

November 24, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Citrus Heights will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall to charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. For the past 30 years, the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall (previously the Phoenix Casino) has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the Citrus Heights**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: DAW ELAINE AZUL

Signature:  \_\_\_\_\_

Card room: Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall

November 24, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Citrus Heights will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall to charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. For the past 30 years, the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall (previously the Phoenix Casino) has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the Citrus Heights**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Jim Brown

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Card room: Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall

November 24, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,


I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Citrus Heights will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall to charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. For the past 30 years, the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall (previously the Phoenix Casino) has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the Citrus Heights**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: 

Signature: Ryan Carlson

Card room: Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall

November 24, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Citrus Heights will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall to charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. For the past 30 years, the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall (previously the Phoenix Casino) has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the Citrus Heights**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: John Chmielewski

Signature: 

Card room: Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall

November 24, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Citrus Heights will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall to charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. For the past 30 years, the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall (previously the Phoenix Casino) has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the Citrus Heights**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Leonel Coja

Signature: 

Card room: Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall

November 24, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Citrus Heights will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall to charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. For the past 30 years, the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall (previously the Phoenix Casino) has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the Citrus Heights**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Lea Davis

Signature: Lea Davis

Card room: Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall

This job is my only means of income. I need this job to support my son and I. I love what I do and the people I work with. My job cannot be taken away from me!

November 24, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Citrus Heights will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall to charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. For the past 30 years, the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall (previously the Phoenix Casino) has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the Citrus Heights**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: James Flannery

Signature: 

Card room: Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall

November 24, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Citrus Heights will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall to charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. For the past 30 years, the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall (previously the Phoenix Casino) has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the Citrus Heights**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Michael Harrison

Signature:  \_\_\_\_\_

Card room: Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall



November 24, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Citrus Heights will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall to charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. For the past 30 years, the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall (previously the Phoenix Casino) has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the Citrus Heights**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Lois Van Houten

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Card room: Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall

November 24, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Citrus Heights will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall to charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. For the past 30 years, the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall (previously the Phoenix Casino) has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the Citrus Heights**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.


Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name:

Justin Kuraitis

Signature:



Card room: Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall

November 24, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Citrus Heights will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall to charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. For the past 30 years, the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall (previously the Phoenix Casino) has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the Citrus Heights**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Ibrahim Kurt

Signature: 

Card room: Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall

November 24, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Citrus Heights will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall to charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. For the past 30 years, the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall (previously the Phoenix Casino) has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the Citrus Heights**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: TREY LAY

Signature: 

Card room: Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall

November 24, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Citrus Heights will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall to charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. For the past 30 years, the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall (previously the Phoenix Casino) has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the Citrus Heights**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.


Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name:

BRANDON LICHTINGER

Signature:



Card room: Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall

November 24, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Citrus Heights will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall to charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. For the past 30 years, the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall (previously the Phoenix Casino) has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the Citrus Heights**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Hai Lu

Signature: 

Card room: Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall

November 24, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Citrus Heights will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall to charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. For the past 30 years, the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall (previously the Phoenix Casino) has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the Citrus Heights**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name:

Margaret McClelland

Signature:

Margaret McClelland

Card room: Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall

November 24, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Citrus Heights will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall to charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. For the past 30 years, the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall (previously the Phoenix Casino) has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the Citrus Heights**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.


Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name:

 Nadine Nezdoba

Signature:



Card room: Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall



November 24, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Citrus Heights will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall to charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. For the past 30 years, the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall (previously the Phoenix Casino) has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the Citrus Heights**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Sabrina Navarro

Signature: 

Card room: Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall

November 24, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Citrus Heights will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall to charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. For the past 30 years, the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall (previously the Phoenix Casino) has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the Citrus Heights**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Card room: Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall

November 24, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Citrus Heights will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall to charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. For the past 30 years, the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall (previously the Phoenix Casino) has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the Citrus Heights**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Paul Deeter

Signature: 

Card room: Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall

I DO NOT WANT TO LOSE MY JOB.  
PLEASE OPPOSE THIS PROPOSAL

November 24, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Citrus Heights will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall to charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. For the past 30 years, the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall (previously the Phoenix Casino) has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the Citrus Heights**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: John Rogers

Signature: 

Card room: Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall

November 24, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Citrus Heights will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall to charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. For the past 30 years, the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall (previously the Phoenix Casino) has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the Citrus Heights**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Andrew Savine

Signature: 

Card room: Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall

November 24, 2014

Susanne George  
Research Analyst  
California Department of Justice  
Bureau of Gambling Control  
Sacramento, CA 95820

**RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations**

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Citrus Heights will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall to charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. For the past 30 years, the Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall (previously the Phoenix Casino) has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the Citrus Heights**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Dennis C. Thompson III

Signature: 

Card room: Saloon at Stones Gambling Hall