  |
|  |                                 |   |                        |  |  |
|  |                                 |   | <del></del>            |  |  |
|  |                                 | <del></del>   |                        |  |  |
|  |                                 |   |                        |  |  |

# **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

## **ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)**

| В. | ESTIMATED COSTS Include calcu   | ulations and assumptions i                              | in the rulemaking record.   |   |  |
|----|---|---|---|---|--|
| 1. | What are the total statewide dollar of  | costs that businesses and in                            | ndividuals may incur to comply with this regul  | ation over its lifetime? \$ 0                     |  |
|    | a. Initial costs for a small business:  | \$0   | Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0  | Years:  |  |
|    | b. Initial costs for a typical business   | s: \$ <u>0</u>  | Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0  | Years:  |  |
|    | c. Initial costs for an individual:   | \$0   | Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0  | Years:  |  |
|    | d. Describe other economic costs the  | hat may occur: No cost                                  | s, initial or ongoing, will be incurred   | by businesses or individuals.                     |  |
|    |   |   |   |   |  |
| 2. | If multiple industries are impacted,  | enter the share of total cos                            | sts for each industry: N/A  |   |  |
| 3. |   |   | nual costs a typical business may incur to com<br>ting, and other paperwork, whether or not the p |   |  |
| 4. | Will this regulation directly impact h  | ousing costs? YES                                       | ⊠ NO  |   |  |
|    |   | If YES, ento  | er the annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$  |   |  |
|    |   |   | Number of units:  |   |  |
| 5. | Are there comparable Federal regula   | ations? XES   | NO  |   |  |
|    | Explain the need for State regulation   | n given the existence or ab:                            | sence of Federal regulations: Federal regu  | lations govern the security of                    |  |
|    | all intelligence databases. State regulations are required by Penal Code section 186.36 and are specific to gang databases. |   |   |   |  |
|    | Enter any additional costs to busines   | sses and/or individuals that                            | may be due to State - Federal differences: \$   | 0   |  |
| c. | ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation   | n of the dollar value of ben                            | efits is not specifically required by rulemaking  | law, but encouraged.                              |  |
| 1. | Briefly summarize the benefits of the health and welfare of California resi   | e regulation, which may indidents, worker safety and th | clude among others, the<br>ne State's environment: The regulations                                | will protect the privacy rights of                |  |
|    | individuals designated within a shared gang database by expressly prohibiting user agencies from disseminating the data     |   |   |   |  |
|    | for purposes other than criminal investigations or the lawful prevention of criminal gang activity.                         |   |   |   |  |
| 2. | Are the benefits the result of: 🔀 sp  | pecific statutory requireme                             | nts, or goals developed by the agency b   | ased on broad statutory authority?                |  |
|    | Explain: Penal Code section 18  | 86.36 outlines the top                                  | oics that must be addressed in these  | e regulations.                                    |  |
| 3. | What are the total statewide benefit  | ts from this regulation over                            | its lifetime? \$ 0  |   |  |
| 4. | Briefly describe any expansion of bu  | usinesses currently doing b                             | usiness within the State of California that wou   | ld result from this regulation:N/A                |  |
|    |   |   |   |   |  |
|    |   |   |   |   |  |
|    |   |   |   |   |  |
| D. | ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA specifically required by rulemaking  |   | s and assumptions in the rulemaking record.   | Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not |  |
| 1. | List alternatives considered and des  | cribe them below. If no alte                            | ernatives were considered, explain why not: _   | he Department is required by Penal                |  |
|    | Code section 186.36 to pro  | mulgate regulations                                     | to govern the use of any shared gar   | ng database. While alternatives to each           |  |
|    | provision of the regulations  | were considered, th                                     | e Department determined that tho  | se included were the best options.                |  |

