1	CALIFORNIA CONSUMER PRIVACY ACT
2	DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
3	OFFICE OF THE CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	Transcript of proceedings had for
11	California Consumer Privacy Act, Department of Justice,
12	Office of the California Attorney General, held at Cesar
13	Chavez Community Center, 2060 University Avenue,
14	Riverside, California 92507, on January 24, 2019 at
15	10:00 a.m.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	ESQUIRE BOO.211.DEPO (3376) EsquireSolutions.com

MS. KIM: Hello, Welcome, On behalf of 1 2 California Department of Justice and the attorney 3 general, Xavier Becerra, we want to welcome you to the 4 third public forum on the California Consumer Policy 5 Act. 6 First, we want to thank the Cesar Chavez 7 Community Center for hosting us at today's event. 8 We want to give you a little bit of background 9 before we get started and let you guys speak to us. We 10 are at the beginning stages of our ruling process on the 11 So these forums are an informal period where we CCPA. 12 want to hear from you. 13 There will be future opportunities where 14 members of the public can be heard including, after we 15 draft the texts of the regulations and enter the formal 16 ruling process. 17 But today our goal here is to listen. We are 18 not able to answer questions or respond to comments. 19 Before we begin, I want to introduce ourselves. 20 My name is Lisa Kim. I am a deputy attorney 21 general in the privacy unit at the AG's office. 22 MR. MAUNEY: I am Devin Mauney. I am a deputy 23 attorney general in Consumer Laws Action at the AG's 24 office. 25 MR BERTONI: And I am Daniel Bertoni. I am an



1 analyst in the AG's office.

MS. KIM: So I am going to give you a little background before we get started. I just want to go over a few process points before we get started. Each speaker will have approximately five minutes. I don't think we have a ton of speakers here; so if you go a little over, that's fine; but if you can please be respectful to other people's time.

9 We also have a court reporter here to the right 10 of me, and she will transcribing comments so please 11 speak slowly and clearly. As for the transcript for all 12 of the processing forums, once they are available, they 13 will be posted on our CCPA website. The PowerPoint 14 slides that we present today are also going to be on the 15 CCPA website.

16 If you've registered as a speaker this morning, 17 you should have received a name tag with a number 18 identifying your speaker group. The front row is 19 reserved for speakers -- oh, we're not doing that today. 20 So if you are interested in speaking today, I ask that 21 at the end of my little presentation, you come down to 22 the front row and take your turn at the podium.

It's requested but not required that you introduce yourself and identify yourself because these are public hearings. It would be helpful if you have a



business card to hand it to the court reporter. I am
 sure she would appreciate that.

We also welcome written comments by e-mail or by mail. You can see our e-mail address on the board and our address as well. Bathrooms are outside to the right and left to this hall.

7 And may I ask if any media is present today, if8 you can raise your hand.

9 If you would like to stay informed about this 10 ruling process, please visit our website, 11 www.oag.ca.gov/privacy/CCPA. CCPA section 1798.185 of 12 the Civil Code identifies specific responsibilities for 13 ruling by the AG. The areas are summarized here in 14 Numbers 1 through 7. So we can that you please keep 15 these in mind as you are providing comments today.

16 I will go through them. Number 1, should there 17 be any additional categories of personal information. 18 2, should the definition of unique identifiers be 19 updated. 3, what exceptions should be established to 20 comply with state or federal law. 4, how should the 21 consumer submit a request to opt-out of a sale, personal information, and how should a business comply with the 22 23 consumer's request. 5, what type of uniform opt-out 24 logo or button should developed for consumer's right to 25 opt-out. 6, what type of notices and information should



