

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.


Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

*Ken Betney Jr.*

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_



Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

*Edward Bryant*

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

*Edward Bryant*

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Edgar R Castillo

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Don Chantrirach

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Dave Chi

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**STEPHEN GONZALEZ**

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_



Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Linh Doan

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: JESSE EBANCILLA

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino



November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Melissa Elton

Signature: Melissa Elton

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Gabriel Giles

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: April Greenfield

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name:

Nicholas A. Grimshaw

Signature:

Nicholas A. Grimshaw

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Yang her

Signature: Yang her

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name:

Dan Hick

Signature:

[Signature]

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Sam Hocken

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Brandon Holland

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino



November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Lucas Hosmer

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.


Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: \_\_\_\_\_



Signature: \_\_\_\_\_



Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Thao Huynh

Signature: Thao Huynh

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name:

Brushen James

Signature:



Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Bryce Jaimes

Signature: Bryce Jaimes

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Abigail Jimenez

Signature: [Signature]

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Erik Lara

Signature: Erik Lara

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: JANE LE

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino



November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: SHANNEN LEE

Signature: Shannen Lee

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Kelly Leib

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Kelly Leib

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.


Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name:

Pamela LUANGA-ATA

Signature:



Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name:

David Siao Luong

Signature:

[Handwritten Signature]

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Tina Luong

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Thanh Luong

Signature: Susanne George

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Priscilla Ly

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino



November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.


Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Anne Mac

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_



Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: JOSE MAYORGA

Signature:  \_\_\_\_\_

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: JASON MENDENHALL

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name:

GRWIN NABONG

Signature:

[Handwritten Signature]

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name:

Anson Nguyen

Signature:

Anson Nguyen

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Cuong Nguyen

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Khanh Chris Nguyen

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Vincent Nguyen

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino



November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Alex Ochao

Signature: [Signature]

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: KEVIN OLK

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Maline Ouk

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Manuel Perez

Signature: Manuel Perez

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Hung Phan

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Huom Pham

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Mark Rezendes

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.


Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Kimyan Run

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_



Card room: Lotus Casino



November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Jason Saecharo

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Lai Saechao

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.


Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Mary Saechao

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_



Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: MAI YANG SAECHU

Signature: Maiyang Saechu

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Sandee Seachra

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Stefanie Saechao

Signature: Stefanie Saechao

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Koy Saephan

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name:

Khareew Saeterny

Signature:

[Handwritten Signature]

Card room: Lotus Casino



November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Low Saetern

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: FEU/CHEN SAETURN

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Robert K. Scott, Jr

Signature: RSK

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Angela Tschudy Seney

Signature: Angela Tschudy Seney

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Vince Sengmyr

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

SeGf

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Feng Su

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.


Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name:

Wally Swankham

Signature:



Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Maiden Tadena

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino



November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: ERIC Tang

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

*Sang Thao*

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

*[Handwritten Signature]*

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Vu Ton

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: TOMMY TRAN.

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Vu Tran

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Nancy Trinh.

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Jamie Vandervilt

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Por Chong (Bill) Vang

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino



November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Thai Vang

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: SADIGIAN VUE

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Tonger Vue

Signature: Tonger Vue

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name:

Paul Wagner

Signature:

Paul Wagner

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: RONNIE WEALER

Signature: Ronnie Wealer

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.


Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name:

*Vincent Wong*

Signature:



Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: EDDY YANG

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: VANGHIE YANG

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Card room: Lotus Casino



November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Johnny Zamora

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder Lotus Casino ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Lotus Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Sacramento will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as Lotus Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The Lotus Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Sacramento**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Wei Zhang

Signature: 

Card room: Lotus Casino