## ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

#### ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER
Department of Justice	Melan Noble	Melan. Noble@doj.ca.gov	916-322-0908
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400			NOTICE FILE NUMBER
Major League Sports Raffle Program			Z 2016-1115-04
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMP	ACTS Include calculations ar	nd assumptions in the rulemaking record.	
1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indica	ite whether this regulation:		
	e. Imposes re	eporting requirements	
	f. Imposes pr	escriptive instead of performance	
c. Impacts jobs or occupations	g. Impacts in	dividuals	
d. Impacts California competitiveness	h. None of th	e above (Explain below):	
If any box in Items 1	a through g is checked, c	omplete this Economic Impact Statement.	
		Fiscal Impact Statement as appropriate.	
Department of Justice			
2. The (Agency/Department)	estimates that the	economic impact of this regulation (which include	s the fiscal impact) is:
Below \$10 million			
■ Between \$10 and \$25 million			
Between \$25 and \$50 million			
	is over \$50 million, agencies are	required to submit a Standardized Regulatory Impa	ct Assessment
	ent Code Section 11346.3(c)]		The state of the s
2. Fatastha total assubar of businesses immediate	: 25		
<ol> <li>Enter the total number of businesses impacted</li> </ol>	. 23		
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonp	rofits): see attachment		
Enter the number or percentage of total	20		
businesses impacted that are small businesses:	0	54	
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be crea	ated: unknown	eliminated: 0	
		Section of the sectio	
Explain: Two non-California based sup	pliers dominate the in	dustry. Prizes will benefit nonprofits ar	id individuals.
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	] Centaurida		
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts.	te e		
	Local or regional (List areas):		
6. Enter the number of jobs created: unknown	and eliminated: N	ot known	
-	1. 10		
	12 - 12 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 -	ons will have to have trained technical	
raffles; other related jobs; ticket selle	rs; security; information	technicians; and promoters (see attac	ned)
. Will the regulation affect the ability of California other states by making it more costly to produce		YES NO	
If VES explain briefly		ST TAN	
If YES, explain briefly:			

PAGE 1

# ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

## ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

В	. ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assumpti	ons in the rulemaking record.	
1	. What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses a	nd individuals may incur to comply with this regulati	ion over its lifetime? \$ 459,472
	a. Initial costs for a small business: \$5,000	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 5,000	Years: 2
	b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$6,000	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 6,000	Years: 2
	c. Initial costs for an individual: \$10	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 10	Years: 2
	d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: Annu	ual registration fee - eligible organization	: \$5,000; suppliers: \$5,000
	affiliated-person registration: \$10 ea.; registe	red event: \$100 ea. The statute sunsets o	on 12/31/2018 (see attached)
2	If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total	costs for each industry: Two non-California su	poliers are impacted.
~	Each of the two suppliers will pay ten thousan	3333	
3.	If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the		
	Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, re		
4.	Will this regulation directly impact housing costs?	i ⊠ NO	
	If YES,	enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$	
		Number of units:	
5.	Are there comparable Federal regulations?	× NO	
	Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or	absence of Federal regulations:	
	Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals t	hat may be due to State - Federal differences: \$	
-			
	ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of b	penefits is not specifically required by rulemaking lav	v, but encouraged.
1.	Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and		ole organizations to raise money
	to benefit the charitable cause the organization	on was formed to promote and to provid	e money to eligible recipient
	non-profits with contributions when the eligil	ble organization donates towards those	causes.
	Are the benefits the result of: 🔀 specific statutory require	ments, or goals developed by the agency based	d on broad statutory authority?
	Explain: Penal Code section 320.6 permits this ne	ew type of raffle for eligible organization	S.
3.	What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation or	ver its lifetime? \$ 32,457,686; see attached	2
1.	Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing	business within the State of California that would re	sult from this regulation: those non-
	profits and foundations created by major leag	ue sports teams could expand (if in exist	ence now) or new ones may be
	created as a result of the new statute. Current	non-California businesses providing raff	fle goods/services could expand.
_			
	ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calculati specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.	ons and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estir	mation of the dollar value of benefits is not
. 1	ist alternatives considered and describe them below. If no a	lternatives were considered, explain why not: No a	alternatives were considered.
	Statute requires regulations for registration of	eligible organizations, raffles, suppliers o	of goods/services, affiliated persons
	and the equipment used in the raffles.		
		20	PAGE 2

## ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

## ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

2.	Summarize the t	total statewide costs and benefits f	rom this regulation and each al	ternative considered:	100
	Regulation:	Benefit: \$ 6.1 to 10 mil. yr	Cost: \$ 229,736 yr		
	Alternative 1:	Benefit: \$	Cost: \$	_	
	Alternative 2:	Benefit: \$	Cost: \$		
3.	. Briefly discuss ar	ny quantification issues that are rele- osts and benefits for this regulation	vant to a comparison		
		d5.			
4.	regulation mand actions or proce	requires agencies to consider per dates the use of specific technolog dures. Were performance standar	gies or equipment, or prescrib rds considered to lower compl	es specific lance costs? YES	⊠ NO
		9			
Ε.	MAJOR REGUL	ATIONS Include calculations and	d assumptions in the rulemakir	ng record.	
		California Environmental Pr submit the following	otection Agency (Cal/EPA) (per Health and Safety Cod		
1.	Will the estimate	d costs of this regulation to Californ	nia business enterprises <b>exceec</b>	1 \$10 million? YES	⊠ NO
			If YES, complete If NO, skip i		
2.	Briefly describe e	ach alternative, or combination of	alternatives, for which a cost-ef	fectiveness analysis was p	erformed:
	Alternative 1:				
	Alternative 2:				
	(Attach additional	l pages for other alternatives)			
2		N N N ON BOND NO		e a ave vega ess	
		n, and each alternative just describ			
		tal Cost \$			
		tal Cost \$		V-	
		tal Cost \$		VC 11 24 24 24 24 25 27	
	exceeding \$50 mi		een the date the major regulati		ividuals located in or doing business in California I with the Secretary of State through 12 months
	YES X	NO			
		e required to submit a <u>Standardized</u> 2 Section 11346.3(c) and to include th			
i. E	Briefly describe th	e following:			
Î	The increase or de	ecrease of investment in the State:			IN COMPANY OF COMPANY OF THE COMPANY
8 0000	The incentive for i	innovation in products, materials o	r processes:	- 555 Ver et al 1910 Ver	
		e regulations, including, but not lin safety, and the state's environment			
9					

# ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

## FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

a. Funding provided in     Budget Act of   or Chapter   , Statutes of     b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Budget Act of     Fiscal Year   Fiscal Year     2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are NOT reimbursable by the State (Approximate) (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII 3 of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).   S		. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Califor	Year which are reimbursable by t nia Constitution and Sections 175	he State. (Approximate) 500 et seq. of the Government Code).	
Budget Act of	9				
b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Budget Act of   Fiscal Year:	[	a. Funding provided in			
Fiscal Year:  2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are NOT reimbursable by the State. (Approximate) (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII 8 of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).  \$ Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and provide the appropriate information:  a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in  b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the  Court.  Case of:  vs.  c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Proposition No.  Date of Election:  d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s).  Local entity(s) affected:  e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from:  Authorized by Section:  of the  Code;  f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each;  g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in  3. Annual Savings. (approximate)  \$ 4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.  \$ 5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.		Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of	
2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are NOT reimbursable by the State. (Approximate) (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII 8 of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).  \$ Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and provide the appropriate information:  a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in  b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the  Court.  Case of:  vs.  c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Proposition No.  Date of Election:  d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s).  Local entity(s) affected:  e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from:  Authorized by Section:  of the  Code;  f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each;  g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in  3. Annual Savings. (approximate)  \$		b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's B			
(Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII 8 of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).  \$ Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and provide the appropriate information:    a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in   b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the			Fiscal Year:		
Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and provide the appropriate information:  a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in  b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the  Court.  Case of:  vs.  c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Proposition No.  Date of Election:  d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s).  Local entity(s) affected:  e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from:  Authorized by Section:  of the  Code;  f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each;  g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in  3. Annual Savings. (approximate)  \$  4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.	2.				
			provide the appropriate informatio	n:	
Case of: vs		a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in	8-		18 178
c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Proposition No.  Date of Election:  d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s).  Local entity(s) affected:  e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from:  Authorized by Section:  of the  Code;  f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each;  g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in  3. Annual Savings. (approximate)  \$  4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.		b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the			Court.
Date of Election:  d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s).  Local entity(s) affected:  e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from:  Authorized by Section:  of the  Code;  f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each;  g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in  3. Annual Savings. (approximate)  \$  4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.  S. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.		Case of:	ering of SSS cultures from a constraint	vs	
d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s).  Local entity(s) affected:  e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from:  Authorized by Section:  of the  Code;  f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each;  g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in  3. Annual Savings. (approximate)  \$  4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.		c. Implements a mandate of the people of this Sta	te expressed in their approval of	Proposition No.	
Local entity(s) affected:    e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from:   Authorized by Section:		Date of Election:			
□ e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from:  Authorized by Section:  of the  Code;  f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each;  g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in  3. Annual Savings. (approximate)  \$ 4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.  5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.		d. Issued only in response to a specific request fro	m affected local entity(s).		
Authorized by Section:		Local entity(s) affected:			
<ul> <li>f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each;</li> <li>g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in</li> <li>3. Annual Savings. (approximate)</li> <li>\$</li> <li>4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.</li> <li>✓ 5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.</li> </ul>		e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, et	:. from:		
g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in  3. Annual Savings. (approximate)  \$		Authorized by Section:	of the		Code;
3. Annual Savings. (approximate)  \$  4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.  5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.		f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of loc	al government which will, at a mi	nimum, offset any additional costs to	each;
\$  4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.  5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.		g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a	new crime or infraction contained	ed in	
4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.  5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.	3.	Annual Savings. (approximate)			
5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.	\$				
	] 4. 1	No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes o	nly technical, non-substantive or c	larifying changes to current law regula	tions.
6. Other. Explain	5. 1	No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect	any local entity or program.		
	6. (	Other. Explain			
			2973 (		

## ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

## FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 any year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	nd attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
s 468,000 (see attachment)	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the	Fiscal Year
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
■ 4. Other. Explain See Ioan describe in Penal Code section 320.6, subdiv	rision (o)(5).
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	e boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency	or program.
4. Other. Explain	
ISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE
SCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	1
23e1h-	3-7-17
he signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not it is the compact official in the organization.	
GENCY SECRETARY	DATE
& Kathles G. Kreak	22 MARIT
nance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require	completion of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD. 399.
EPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE
	713/17
	PAGE 5

## Section A, Question 3

The types of businesses impacted by this regulation are 23 nonprofit organizations and two, outof-state suppliers of raffle-related products and/or services, as detailed below.

Table of currently eligible nonprofit organizations affiliated with teams/associations

		Home	Venue		Registered	
	Team/Association	Games	Capacity	Non-Profit Organization	'16	'17*
	Los Angeles Angels of					
1	Anaheim	81	45050	Angels Baseball Foundation	N	N
2	Los Angeles Dodgers	81	56000	Los Angeles Dodgers Foundation	Υ	N
3	Oakland Athletics	81	63132	Oakland A's Community Fund	Υ	N
4	San Diego Padres	81	42445	The San Diego Padres Foundation	Υ	N
5	San Francisco Giants	81	41915	Giants Community Fund	Υ	N
6	Oakland Raiders	8	63132	Raiders Foundation	N	N
7	San Diego Chargers	8	70561	Chargers Community Foundation	N	N
8	San Francisco 49ers	8	68500	49ers Foundation	Υ	Υ
9	Golden State Warriors	41	19596	Warriors Foundation	Υ	Υ
10	Los Angeles Clippers	41	19000	LA Clippers Foundation	Υ	Υ
11	Los Angeles Lakers	41	19000	LA Lakers Youth Foundation	Υ	Υ
12	Sacramento Kings	41	17608	Sacramento Kings Foundation	Υ	Υ
13	Anaheim Ducks	41	18336	Anaheim Ducks Foundation	Υ	Υ
14	Los Angeles Kings	41	18230	LA Kings Care Foundation	Υ	Υ
15	San Jose Sharks	41	17562	San Jose Sharks Foundation	Y	Υ
16	Los Angeles Galaxy	17	25667	Los Angeles Galaxy Foundation	N	N
				San Jose Earthquakes Community		
17	San Jose Earthquakes	17	68500	Fund	Υ	N
18	Sacramento River Cats	70	10624	River Cats Foundation	Y	N
19	Inland Empire 66ers	70	5000	66ers Baseball Foundation	N	N
20	Santa Cruz Warriors	24	2505	Golden State Warriors Foundation	N	N
21	Bakersfield Condors	34	10400	Condors Community Foundation	Υ	Υ
22	Ontario Reign	34	9736	Hope Reigns Foundation	Υ	Υ
23	LPGA (various locations	8	20000	LPGA Foundation	N	N
					16	10

<sup>\*</sup> Registration status as of 01/13/17

Table of current suppliers

			Registered	
	Name	Domestic or foreign company?	<b>'16</b>	'17
1	5050 Central	Canadian company	Υ	γ
2	Bump 5050 Worldwide	Canadian company	Υ	Υ

#### Point of reference information:

Table of teams without a known eligible nonprofit

	Team/Association	Home Games	Venue Capacity	Non-Profit Organization
1	Los Angeles Rams	8	80000	No Known Non-Profit
2	NASCAR Fontana	3	68000	NASCAR Foundation *
3	NASCAR Sonoma	3	47000	NASCAR Foundation *
4	Fresno Grizzlies	70	12500	No Known Non-Profit
5	Lake Elsinore Storm	70	7866	No Known Non-Profit
6	Lancaster JetHawks	70	6860	No Known Non-Profit
7	Modesto Nuts	70	4000	No Known Non-Profit
8	Rancho Cucamonga Quakes	70	6200	No Known Non-Profit
9	San Jose Giants	70	4200	No Known Non-Profit
10	Stockton Ports	70	5300	No Known Non-Profit
11	Visalia Rawhides	70	2468	No Known Non-Profit
12	Los Angeles D-Fenders	24	336	No Known Non-Profit
13	San Diego Gulls	34	12920	No Known Non-Profit
14	Stockton Thunder/Heat	34	9737	No Known Non-Profit
15	San Jose Barracudas	34	17562	No Known Non-Profit
16	Orange County Blues FC	20	2500	No Known Non-Profit
17	L.A. Galaxy II	20	2000	No Known Non-Profit

