

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name:

Francisco Acosta

Signature:



Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Viridiana Alvarez

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: DONALD BANKERT

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: JEANNE BEETZ

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: _____

Rose S Blandala

Signature: _____

R S Blandala

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Joel Buehler

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Kyle Burbank

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Christophica Byrd

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: _____

Anthony Caldwell

Signature: _____

Anthony Caldwell

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Eric Tang

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Robert Campos

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Paige Carlson

Signature: Paige Carlson

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Colin A. Caser

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Marco A Cervantes

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Susan Champlin

Signature: Susan Champlin

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: CHINDA CHAN

Signature: Chinda Chan

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.


Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name:

Florencia Chavez

Signature:



Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Melanie Coelho

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Gerald Cohen

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: STEVE COSTAGLIO

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Andy Deaf

Signature: Andy Deaf

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.


Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name:

CHAI'S CUONG PHU DAIH

Signature:



Card room:

The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Nisobe Eddy

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Karen Fischbeck

Signature: K Fischbeck

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: David Frough

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: HELEN YOOT GOH

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Diego Gonzalez-Fuentes

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Dustin Gerell

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Nathan Gronenthal

Signature: Nathan Gronenthal

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,


I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: 

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Rosa Guzman

Signature: Rosa Guzman

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Fred Hamilton

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name:

JOSE JHERNANDEZ

Signature:

JOSE JHERNANDEZ

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Ignacio Jauregui

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Della Kalkuoy

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Sherree Kelly

Signature: Shu Kelly

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: SENG HENG KIM

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.


Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name:

Justo Lopez

Signature:



Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name:

Vandamme C. Lacer

Signature:

[Handwritten Signature]

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: John LITTON

Signature: John Litton

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Tracy Lopez

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: _____

Signature: _____

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Nicole Luna

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: ROMEO MANKINGQUIC

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name:

LEONARD MARSHALL

Signature:

Leonard Marshall

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.


Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name:

Jessica May

Signature:



Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Nolan D. Macey Jr.

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Nicholas McDonald

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its priciug based on local market conditions.


Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name:

Scott McKenzie

Signature



Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Sean McMahon

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: OSOBALDO GARCIA MENDOZA

Signature: OSOBALDO GARCIA MENDOZA

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: MARIA MENDOZA

Signature: maria mendoza

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: William Mizely

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Jesse T. Myers

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: GERARD NAVARRO

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Gregory W Nelson

Signature: Gregory W Nelson

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: _____

Signature: _____

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Anne Nilsson

Signature: Anne Nilsson

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Monica Nowak

Signature: Monica Nowak

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Daniel Olson

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Jorge Ortiz

Signature: Jorge Ortiz

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Ricardo Ortiz Ortiz

Signature: Ricardo Ortiz Ortiz

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.


Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: _____

CASBY

Signature: _____



Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Gary Parseghian

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: DUANARUDEE PFLUEGER

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Cory Poeschl

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Nicole Provolt

Signature: Nicole Provolt

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Jose A. Ramirez

Signature: Jose A. Ramirez

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: RUBY RAMIREZ

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Larry Rawson

Signature: Larry Rawson

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name:

FRANCISCO PERNO

Signature:

Francisco Perno

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: DANNY RUCKER

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: _____

Signature: _____

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: ALDRICH STACAN

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Marie Shadrick

Signature: Marie Shadrick

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Samp Sherlock

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, **and** there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: James R. Schroeder

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Drew Silveira

Signature: Drew Silveira

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: SRENSOPHURON SONNAVONG

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name:

Signature:

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name:

ZEREK FARATA

Signature:

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Hal Jacob

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Christina Toleu

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Robert C. Tucker Jr

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Shannon Valenza

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Olga Ventura

Signature: Olga Ventura

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Stacy Wassana

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name:

TRAVIS WALKER

Signature:

[Handwritten Signature]

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Elvis Wilhain

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Jun Wu

Signature: Jun Wu

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Stan Xenos

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Leij Hill

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Kian Pang Yap

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name:

Signature:

Card room: The 101 Casino

CAROL T. YEE



November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Wen Lin Yu

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.

If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Yafen Zhao

Signature: Yafen Zhao

Card room: The 101 Casino

November 18, 2014

Susanne George
Research Analyst
California Department of Justice
Bureau of Gambling Control
Sacramento, CA 95820

RE: Proposed Amendment to Gaming Activity Authorization Regulations

Dear Ms. George,

I am writing to **OPPOSE** the proposed regulations that hinder The 101 Casino's ability to waive collections fees in controlled games. These proposed regulations will cause me to **LOSE MY JOB** at The 101 Casino and put my family's future at risk. Moreover, the City of Petaluma will suffer a sharp reduction in general fund revenue much of which funds police and fire support in our area.


If the Bureau requires that card rooms such as The 101 Casino charge fees on every hand played, we will be at a serious competitive disadvantage to local tribal casinos and suffer a drastic loss of revenue. The 101 Casino has provided safe, legal gaming while **contributing LIVING WAGE JOBS and VITAL TAX REVENUE to the City of Petaluma**. Many of these jobs and most of the tax revenue will be lost if these regulations become law.

No other gaming business in California is forced by law to charge its customers a fee per wager, and there is no reasonable basis for the Bureau to institute this practice. History has shown that price controls **HURT CONSUMERS** and have no place in a progressive state like California. Please do not cede to the special interests proposing these regulations and let our card room set its pricing based on local market conditions.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Name: Cufford Ziff

Signature: 

Card room: The 101 Casino