1	businesses be required to provide including those
2	relating to financial Incentive Offers. 7, how can a
3	consumer or their agent submit a request for information
4	to a business and how can those business reasonably
5	verify these requests.
6	At this time we welcome comments from the
7	public. So if you are registered to speak, if you can
8	please come down to the front row or approach the
9	podium. Thank you.
10	MS. MIRZA: Hi. My name is Sadia Mirza. I am
11	an attorney at the Troutman Sanders in the Orange County
12	Office. We have started trying to operationalize from
13	the tax at the CCPA, and I think we ran in to a few
14	issues that we would like further guidance on.
15	Specifically, I think the issues that we're
16	looking at relate to how relate to section I think
17	what would like guidance on is really section 130, which
18	seems to be the section that tells businesses how to
19	fulfill certain requests or what the requirements are.
20	Some of them seem to conflict with section 110, which
21	provides the access to certain information, and section
22	115.
23	So to provide an example in section 110

23 So to provide an example, in section 110, 24 consumers have the right to request, not only for 25 information that's required in ceratin categories but



section 110a5 specifically says they have the right to
 request specific pieces of personal information
 collected about that.

If you read that, I might add, 130b -- I
believe it's 133b. 133b only talks about providing
information in terms of categories. So the issue we're
facing is do businesses actually need to provide
specific pieces of information when complying with a 110
request or is it that they would only need to disclose
it in terms of categories.

Another issue similar to that, also comes into play with section 115, which is the consumers rights to receive information about onward disclosures. When comparing it to section 1304c, which talks about -which also provides additional information about how to fulfill those requests.

So 115a, 1 through 3, tell you what information 17 18 needs to be disclosed. Notably, missing from that list 19 is that you need to disclose categories of third parties 20 with whom selling of information was disclosed for a 21 business purpose. That's not included in section 115a, 22 1 through 3. So if it is a requirement that business 23 disclose, we request that 115A be updated to reflect 24 that.

25

The only other additional consideration at this



1	point that we wanted to share is that there is an
2	
	exception to 100 and 110. Both seem similar with slight
3	bearing so we are not sure if that was intentional or if
4	it was just inadvertently missed.
5	Specifically, there is a one-time transaction
6	section. In 100e it says that you don't need to
7	"This section shall not require a business to retain any
8	personal information collected for a single one-time
9	transaction if such information is not sold or
10	retained."
11	If you go to 110 exception 110d, it has a
12	similar exception but it only qualifies to provide
13	information that is not retained. There is no similar
14	not sold exception. So whether that was intentional,
15	and if so, what the reasoning behind that. Those are my
16	comments. Thank you.
17	MS. COHEN: Hi. My name is Alison Cohen, and I
18	am attorney at Loeb & Loeb. I'm primarily in the
19	privacy practice at Loeb. We represent a lot of
20	companies that interact with California consumers, and
21	the brands that we represent very much care about
22	respecting the privacy rights of consumers.
23	So that's why I am here today because the
24	comments that I would like to make are to suggest ways
25	in which the regulation could clarify CCPA and help



these California companies provide their services to
 California consumers, services which are intended to
 benefit those consumers while also fully respecting
 consumers' privacy rights.

So my first comment is really related to the 5 6 application of the CCPA to employee data. And it 7 appears that the intent of CCPA is to protect consumers 8 and customers of those covered companies, not 9 necessarily employees. Yet, the CCPA does not provide a specific carveout for employees. So as drafted, the 10 11 CCPA is pulling employees into the scope because they are California residence. 12

13 It would be helpful to see if the regulations 14 could make two clarifications. The first, in cases 15 where a company is not a consumer-facing business and 16 only has employees. It doesn't have any customers. It 17 doesn't have any consumers. These types of companies 18 should be excluded from CCPA.

And then also, in cases where a company is a consumer-facing business, a California consumer as defined under CCPA as it's written, does not make an exception for that employee-employer relationship and personal information that's necessary to support that relationship. So if the regulation could clarify that these employees are not covered by CCPA, since employers



have a legitimate business purpose to collect and retain
 employee personal information, that would be most
 helpful.

So my second comment has to do with the GLBA exception and gaining some clarity around that. Many financial institutions regularly sell portfolios within their business. So for example, a credit card portfolio or a loan portfolio, another example would be like a delinquent account portfolio.