<sup>\*</sup> Not registered with the California Attorney General's Registry of Charitable Trusts or with the California Secretary of State

#### Section A, Question 6

It is unknown the number of jobs that will be created because neither statute (Penal Code section 320.6) nor regulation (California Code of Regulation, Title 11, Division 3, Article 8, Sections 2080-2132) require hiring of personnel to staff or administer the raffle. However, eligible organizations will need to secure persons, hired employees or volunteers staff persons, who are trained information technology staff, as well as cashiers, ticket sales staff, security, and promoters to administer the raffle program at their registered events. In 2016, 16 organizations participated in the MLSRP and registered 523 individuals. It is not known if all of the 523 are paid or volunteer positions. The Bureau estimates that if all 23 eligible organizations participated, approximately 736 or more individuals could be registered.

#### Section B, Question 1

It is unknown what, if any, employment related costs could result from hiring related to this regulation. Because employment-related costs are unknown, they are not tabulated in this section/question.

#### Section C, Question 3

Using the total number of eligible non-profit organizations and the number games/events in a season multiplied by the estimated average of raffle sales per event, the Bureau projects an estimated \$32,457,686 benefit to the state over the 33-month life of the regulation.

Sport	Eligible	# of	Estimated Average	Total Estimated
·	-	Games	Raffle Sales per	Annual Benefit
		/Events	Event*	
Major League Baseball*	5	405	\$19,266	\$7,802,730
National Football League	3	24	\$24,919	\$598,056
National Basketball Association	4	164	\$6,434	\$1,055,176
National Hockey League	3	123	\$14,139	\$1,739,097
Major League Soccer	2	34	\$1,238	\$42,092
MLB – Minor League (AAA)	1	70	\$3,291	\$230,370
NHL - Minor League/(2)	2	34	\$3,940	\$133,960
Women's National Basketball Association	0	0	0	\$0
MLB - Minor League (Other)	1	70	\$1,975	\$138,250
MLS - Minor League	0	0	\$619	\$0
NBA – Minor League	1	24	\$483	\$11,592
NASCAR	0	0	0	\$0
PGAA	0	0	0	\$0
LPGA	1	8	\$6,434	\$51,472
			·	\$11,802,795.00

<sup>\*</sup> Estimate totals may have changed based on projections for a complete season. Please note, Penal Code section 320.6 outlines elements an non-profit organization must meet in order to be considered for registration as an eligible organization in the MLSRP. Because several of the teams/associations do not have non-profit organizations that meet these parameters at this time, it is not anticipated that any will be able to do so before the statute sunsets.

### Section D, Question 2

Sport/# of Teams Participating	Average (Per	Total Raffle Sales
(Actual)	Team/Per Event)	
Major League Baseball/(4)*	\$18,378	\$4,625,952
National Football League/(1)	\$24,919	\$199,349
National Basketball Association/(4)*	\$6,351	\$342,234
National Hockey League/(3)*	\$228,042	\$684,125
Major League Soccer/(2)	\$1,238	\$9,905
MLB - Minor League (AAA)/(1)	\$3,291	\$190,882
NHL – Minor League/(2)	\$3,940	\$74,845
	\$286,159.00	\$6,127,292.00

<sup>\*</sup> Includes post season play

The above chart reflects data for actual eligible organization registrations for 2016 (April thru December). As discussed in Section C, Question 3, the Bureau anticipates an annual benefit of \$11,802,795

### Fiscal Impact Statement, Fiscal Effect on State Government

Penal Code section 320.6, effective January 1, 2016, established new, General Funded duties for the Department which are presently not conducted by any entity within it. A temporary position was allocated to the Bureau for the administration of the registration portion of this program, leaving unaddressed inspection, investigations, compliance, and audit functions. The amount listed in this section reflects the amount of moneys necessary for the Bureau to fully staff these audit, compliance and enforcement functions of the program.

Senate Bill 843 (Stats 2016 ch 33 § 16, effective June 27, 2016), provides for an appropriation of no more than \$335,000, in the form of a loan from the General Fund, to address workload related to the initial implementation activities of the Department's Indian and Gaming Law Section. Because an appropriation in that amount has already been allocated, the amount listed in this section does not reflect any costs related to the Indian and Gaming Law Section.