ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

	ECONOMIC IMPAC	CT STATEMENT	
DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER
Department of Justice	Melan Noble	Melan.Noble@doj.ca.gov	916-210-7011
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400	audation a		NOTICE FILE NUMBER
Electronic Recording Delivery System Re	egulations		Z
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPA	CTS Include calculations and as	sumptions in the rulemaking record.	
	e. Imposes report f. Imposes prescri g. Impacts individ h. None of the ab Private sector a through g is checked, comp	ting requirements iptive instead of performance duals love (Explain below): participation is voluntary and they a plete this Economic Impact Statement. al Impact Statement as appropriate.	are not otherwise affected
2. The(Agency/Department)	estimates that the ecor	nomic impact of this regulation (which includes	the fiscal impact) is:
	ent Code Section 11346.3(c)]	uired to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impac</u>	rt Assessment
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be cre Explain:	eated:e	liminated:	
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	Statewide		
6. Enter the number of jobs created:	and eliminated:		
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impo	acted:		
7. Will the regulation affect the ability of Californi other states by making it more costly to product of YES, explain briefly:		YES NO	
			-

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

В.	ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assumptions in a	the rulemaking record.	
1.	What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and indi	lividuals may incur to comply with this regu	lation over its lifetime? \$
	a. Initial costs for a small business: \$		
	b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$		
	c. Initial costs for an individual: \$	Annual ongoing costs: \$	Years:
	d. Describe other economic costs that may occur:		
2.	If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs	for each industry:	
3.	If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annu Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting		
4.	Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? YES	NO	
	If YES, enter	the annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$_	
		Number of units:	
5.	Are there comparable Federal regulations?	NO	
	Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or abser	nce of Federal regulations:	
	Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that m	nay be due to State - Federal differences: \$	
c.	ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of benefit	fits is not specifically required by rulemakin	g law, but encouraged.
1.	Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may inclu		
	health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the	State's environment:	
_			and a board as the star 2
2.	Are the benefits the result of: specific statutory requirement	ts, or goals developed by the agency i	pased on broad statutory authority?
	Explain:		
3.	What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its	s lifetime? \$	
4.	Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing businesses	iness within the State of California that wou	uld result from this regulation:
_			
D.	ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calculations a specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.	and assumptions in the rulemaking record	
1.	List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no altern	natives were considered, explain why not:	
			PAGE 2

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

2. Summarize the	total statewide costs and b	penefits from this regulation and ea	ch alternative considered:	
Regulation:	Benefit: \$	Cost: \$		
Alternative 1:	Benefit: \$	Cost: \$		
Alternative 2:		Cost: \$		
	ny quantification issues tha	t are relevant to a comparison egulation or alternatives:		
regulation man	dates the use of specific t edures. Were performance	sider performance standards as ai technologies or equipment, or pre e standards considered to lower c	scribes specific pmpliance costs? YES	□ NO
<u></u>				
E. MAJOR REGUI		tions and assumptions in the rule		(8)
		ental Protection Agency (Cal/ llowing (per Health and Safet		
1. Will the estimate	ed costs of this regulation	to California business enterprises e	xceed \$10 million? YES	☐ NO
			lete E2. and E3 skip to E4	
2. Briefly describe	each alternative, or combi	nation of alternatives, for which a c	ost-effectiveness analysis was p	performed:
Alternative 1:	Alternative 1:			
Alternative 2:	Alternative 2:			
	al pages for other alternativ			
3. For the regulati	on, and each alternative ju	ust described, enter the estimated t	otal cost and overall cost-effect	tiveness ratio:
Regulation: T	otal Cost \$	Cost-effective	ness ratio: \$	operation of the property of the second
Alternative 1: T	otal Cost \$		ness ratio: \$	
Alternative 2: T	otal Cost \$	Cost-effective	ness ratio: \$	
exceeding \$50 r after the major	million in any 12-month pe regulation is estimated to	eriod between the date the major re	to business enterprises and in egulation is estimated to be file	dividuals located in or doing business in California ed with the Secretary of State through12 months
YES [NO		100000	
		ndardized Regulatory Impact Assessi o include the SRIA in the Initial Staten		
5. Briefly describe	the following:			
The increase or	decrease of investment in	the State:		
The incentive fo	or innovation in products, r	materials or processes:		
The benefits of residents, work	the regulations, including, er safety, and the state's er	but not limited to, benefits to the law invironment and quality of life, amount	nealth, safety, and welfare of Cangary other benefits identified	alifornia I by the agency:

PAGE 3

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

	A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 6 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.			
	Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Californ)			
	\$			
	a. Funding provided in			
	Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of	
	b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Br	5		
		Fiscal Year:		
\times	2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Californ	Year which are NOT nia Constitution and	reimbursable by the State. (Approximate) I Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).	
	\$ Unknown (see Attachment 1)			
	Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and	provide the appropri	ate information:	
	a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in			
	b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the	e .		Court.
	Case of:		vs	
	c. Implements a mandate of the people of this St	ate expressed in the	eir approval of Proposition No.	
	Date of Election:			
	d. Issued only in response to a specific request from	om affected local en	ntity(s).	
	Local entity(s) affected:			
	$\boxed{\hspace{-0.1cm} \times \hspace{-0.1cm}}$ e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, e	tc. from: Attachm	nent 1	
	Authorized by Section: 27397 (c)(), (2) and (3)	of the Government	Code;
	f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of lo	cal government whi	ich will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs t	o each;
	g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for	a new crime or infra	action contained in	
	3. Annual Savings. (approximate)			
	\$			
	No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes	only technical, non-	substantive or clarifying changes to current law regu	ulations.
	5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect	t any local entity or p	program.	
	6. Other. Explain			
	<u> </u>			

PAGE 4

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

FISCAL INFACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)	
B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and a year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	assumptions of fiscal impact for the current
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
∠ 4. Other. Explain Expenditures in next two fiscal years, see Attachments 1 and 2.	
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and att impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	tach calculations and assumptions of fiscal
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE /
a Kha	4/24/18
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sec he impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secret	
nighest ranking official in the organization.	ary must have the form signed by the
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE
a In McClubi	2/14/19
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal In	npact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE
	The state of the s

ATTACHMENT 1

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

A. Fiscal Effect on Local Government

- 2. Additional expenditures of approximately <u>\$ Unknown*</u> in the current State Fiscal Year which are not reimbursable by the State pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code because this regulation:
 - e. Will be fully financed from the following:
 - A County Recorder may impose a fee in an amount up to and including one dollar (\$1) for each document that is recorded by the county.
 - A County Recorder may impose a fee upon any vendor seeking approval of software and other services as part of an ERDS.
 - A County Recorder may impose a fee upon any person seeking to contract as an authorized submitter and/or agent.

Authorized by Government Code section 27397 (c)(1), (2), and (3).

*Note: These regulations could result in additional costs to local government to the extent that they choose to participate in the program. However, the amount of required liability coverage set by these regulations (one million dollars) is currently an industry standard and was recommended by the Electronic Recording Delivery System (ERDS) Advisory Committee due to it being the minimum amount of coverage currently carried by most submitters. It is unknown how many new submitters would start once these proposed regulations are adopted.

Additionally, the updated technology standards, which would align with federal and industry standards, were incorporated at the direction of the ERDS Advisory Committee in order to allow County Recorders more flexibility in implementing technology security measures in a way that they see fit while also ensuring that federal standards are met. The Department of Justice has determined that it is difficult to approximate the potential fiscal effect these proposed changes may have, due to this being a voluntary program and those County Recorders that do currently participate already meeting these proposed standards.

These costs would not be reimbursable by the state because participation in the program is optional.

B. Fiscal Effect on State Government

1. Additional expenditures of approximately \$241,459.00 in the current State Fiscal Year.

Note: Pursuant to Government Code section 27397(a) and (d), any State costs would be reimbursed by local governments that choose to participate in the program. Therefore, this program would not result in any General Fund costs to the state.

See Attachment 2 for projected calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.

ATTACHMENT 2 PROJECTED CALCULATIONS AND ASSUMPTIONS CURRENT YEAR AND TWO SUBSEQUENT YEARS

The method of calculating a county proportionate share of the cost per year to participate in electronic recording is outlined in Article 3, Section 999.115 of these regulations. The calculations and assumptions within the attachment are estimated.

Projected Fiscal Detail Expenditures	FY 17/18	FY 18/19	FY 19/20
Personal Services			
Salaries	\$159,252	\$159,252	\$159,252
Staff Benefits	\$ 73,907	\$ 73,907	\$ 73,907
Total Personal Services	\$233,159	\$233,159	\$233,159
Operating Expenses & Equipment (OE) General Expense	\$ 500	\$ 500	\$ 500
Printing	\$ 250	\$ 250	\$ 250
Postage	\$ 300	\$ 300	\$ 300
Travel-In-State	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,000
Cons Prof Serv – Int	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,000
Data Process	\$ 250	\$ 250	\$ 250
Total (OE&E)	\$ 8,300	\$ 8,300	\$ 8,300
TOTAL	\$241,459	\$241,459	\$241,459