STATE OF CALIFORNIA - DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER	
Department of Justice	Melan Noble	Melan.Noble@doj.ca.gov	916-210-7011	
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400 NOTICE FILE NUMBER				
Major League Sports Raffle Program Z				
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPA	CTS Include calculations and assumption	ns in the rulemaking record.		
1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicat	e whether this regulation:			
$\overline{ig imes}$ a. Impacts business and/or employees	🔀 e. Imposes reporting requi	irements		
🗙 b. Impacts small businesses	f. Imposes prescriptive inst	tead of performance		
c. Impacts jobs or occupations	🔀 g. Impacts individuals			
d. Impacts California competitiveness	h. None of the above (Expl	lain below):		
If any box in Items 1	a through g is checked, complete this	s Feonomic Impact Statement		
	is checked, complete the Fiscal Impa			
Department of Justice				
2. The	estimates that the economic imp	pact of this regulation (which includes	; the fiscal impact) is:	
Below \$10 million				
X Between \$10 and \$25 million				
Between \$25 and \$50 million				
	is over \$50 million, agencies are required to s ent Code Section 11346.3(c)]	ubmit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impa</u>	<u>et Assessment</u>	
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted	22			
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonp	profits): see attachment			
Enter the number or percentage of total	0			
businesses impacted that are small businesses:	0			
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be cre	ated: unknown eliminated	l: 0		
	STRUCTURE DIVISION AND A DIVISIONA AND AND A DIVISIONA AND AND A DIVISIONA AND AN			
Explain: Two non-California based sup	opliers dominate the industry. Pr	rizes will benefit nonprofits ar	id individuals.	
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	Statowida			
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts.	Statewide			
] Local or regional (List areas):			
6. Enter the number of jobs created: unknown	and eliminated: not known			
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impa	cted: Eligible organizations will ha	ave to have trained technical	staff to administer the	
raffles; other related jobs; ticket selle	ers; security; information technicia	ans; and promoters (see attac	hed)	
7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California	Construction of the provided of the complete set of the state of the second			
other states by making it more costly to produc	e goods or services here? YES	X NO		
If YES, explain briefly:				

STATE OF CALIFORNIA - DEPARTMENT OF	FINANCE
ECONOMIC AND FISCAL	IMPACT STATEMENT
(REGULATIONS AND ORDE	ERS)
STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)	

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.	
1. What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and individuals may incur to comply with this regulation over its lifetime? \$ 1,303,110	
a. Initial costs for a small business: \$14,400 Annual ongoing costs: \$14,400 Years: 4.5	
b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$ 14,400 Annual ongoing costs: \$ 14,400 Years: 4.5	
c. Initial costs for an individual: \$20 Annual ongoing costs: \$20 Years: 4.5	
d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: Annual registration fee - eligible organization: \$14,400; suppliers: \$432,000	(
affiliated-person registration: \$20 ea.; registered event: \$200 ea. The statute sunsets on 01/01/2024 (see attached)	
2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for each industry: Two non-California suppliers are impacted.	
Each of the two suppliers will pay \$432,000 in registration fees per year.	
3. If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business may incur to comply with these requirements. Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted. \$500	
4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? 🗌 YES 🛛 🔀 NO	
If YES, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$	
Number of units:	
5. Are there comparable Federal regulations? YES X NO	
Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal regulations:	
Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: \$	
c. ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.	
 Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may include among others, the health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the State's environment: Would enable eligible organizations to raise mo 	ney
to benefit the charitable cause the organization was formed to promote and to provide money to eligible recipient	t
non-profits with contributions when the eligible organization donates towards those causes.	
2. Are the benefits the result of: 🔀 specific statutory requirements, or 🗌 goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?	
Explain: Penal Code section 320.6 permits this new type of raffle for eligible organizations.	
3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$ 84,000,000; see attached	
4. Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing business within the State of California that would result from this regulation: Those no	in-
profits and foundations created by major league sports teams could expand (if in existence now) or new ones may	be
created as a result of the new statute. Current non-California businesses providing raffle goods/services could expansion	and.
D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.	; is not
1. List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not: No alternatives were considered	
Statute requires regulations for registration of eligible organizations, raffles, suppliers of goods/services, affiliated p	
and the equipment used in the raffles.	

	REV. 12/2013)	
	ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)	
2. Su	narize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:	
Re	lation: Benefit: \$ 12,000,000 yr Cost: \$ 1,345,580 yr	
A	native 1: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$	
A	native 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$	
	y discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison timated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:	
reg	naking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a ation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific ns or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs? YES X NO	
E>	ain:	
E. MA	DR REGULATIONS Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.	
	California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to	
	submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.	
1. Wi	ne estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed \$10 million? YES X NO	
	If YES, complete E2. and E3 If NO, skip to E4	
2. Bri	y describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:	
Al	native 1:	
Al	native 2:	
(At	h additional pages for other alternatives)	
3 Fo	ne regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:	
	lation: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
Al	native 1: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
Al	native 2: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
4. Wil ex	e regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing busines eding \$50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State throug the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented?	
	/ES 🔀 NO	
	, agencies are required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment (SRIA)</u> as specified in rnment Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the SRIA in the Initial Statement of Reasons.	
5. Bri	v describe the following:	
Th	ncrease or decrease of investment in the State: It is unknown if this regulation will result in a decrease of investment	nent
to	ne State.	
ть	ncentive for innovation in products, materials or processes: The statute permits the sale of tickets electronically	/.
10		

by these raffles support beneficial, charitable activities throughout California, including disaster relief and research.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA -- DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	Indicate appropriate boxes 1 th	rough 6 and attach calculations o	and assumptions of fiscal impact for the
1. Additional expenditures in the current Stat (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the			nent Code).
\$			
a. Funding provided in			
Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of	
b. Funding will be requested in the Gove	rnor's Budget Act of		
	Fiscal Year:		
2. Additional expenditures in the current Stat (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the	e Fiscal Year which are NOT reim California Constitution and Sect	bursable by the State. (Approxim ions 17500 et seq. of the Governr	ate) nent Code).
\$	_		
Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursa	ble and provide the appropriate ir	nformation:	
a. Implements the Federal mandate cont	ained in		
b. Implements the court mandate set for	th by the		Court.
Case of:		VS	
c. Implements a mandate of the people of	of this State expressed in their ap	proval of Proposition No.	
Date of Election:			
d. Issued only in response to a specific re	quest from affected local entity(s).	
Local entity(s) affected:			
e. Will be fully financed from the fees, rev	renue, etc. from:		
Authorized by Section:	of	the	Code;
f. Provides for savings to each affected u	nit of local government which w	rill, at a minimum, offset any addit	tional costs to each;
g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the pe	nalty for a new crime or infractio	n contained in	
3. Annual Savings. (approximate)			
\$	_		
4. No additional costs or savings. This regulatio	n makes only technical, non-subs	tantive or clarifying changes to cur	rent law regulations.
S. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does	not affect any local entity or prog	ram.	
6. Other. Explain			

STATE O	F CALIFORNIA -	DEPARTMENT	OF FINANCE

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and as year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ssumptions of fiscal impact for the current
X 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$ \$600,473	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
Example 2018-19 Example 2018-19 Example 2018-19	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
X 4. Other. Explain See loan described in Penal Code section 320.6, subdivision (o)(5)(B).	
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and atta impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ach calculations and assumptions of fiscal
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
X 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE
2 Khu	12/4/15
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sector	
the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secreta highest ranking official in the organization.	ry must have the form signed by the
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE
& Su Mcclushi	12/6/18
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Im	pact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE

Department of Justice Major League Sports Raffle Program Std. 399 attachment Page 1

<u>Section A, Question 3</u> The types of businesses impacted by this regulation are 20 nonprofit organizations and two, outof-state suppliers of raffle-related products and/or services, as detailed below.

Table of currently registered, eligible nonprofit organizations affiliated with teams/associations, and the number of events the organizations have registered for 2018:

.

	Eligible Organization	2018 events
1	Sharks Foundation	49
2	LA Clippers Foundation	45
3	Hope Reigns Charity Foundation	38
4	LA Kings Care Foundation	48
5	Oakland A's Community Fund	44
6	Anaheim Ducks Foundation	46
7	Sacramento Kings Foundation	44
8	Condors Community Foundation	33
9	GS Warriors Community Foundation	48
10	LA Rams Foundation	11
11	San Jose Earthquakes	16
12	Stockton Heat HC Foundation	33
13	San Diego Padres Foundation	78
14	LA Dodgers Foundation	92
15	SF Giants Community Fund	82
16	Sacramento River Cats	68
17	LAFC	0
18	66ers Foundation	26
19	49ers Foundation	10
20	Los Angeles Chargers	4

* Registration status as of 11/19/2018

Table of current suppliers

	Name	Domestic or foreign company?
1	5050 Central	Canadian company
2	Bump 5050 Worldwide	Canadian company

Department of Justice Major League Sports Raffle Program Std. 399 attachment Page 2

Section A, Question 6

It is unknown the number of jobs that will be created because neither statute (Penal Code section 320.6) nor regulation (California Code of Regulation, Title 11, Division 3, Article 8, Sections 2080-2132) require hiring of personnel to staff or administer the raffle. However, eligible organizations will need to secure persons, hired employees or volunteers staff persons, who are trained information technology staff, as well as cashiers, ticket sales staff, security, and promoters to administer the raffle program at their registered events.

Section B, Question 1

It is unknown what, if any, employment related costs could result from hiring related to this regulation. Because employment-related costs are unknown, they are not tabulated in this section/question.

Section C, Question 3

In 2016, raffles conducted pursuant to this regulation generated \$6,146,507 in ticket sales, resulting in \$3,073,253.00 to charity. In 2017, \$12,097,784.00 in ticket sales, resulting in \$6,048,892.00 to charity. Should the same number of eligible organizations conduct the same approximate number of events, raffles conducted pursuant to this regulation could generate over the entire life of the program an estimated \$84,000,000 in raffle ticket sales, with \$42,000,000 to charities and \$42,000,000 to raffle winners.

Section D, Question 2

Based on registrations from 2018 and the fee schedule necessary to support the positions required for audits, administration, compliance, and enforcement, the costs to the regulated community are as follows:

Category	Fee	2018 registrations	Total
Organization	\$14,400	20	\$288,000
Manufacturers and Distributors	\$432,000	2	\$864,000
Manual Draw Supervisors	\$20	79	\$1,580
Events	\$200	900	\$180,000
			\$1,333,580.00

Fiscal Impact Statement, Fiscal Effect on State Government

When Senate Bill 549 was enacted in October 2015, the Bureau submitted a legislative budget change proposal for funding and position authority to address mandates, compliance, and enforcement of the statute. That proposal was not incorporated into the budget for Fiscal Year 2016-2017. A temporary position was allocated to the Bureau for the administration of the registration portion of the statute. When Assembly Bill 888 was enacted in September 2018, it did not contain spending and position authority for the Bureau to address mandates, compliance, and enforcement of the statute. The Bureau has submitted a legislative budget change proposal accordingly. The amount listed in this section reflects the amount the Bureau requested in the original proposal. (\$1,200,946 budget year; \$1,134,001 ongoing)