10 In those cases the personal information 11 associated with those accounts is transferred with the 12 commercial sale of that portfolio. The terms of that 13 customers' contract don't change. It would really be 14 helpful if the regulations would clarify that selling 15 those types of portfolios -- portfolios of that nature 16 and transferring the corresponding personal information 17 to some commercial purchasers excluded from the 18 definition of sale. These types of commercial sales are 19 common in the financial industry, and they don't impact 20 the customers directly. Those are my two main comments 21 for today. Thank you.

22 MR. LACTIN: Hello everybody. My name Andrew 23 Lactin. I am an attorney. Most of my clients are 24 start-up between 0 to 50 employees. Most of them fall 25 under the exceptions that probably would not fall under



this law, but many of them are service providers and as 1 2 a result may indirectly fall underneath those 3 requirements as well. So there are a couple -- I have been to a 4 5 couple of these hearings and I've heard some consistent 6 I wanted to reflect of those. This is my comments. 7 first time actually commenting. Mostly I have been 8 listening and covering what has been discussed at some 9 of these. 10 The most bearing exceptions or the problem we 11 found there is the lack of clarity of the exception if 12 you engage in a merger, you can include the data without 13 having to ask consent. However, the statute does not 14 make clear whether there should be exceptions for sale 15 of inline businesses. Obviously there is a little bit 16 of a sticky area where we don't want to sell a list and 17 say that's the list of my business. 18 But at the same time, many transactions occur 19 where you are not selling the entire (inaudible) that 20 you are putting in your company, but you are selling an entire line but you want to be sure that is a covered 21 22 because that is a problem.

There has been discussions about safe harbor provisions. I'm not sure to the extent of what extent the statue actually allows for such safe harbor



1 provisions, but it would seem that the use of 2 certifications -- existing certifications should provide 3 some sort of guidance.

GPR, for instance, has provisions that allow for third party certifications to create a standard for compliance as well. And I do understand that we are moving in to new territory here. We don't necessarily know everything that they are doing in GPR, but do understand that companies have spent millions trying to comply with this law already.

11 Unlike with respect to this law, they took a 12 number of years to work out some of the problems and 13 there is nothing wrong with learning from the experience 14 of others, which actually brings to a related issue, 15 which is the issue that has been brought up with respect 16 to what happens to the data that you collect or did you 17 confirm the identity of people who are making data 18 requests -- data subject requests.

Perhaps, if it's possible to explore some sort of standardized technology for being able to confirm identities, that might be able to resolve the issue that many companies will be facing with having to collect personal data in order to confirm their right to share personal data.

25

As an example, there are many -- many of you



have seen when you are confirming their identity where 1 2 you get a series of questions where they pull 3 information off their credit report and only you have to chose from a list to confirm, hey, I lived at this 4 place, or this is the city that I grew up in, or 5 6 something to that effect and something like that; but at 7 the end, the company in question that is providing that 8 information -- that's confirming your identity, doesn't 9 actually get any of that information to confirm who you 10 That might be a way to solve those problems. are. 11 This addresses just a couple of the issues that I have seen so far in some of the flaws that have come 12 13 up that may -- I think the regulatory process may be 14 able to address within the existing statue. 15 I am going to mention one last thing. I am not 16 sure that this is something that could be handled in the 17 regulatory process, but it does fall into the uniform 18 opt-out logo, which I think is a great idea to have 19 something like that, but I do want to point out the phrase "don't sell client information," doesn't make 20 sense for most of the industry, including companies that 21 22 would fall under CCPA.

I have represented close to 50 different companies who I have done privacy policies and handled privacy regulations in the last year, not one of them



800.211.DEPO (3376) EsquireSolutions.com

sells information. Most companies don't and by having
 that information, it creates an environment of
 irrational fear that information might be sold, and it's
 not.

To be clear, we should hold those companies 5 6 that are brokers that would sell data, they need to be 7 held accountable. We have a right to know about this 8 information or the right to control our information; but 9 the way the statue is drafted, it pulls in a whole bunch 10 of companies that have nothing to do with it but still 11 will have to put that non-sensible phrase that doesn't 12 make any sense with the businesses that they are in.