# **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

## **ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)**

| 2.         | Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:   |  |  |  |  |
|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|            | Regulation: Benefit: \$ O Cost: \$ See attachment  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Regulation:     Benefit: \$     O     Cost: \$     See attachment       Alternative 1:     Benefit: \$     Cost: \$  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.         | Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:   |  |  |  |  |
|            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.         | Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs?  YES  NO  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Explain: The Department made every effort to consider performance standards where possible. The areas where specific   |  |  |  |  |
|            | actions are prescribed are necessary to ensure consistency, transparency to the public, and adherence to statute.  |  |  |  |  |
| <u> </u>   | . MAJOR REGULATIONS Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.   |  |  |  |  |
|            | California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to   |  |  |  |  |
|            | submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.         | Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed \$10 million? YES X  |  |  |  |  |
|            | If YES, complete E2. and E3  If NO, skip to E4   |  |  |  |  |
| 2.         | Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:  |  |  |  |  |
| `          | Alternative 1:   |  |  |  |  |
|            | Alternative 2:   |  |  |  |  |
|            | (Attach additional pages for other alternatives)   |  |  |  |  |
| _          |  |  |  |  |  |
| <b>3</b> . | For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Regulation: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$   |  |  |  |  |
|            | Alternative 1: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.         | Vill the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in California exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through 12 months after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented? |  |  |  |  |
|            |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | If YES, agencies are required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment (SRIA)</u> as specified in<br>Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the SRIA in the Initial Statement of Reasons.   |  |  |  |  |
| 5.         | Briefly describe the following:  |  |  |  |  |
|            | The increase or decrease of investment in the State: See attachment  |  |  |  |  |
|            |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | The incentive for innovation in products, materials or processes: N/A  |  |  |  |  |
|            |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California  |  |  |  |  |
|            | residents, worker safety, and the state's environment and quality of life, among any other benefits identified by the agency:  The regulations will  |  |  |  |  |
|            | protect the privacy rights of individuals designated within a shared gang database.  |  |  |  |  |
|            | DACE   |  |  |  |  |

# **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

## FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

| A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT Indicate of<br>current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.                         | ppropriate boxes 1 through 6 and                                      | attach calculations and assumpti                         | ons of fiscal impact for the |
|---|---|--|------------------------------|
| 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Ye     (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California) | ar which are reimbursable by the S<br>Constitution and Sections 17500 | state. (Approximate)<br>et seq. of the Government Code). |                              |
| \$ 2,395,100-4,082,600 (see attached)   |   |  |                              |
| a. Funding provided in  |   |  |                              |
| Budget Act of   | or Chapter , St   | atutes of  |                              |
| b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Bud  | get Act of  |  |                              |
|   | Fiscal Year:  |  |                              |
| 2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Ye (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California      | ar which are NOT reimbursable by                                      | the State. (Approximate)                                 |                              |
| Charles and an analysis of the second and an  | ovida tha annua viata information.                                    |  |                              |
| Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and pr  | ovide the appropriate information:                                    |  |                              |
|   |   |  |                              |
| b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the  |   | •  | _Court.                      |
| Case of:  |   | vs   |                              |
| c. Implements a mandate of the people of this Stat  | e expressed in their approval of Pro                                  | oposition No.  |                              |
| Date of Election:   |   |  |                              |
| d. Issued only in response to a specific request from   | n affected local entity(s).   |  | •                            |
| Local entity(s) affected:   | ·   |  |                              |
| e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc   | from:   |  |                              |
| Authorized by Section:  | of the  |  | Code;                        |
| f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of loca   | government which will, at a minir                                     | num, offset any additional costs to                      | each;                        |
| g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a  | new crime or infraction contained                                     | in   |                              |
| 3. Annual Savings. (approximate)  |   |  |                              |
| \$  |   |  |                              |
| 4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes o  | nly technical, non-substantive or cla                                 | rifying changes to current law regul                     | ations.                      |
| 5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect   | any local entity or program.  |  |                              |
| 6. Other. Explain   |   |  |                              |
|   |   |  |                              |

PAGE 4

# ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

| <b>B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT</b> Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the curre year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.           |
|---|
| 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)  |
| \$ 2,431,000  |
| It is anticipated that State agencies will:   |
| □ a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.   |
| b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the Fiscal Year   |
| 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)  |
| \$  |
| 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.  |
| 4. Other. Explain   |
|   |
| C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fisc impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years. |
| 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)  |
| \$  |
| 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)  |
| \$  |
| 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.   |
| 4. Other. Explain   |
|   |
| FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE DATE   |
| 2 munt 19   |
| The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sections 6601-6616, and understands   |
| he impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the<br>highest-ranking official in the organization.              |
| AGENCY SECRETARY DATE   |
| 2 L m (16) 4/30/2019  |
| Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD. 399.   |
| DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER  DATE  |
|   |