STATE OF CALIFORNIA -- DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

# **ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT**

DEPARTMENT NAME Department of Justice	contact person Kelly Brannigan	email address Kelly.Brannigan@doj.ca.ger	TELEPHONE NUMBER (916) 210-5270		
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400			NOTICE FILE NUMBER		
	Data Broker Registration Fee Regulation Z				
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPA	<b>CTS</b> Include calculations and assumptions i	in the rulemaking record.			
1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicat			, ,		
a. Impacts business and/or employees	e. Imposes reporting requirer				
	b. Impacts small businesses f. Imposes prescriptive instead of performance				
c. Impacts jobs or occupations g. Impacts individuals					
d. Impacts California competitiveness	h. None of the above (Explain	helow):			
	a through g is checked, complete this E s checked, complete the Fiscal Impact	-			
Department of Justice					
2. The(Agency/Department)	estimates that the economic impac	ct of this regulation (which includes t	he fiscal impact) is:		
🔀 Below \$10 million					
Between \$10 and \$25 million					
Between \$25 and \$50 million					
Over \$50 million [If the economic impact is over \$50 million, agencies are required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment</u> as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c)]					
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	1,000				
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonprofits): Data Broker Businesses					
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:	0%				
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be crea	ated: 0 eliminated: 0	)			
Explain: This regulation is not expected to create or eliminate any businesses.					
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: 🔀 Statewide					
Local or regional (List areas):					
6. Enter the number of jobs created: 0	and eliminated: 0				
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impa	acted: This regulation is not expected	d to create or eliminate any j	obs or		
occupations.	······································				
<ul> <li>Will the regulation affect the ability of California other states by making it more costly to produce</li> </ul>		X NO			
If YES, explain briefly:					
			PAGE ·		

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

### ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

#### **ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)**

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
В.	ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assumptions in	the rulemaking record.			
1.	What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and ind	ividuals may incur to comply with this regulat	ion over its lifetime? \$ 360,000.00 (attch)		
	a. Initial costs for a small business: $9$	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0	Years: N/A		
	b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$ <u>360.00</u>	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0	Years: 10		
	c. Initial costs for an individual: \$	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0	Years: N/A		
	d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: None.				
2		(	only portains to one industry		
۷.	If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs	for each industry: <u>IVA - this regulation</u>	ony pertains to one industry.		
	If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annu Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reportir				
4.	Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? 🔲 YES	× NO			
	If YES, enter	the annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$	,		
		Number of units:			
5.	Are there comparable Federal regulations? YES	X NO			
	Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or abse	nce of Federal regulations: This regulatio	n is needed to make specific the data		
	broker registration fee amount that must be charged pursuant to California law.				
	Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that n	nay be due to State - Federal differences: $\frac{0}{2}$			
c.	ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of benef	fits is not specifically required by rulemaking l	aw, but encouraged.		
1.	Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may incluhe health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the	ude among others, the State's environment: <b>The proposed rec</b>	julation sets the fee necessary to		
	establish the system that will support the require	d registration of data brokers, whic	h will protect Californians' right to		
	privacy.	•			
2.	Are the benefits the result of: 🔀 specific statutory requirement	ts, or 🔲 goals developed by the agency ba	sed on broad statutory authority?		
	Explain: Statute requires a data broker to pay a regis	stration fee in an amount determine	ed by the Attorney General.		
3.	What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over it	ts lifetime? \$ Unquantifiable			
4.	Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing bus	siness within the State of California that would	result from this regulation:None.		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
_					
D.	<b>ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION</b> Include calculations specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.	and assumptions in the rulemaking record. E	stimation of the dollar value of benefits is not		
1.	List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alter	natives were considered, explain why not: $\underline{N}$	o alternatives exist that would comply		
	with the statutory requirement that a registration	n fee be set in an amount determine	ed by the Attorney General, not to		
	exceed the reasonable costs to establish and mai	ntain the required informational w	ebsite		

STATE OF CALIFORNIA - DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

#### ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

# ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

2. Summarize the	total statewide co	osts and benefits fr	om this regulation and e	ach alternative considered:	
Regulation:	Benefit: \$	0	Cost: \$ 360,000.00		
Alternative 1:	Benefit: \$		Cost: \$ 360,000.00		
Alternative 2:			Cost: \$		
		issues that are relev for this regulatior	vant to a comparison n or alternatives: <u>N</u>	/A	
regulation mar	ndates the use of	specific technolog	formance standards as gies or equipment, or p rds considered to lower	escribes specific	NO
- I Tha	nurness of thi	is regulation is	to cot a foo to rocc	war Dapartmant costs as	sociated with the establishment of the
				ction be taken to recove	
E. MAJOR REGU	ILATIONS Includ	e calculations and	d assumptions in the rul	emaking record.	
				l/EPA) boards, offices and ety Code section 57005). Ot	departments are required to herwise, skip to E4.
1. Will the estimation	ted costs of this re	gulation to Califor	nia business enterprises	exceed \$10 million? YES	Х NO
			•	nplete E2. and E3 , skip to E4	
2. Briefly describe	e each alternative,	or combination of	alternatives, for which a	cost-effectiveness analysis was	performed:
Alternative 1:	<b>.</b>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Alternative 2:					
	nal pages for other			· .	•
3. For the regulat	tion, and each alte	ernative just descri	bed, enter the estimated	total cost and overall cost-effect	ctiveness ratio:
_				/eness ratio: \$	
				veness ratio: \$	
				veness ratio: \$	
4. Will the regulat exceeding \$50	ion subject to OAI ) million in any 12-	L review have an e	stimated economic impa ween the date the major	ict to business enterprises and i	ndividuals located in or doing business in California led with the Secretary of State through12 months
	$\times$ NO				
If YES, agencies Government Co	s are required to su ode Section 11346.	bmit a <u>Standardize</u> 3(c) and to include	<u>d Regulatory Impact Asse</u> the SRIA in the Initial Stat	ssment (SRIA) as specified in ement of Reasons.	
5. Briefly describe	e the following:				
The increase o	or decrease of inve	stment in the State	e:	•	
The incentive	for innovation in p	products, materials	or processes:		
The benefits o residents, wor	of the regulations, ker safety, and the	including, but not e state's environme	limited to, benefits to th ent and quality of life, an	e health, safety, and welfare of ( long any other benefits identifie	California ed by the agency:
See sectior	n C.1 on page 2	2.			·
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				PAGE 3

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

\_

# FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVER current year and two subsequent Fisc		through 6 and attach calculations	and assumptions of fiscal impact for the	
	<ol> <li>Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are reimbursable by the State. (Approximate) (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).</li> </ol>			
\$				
a. Funding provided in				
Budget Act of	or Chapter			
b. Funding will be requested in				
	Fiscal Year:			
	rrent State Fiscal Year which are NOT re III B of the California Constitution and S			
\$				
Check reason(s) this regulation is not	reimbursable and provide the appropriat	e information:		
b. Implements the court mand			Court.	
Case	e of:	VS		
c. Implements a mandate of th	e people of this State expressed in their	r approval of Proposition No.		
Date of Elect	on:			
d. Issued only in response to a	specific request from affected local ent	ity(s).		
Local entity(s) affec	ted:			
e. Will be fully financed from the	ne fees, revenue, etc. from:			
Authorized by Sec	tion:	_ of the	Code;	
f. Provides for savings to each	affected unit of local government whic	h will, at a minimum, offset any add	litional costs to each;	
g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in				
3. Annual Savings. (approximate)				
\$				
4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.				
S. No fiscal impact exists. This regula	tion does not affect any local entity or p	rogram.		
6. Other. Explain				

STATE OF CALIFORNIA -- DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

# FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

<b>B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT</b> Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculation year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ns and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for theFiscal Year	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
X 4. Other. Explain The regulation imposes an initial registration fee to cover the Depart	tment's costs to establish the system.
<b>C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS</b> Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	`
\$	
X 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE
> A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	11/7.5/19
The signature ditests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in S the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency highest ranking official in the organization.	AM sections 6601-6676, and understands Secretary must have the form signed by the
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE
& he michi	12/2/19
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of F	Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE
A Company of the second	