13 I hope this is all helpful and appreciate all 14 of the work that you all are doing traveling all over 15 I have been there in San Diego and San the state. 16 Francisco and still working out how I am going to get to 17 Fresno, but I think the work that you are doing is very 18 important and this is an area which is a bit arcane at 19 times, except for those of us who needs a 12-step 20 program because we care about this. So thank you very 21 much for doing what you do.

22 MR. DIAZ: Good morning. My name is Anthony 23 Diaz, and I am the chief compliance officer at Schools 24 First Federal Credit Union here in California serving 25 nearly 850,000 members. Schools First appreciates the



signing of the new law section 1121, which was an act 1 2 subsequent to the passage of 375 and effectively served 3 the purpose of cleaning up the uncertainty that was created for financial institutions in the original bill. 4 Specifically SP 1121 clarified that with regard to 5 6 financial institutions subject to the act and/or the 7 financial privacy act, information that was collected 8 pursuant to either of those statues by a private entity 9 is exempt from CCPA.

10 However, there is still quite a bit of 11 confusions surrounding this exemption which truly needs 12 to be clarified. One of the key items that need to be 13 clarified in our opinion is the inconsistent terminology 14 that is found throughout the CCPA which makes it difficult for institutions to understand what 15 16 information is not subject to the GOBP or the SFIPA 17 subject to the protection of the act.

18 In other words, a credit union or financial 19 institution obtaining employed application information 20 from prospective employees, is it truly the intent of 21 the CCPA to be so expansive that this information would 22 be covered by the act even though other information 23 received by the credit union or bank is not. We would 24 like clarification on this point in order to facilitate 25 our compliance.



1	Also, the CCPA finds personal information to
2	include in part, quote, information that identifies,
3	relates to, describes, capable of being associated with
4	particular (inaudible) household, end quote. However,
5	there is no example provided in this statue as to what
6	might be reasonable constitute household or examples of
7	what might reasonable be linked.
8	Therefore, we would also request additional
9	information on this in order to facilitate our
10	compliance.
11	And lastly, we would request clarification
12	provided in the regulations as to the scope of the
13	examples of personal information that are provided in
14	the statute. Most importantly, as to those that appear
15	to be outside the scope of the GOBA, such as audio
16	regarding information. Is it the intent that recorded
17	telephone calls, for example, be included in this
18	example. In other words, it would enhance the ability
19	of entities to comply if we were to be provided
20	sufficient details in the final regulations to enable us
21	to make these decisions.
~ ~	

I would like to thank you for the opportunity to comment. I fully understand the importance of protecting the rights of the residence of our state. Thank you.



800.211.DEPO (3376) EsquireSolutions.com

Did we have any other additional 1 MS. KIM: 2 speakers? We will give you a few minutes in case you 3 want to gather your thoughts. All right. If there are no other additional 4 5 speakers, I just want to remind people that you can send written comments to the e-mail address that's listed 6 7 here as well as mail them if you would like to mail them 8 to the address above. Stay updated on our ruling and 9 activities by going and signing up for updates via our 10 CCPA website. 11 Thank you for coming, and we appreciate all of 12 your comments. Have a good day. 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 esqu

800.211.DEPO (3376) EsquireSolutions.com

	ESQUIRE BOO.211.DEPO (3376) EsquireSolutions.com
25	
24	
23	
22	
21	
20	
19	Keisha Robinson, CSR No. 14214
18	Telsha TOUMISM
17	Keisha Robinson
16	
15	5th day of February, 2019.
14	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name this
13	
12	proceedings taken at that time.
11	that the foregoing is a true record of the testimony and
10	later transcribed into typewriting under my direction;
9	proceedings were reported stenographically by me and
8	and place herein set forth; that the testimony and
7	that the deposition was then taken before me at the time
б	That the foregoing witness was by me duly sworn;
5	
4	in and for the State of California, do hereby certify:
3	I, Keisha Robinson, a Certified Shorthand Reporter
2	
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION