# STATE OF CALIFORNIA - DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev 10/2019)

### ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

			1 An average and the stands
DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER
CA Department of Justice	Stacey Schesser	Stacey.Schesser@doj.ca.gov	415-510-4400
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400			NOTICE FILE NUMBER
California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA)	Regulations		Z
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPA	CTS Include calculations and as	sumptions in the rulemaking record.	
1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicat	e whether this regulation:		
X a. Impacts business and/or employees	R Imposes report	ting requirements	
X b. Impacts small businesses		iptive instead of performance	
X c. Impacts jobs or occupations	S g. Impacts individ		
d. Impacts California competitiveness		ove (Explain below):	
		ove (Explain below).	
		plete this Economic Impact Statement.	
		al Impact Statement as appropriate.	
CA AG - Department of Justi 2. The		nomic impact of this regulation (which includes t	the fiscal impact) is:
(Agency/Department)		to the inspect of this regulation (third in haudes i	ne nacu inspace is.
Below \$10 million			
Between \$10 and \$25 million			
Between \$25 and \$50 million			
	is over \$50 million, agencies are rea	uired to submit a Standardized Regulatory Impact	Assessment
	ent Code Section 11346.3(c)]		
<ol><li>Enter the total number of businesses impacted</li></ol>	15,643-570,066		
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonp	rofits): Those that fit the de	finition of "business" set forth in Civ. (	Lode sec. 1798.140(c).
Enter the number or percentage of total			
businesses impacted that are small businesses	Up to 75%		
<ol><li>Enter the number of businesses that will be cre</li></ol>	ated: e	liminated:	
Explain: We do not have reliable estin	nates of these numbers.		
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: 🔀	Statewide		
	] Local or regional (List areas): _		
261		16	
6. Enter the number of jobs created: 261	and eliminated: 9,77	0	
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impa	cted: Employment impact	s consist mainly of "job shuffling" (ski	ll-switching turnover)
within information-intensive sectors	. See attached SRIA.		
		and the second	
<ol><li>Will the regulation affect the ability of California other states by making it more costly to produce</li></ol>		X YES NO	
If YES, explain briefly: Costs will increa	se for both resident and n	on-resident businesses operating in (	California. Costs are
competition-neutral in two senses:	) They are applied to all fi	irms in the state without reference to	their origin. 2) They
would not increase local operating of	costs for CA business oper	ations in other states.	

PAGE 1

# STATE OF CALIFORNIA - DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

## ECONOMIC IMPACT

		ECONOMIC I	MPACT STATEMENT (CONT	(INUED)			
B. ESTIM	ATED COSTS Include calcu	lations and assumption	s in the rulemaking record.				
1. What a	re the total statewide dollar c	osts that businesses and	I individuals may incur to comply with this re	gulation over its lifetime? \$ \$467M-\$16.454M			
a. Initia	al costs for a small business:	\$25,000	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 1,500	Years: 10			
b. Initia	al costs for a typical business	\$75,000	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 2,500	Years: 10			
c. Initia	al costs for an individual:	\$N/A	Annual ongoing costs: \$	Years:			
d. Desc	cribe other economic costs th	nat may occur: See at	tached SRIA.				
2. If multi	iple industries are impacted,	enter the share of total c	osts for each industry: The overwhelmin	ng majority of the costs are in the			
inforr	information technology (IT) and legal services industries. For the IT sector, there will be costs as well as benefits.						
3. If the re	If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business may incur to comply with these requirements. Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted. \$500-1000/yr						
4. Will this	regulation directly impact h	ousing costs? 🔲 YES	X NO				
		If YES, er	nter the annual dollar cost per housing unit:	\$			
			Number of units:				
5. Are then	re comparable Federal regula	itions? YES	X NO				
Explain	the need for State regulation	given the existence or a	bsence of Federal regulations: The regula	tions implement the CCPA, a new law			
confe	rring consumer privac	y rights for which t	here is no federal procedure.				
Enter an	ny additional costs to busines	ses and/or individuals th	nat may be due to State - Federal differences:	\$ <u>N/A</u>			
C. ESTIM/	ATED BENEFITS Estimation	n of the dollar value of b	enefits is not specifically required by rulemak	ing law, but encouraged.			
1. Briefly s health	summarize the benefits of the and welfare of California resid	e regulation, which may dents, worker safety and	include among others, the the State's environment: See Attachme	int A			
2. Are the	benefits the result of: 🔀 sp	Decific statutory requirer	nents, or 🔀 goals developed by the agenc	y based on broad statutory authority?			
Explain:	Primary benefits are f	rom CCPA, but DO.	l also have broad rulemaking autho	prity.			
3. What a	re the total statewide benefit	s from this regulation ov	er its lifetime? \$ 12 billion annually				
4. Briefly o	describe any expansion of bu	sinesses currently doing	business within the State of California that w	rould result from this regulation: The CCPA will			
likely	expand legal and IT re	alated compliance	services in CA. The largest increase	will be in the early years of compliance,			
but o	ngoing expense may l	be diverted to spec	ialist information management firr	ns that have yet to emerge.			
	NATIVES TO THE REGULA cally required by rulemaking		ions and assumptions in the rulemaking reco	rd. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not			
1. List alte	ernatives considered and des	cribe them below. If no a	Iternatives were considered, explain why not	t: A more stringent alternative would be			
to ma	andate more prescripti	ive regulations that	require detailed training and reco	rd-keeping practices. A less stringent			
regul	atory alternative woul	d, among other thi	ngs, allow limited exemption for G	DPR-compliant firms. See SRIA.			
				BAGE			

		FCON	OMIC IMP	CT STAT	FMENT	(CONTI	MITED)		
Cummarian the	مامانين مغمغه المقمع						NUED)		
2. Summarize the					ternative con	sidered:			
Regulation:	Benefit: \$	12 billion	Cost: \$ -3.09		-				
Alternative 1:	Benefit: \$	12 billion	Cost: \$ -4.6	0 billion	-				
Alternative 2:	Benefit: \$	12 billion	Cost: \$ -2.34	0 billion	-				
<ol> <li>Briefly discuss a of estimated co</li> </ol>			elevant to a compa tion or alternative	rison s: While	the costs v	ary <mark>mini</mark> m	ally betw	een the alt	ernatives, the
benefits to	California ar	e institutiona	l and therefor	e resistant to	o precise q	uantificati	on; they a	re also cor	sistent over time
actions or proc	dates the use o	of specific techno erformance stand	performance stan plogies or equipm dards considered	ent, or prescrib	oes specific	X YES	NO NO		
. MAJOR REGU	LATIONS Inclu	de calculations o	and assumptions	in the rulemaki	ing record.				
-	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~								
		Environmental	Protection Age	ncy (Cal/EPA					ed to
Will the estimat	sub	Environmental mit the followi	ng (per Health	ncy (Cal/EP/ and Safety Co	ode section	57005). Oth	erwise, sk		ed to
I. Will the estimat	sub	Environmental mit the followi	ng (per Health fornia business er	ncy (Cal/EPA and Safety Co terprises excee	ode section ed \$10 million	57005). Oth 1? 🗌 YES			ed to
I. Will the estimat	sub	Environmental mit the followi	ng (per Health fornia business er	ncy (Cal/EP/ and Safety Co	ode section ed \$10 million e E2. and E3	57005). Oth 1? 🗌 YES	erwise, sk		ed to
<ol> <li>Will the estimat</li> <li>Briefly describe</li> </ol>	sub red costs of this	Environmental mit the following regulation to Cali	ng (per Health fornia business er If Y	ncy (Cal/EP/ and Safety Co terprises excee ES, complete If NO, skip	ode section ed \$10 million E2. and E3 to E4	5 <i>7005). Oth</i> 1?  YES	eerwise, sk		ed to
	sub eed costs of this each alternative	Environmental mit the following regulation to Cali e, or combination	ng (per Health fornia business er If Y	ncy (CaVEPA and Safety Co terprises excee ES, complete If NO, skip r which a cost-e	ed section ed \$10 million e E2. and E3 o to E4 effectiveness a	5 <i>7005). Oth</i> 1?  YES	eerwise, sk		ed to
2. Briefly describe	sub eed costs of this each alternative	Environmental mit the followin regulation to Cali e, or combination	ng (per Health fornia business er If Y of alternatives, fo	ncy (Cal/EPA and Safety Co terprises excee ES, complete If NO, skip r which a cost-e	ed section ed \$10 million e E2. and E3 o to E4 effectiveness a	5 <i>7005). Oth</i> 1?  YES	eerwise, sk		ed to
2. Briefly describe Alternative 1:	sub eed costs of this each alternative	Environmental mit the followi regulation to Cali e, or combination	ng (per Health fornia business er If N of alternatives, fo	ncy (Cal/EPA and Safety Co terprises excee ES, complete If NO, skip r which a cost-e	ed section ed \$10 million e E2. and E3 o to E4 effectiveness a	5 <i>7005). Oth</i> 1?  YES	eerwise, sk		ed to
2. Briefly describe Alternative 1: Alternative 2: (Attach addition	sub eed costs of this each alternative nal pages for othe	Environmental mit the followin regulation to Cali e, or combination er alternatives)	ng (per Health fornia business er If Y of alternatives, fo	ncy (CaVEPA and Safety Ca terprises excee ES, complete If NO, skip r which a cost-e	ed \$10 million ed \$10 million e E2. and E3 o to E4 effectiveness a	57005). Oth n? [] YES analysis was p	eerwise, sk	ip to E4.	ed to
<ol> <li>2. Briefly describe Alternative 1: Alternative 2: (Attach addition 3. For the regulat</li> </ol>	sub eed costs of this each alternative nal pages for othe ion, and each al	Environmental mit the followin regulation to Cali e, or combination er alternatives) ternative just des	ng (per Health fornia business er If 1 of alternatives, fo	ncy (Cal/EP/ and Safety Co terprises excee ES, complete If NO, skip r which a cost-e	ed \$10 million e E2. and E3 to E4 effectiveness a	57005). Oth 1? [] YES 2 analysis was p all cost-effect	iveness ratio	ip to E4.	ed to
<ol> <li>2. Briefly describe Alternative 1: Alternative 2: (<i>Attach addition</i></li> <li>3. For the regulat Regulation:</li> </ol>	sub eed costs of this each alternative nal pages for othe ion, and each al Total Cost \$	Environmental mit the following regulation to Cali e, or combination er alternatives) ternative just des	ng (per Health fornia business er If Y of alternatives, fo cribed, enter the	ncy (CaVEPA and Safety Ca terprises excee ES, complete If NO, skip r which a cost-e estimated total	ed section ed \$10 million e E2. and E3 to E4 effectiveness a cost and over s ratio: \$	57005). Oth n? [] YES analysis was p all cost-effect	iveness ratio	ip to E4.	ed to
<ol> <li>2. Briefly describe Alternative 1: Alternative 2: (<i>Attach addition</i>)</li> <li>3. For the regulat Regulation: Alternative 1: 1</li> </ol>	sub eed costs of this each alternative nal pages for othe ion, and each al Total Cost \$ Fotal Cost \$	Environmental mit the followin regulation to Cali e, or combination er alternatives) ternative just des	ng (per Health fornia business er If Y of alternatives, fo cribed, enter the o concerned of a	ncy (CaVEPA and Safety Ca terprises excee ES, complete If NO, skip r which a cost-e estimated total st-effectiveness	cost and over s ratio: \$	57005). Oth n? [] YES analysis was p all cost-effect	iveness ratio	ip to E4.	ed to
<ol> <li>2. Briefly describe Alternative 1: Alternative 2: (Attach addition)</li> <li>3. For the regulat Regulation: Alternative 1: 1 Alternative 2: 1</li> <li>4. Will the regulati exceeding \$50</li> </ol>	sub eed costs of this each alternative aal pages for othe ion, and each al Total Cost \$ Fotal Cost \$ Fotal Cost \$ on subject to O/ million in any 1	Environmental mit the followin regulation to Cali e, or combination er alternatives) ternative just des AL review have ar 2-month period b	ng (per Health fornia business er If 1 of alternatives, fo cribed, enter the o concent of a concent of a conc	ncy (CaVEPA and Safety Ca terprises excee ES, complete If NO, skip r which a cost-e estimated total st-effectiveness st-effectiveness st-effectiveness st-effectiveness	cost and over s ratio: \$ s ratio: \$ s ratio: \$ s ratio: \$ s ratio: \$ s ratio: \$	57005). Oth ? ] YES analysis was p all cost-effect	iveness ratio	ip to E4.	ed to ng business in Californ ate through 12 months
<ol> <li>2. Briefly describe Alternative 1: Alternative 2: (Attach addition</li> <li>3. For the regulat Regulation: Alternative 1: 1 Alternative 2: 1</li> <li>4. Will the regulati exceeding \$50 after the major</li> </ol>	sub eed costs of this each alternative aal pages for othe ion, and each al Total Cost \$ Fotal Cost \$ Fotal Cost \$ on subject to O/ million in any 1	Environmental mit the followin regulation to Cali e, or combination er alternatives) ternative just des AL review have ar 2-month period b	ng (per Health fornia business er If X of alternatives, fo cribed, enter the cribed, enter the concent of alternatives, fo concent of alternatives, fo concent of alternatives, fo concent of alternatives, for concent of alternatives, for concent of	ncy (CaVEPA and Safety Ca terprises excee ES, complete If NO, skip r which a cost-e estimated total st-effectiveness st-effectiveness st-effectiveness st-effectiveness	cost and over s ratio: \$ s ratio: \$ s ratio: \$ s ratio: \$ s ratio: \$ s ratio: \$	57005). Oth ? ] YES analysis was p all cost-effect	iveness ratio	ip to E4.	ng business in Californ
<ol> <li>2. Briefly describe Alternative 1: Alternative 2: (Attach addition</li> <li>3. For the regulat Regulation:</li> <li>Alternative 1: 1 Alternative 2: 1</li> <li>4. Will the regulati exceeding \$50 after the major</li> <li>XES If YES, agencies</li> </ol>	sub eed costs of this each alternative and pages for othe ion, and each al Total Cost \$ Fotal Cost \$ Fotal Cost \$ fotal Cost \$ on subject to O million in any 1: regulation is es [ NO are required to s	Environmental mit the followin regulation to Cali e, or combination er alternatives) ternative just des AL review have ar 2-month period b timated to be full ubmit a <u>Standard</u>	ng (per Health fornia business er If X of alternatives, fo cribed, enter the cribed, enter the concention of a concention of a	ncy (Cal/EPA and Safety Ca terprises excee ES, complete If NO, skip r which a cost-e estimated total st-effectiveness st-effectiveness st-effectiveness st-effectiveness mic impact to b the major regul	ad section         ad \$10 million         ad \$10 million         ad \$10 million         at 2. and E3         b to E4         effectiveness at         cost and over         a ratio: \$         a ratio: \$         a ratio: \$         b ratio: \$	57005). Oth ? ] YES analysis was p all cost-effect prises and inv ated to be file	iveness ratio	ip to E4.	ng business in Californ
<ol> <li>2. Briefly describe Alternative 1: Alternative 2: (Attach addition)</li> <li>3. For the regulat Regulation: Alternative 1: 1 Alternative 2: 1</li> <li>4. Will the regulati exceeding \$50 after the major</li> <li>XES If YES, agencies Government Co</li> <li>5. Briefly describe</li> </ol>	sub eed costs of this each alternative mal pages for othe ion, and each al Total Cost \$ Total Cost \$ Total Cost \$ Total Cost \$ Total Cost \$ Total Cost \$ on subject to Of million in any 12 regulation is es D NO are required to so the Section 1134 the following:	Environmental mit the followin regulation to Cali e, or combination er alternatives) ternative just des AL review have ar 2-month period b timated to be full ubmit a <u>Standard</u> 6.3(c) and to inclus	ng (per Health fornia business er If Y of alternatives, fo cribed, enter the o cribed, enter the o co co co co co setimated econo between the date y implemented? ized Regulatory Im de the SRIA in the I	ncy (Cal/EPA and Safety Ca terprises excee ES, complete If NO, skip r which a cost-e estimated total st-effectiveness st-effectiveness st-effectiveness st-effectiveness mic impact to b the major regul	ad section         ad \$10 million         ad \$10 million         ad \$10 million         a field         a field         affectiveness a         a field         a field </td <td>57005). Oth ? ] YES analysis was p all cost-effect prises and inv ated to be file</td> <td>iveness ratio</td> <td>ip to E4.</td> <td>ng business in Californ ate through 12 months</td>	57005). Oth ? ] YES analysis was p all cost-effect prises and inv ated to be file	iveness ratio	ip to E4.	ng business in Californ ate through 12 months
<ol> <li>2. Briefly describe Alternative 1: Alternative 2: (Attach addition)</li> <li>3. For the regulat Regulation: Alternative 1: 1 Alternative 2: 1</li> <li>4. Will the regulati exceeding \$50 after the major</li> <li>IX YES If YES, agencies Government Co</li> <li>5. Briefly describe The increase on</li> </ol>	sub each alternative each alternative nal pages for othe ion, and each al Total Cost \$ Total Cost \$\\ Total Cost \$\\ Total Co	Environmental mit the followin regulation to Cali e, or combination er alternatives) ternative just des AL review have ar 2-month period b timated to be full ubmit a <u>Standard</u> 6.3(c) and to inclu-	ifornia business er If I of alternatives, fo cribed, enter the o cribed, enter the o comestimated econo between the date y implemented? ized Regulatory Im de the SRIA in the la comestimate in the la	ncy (CaVEPA and Safety Ca terprises excee ES, complete If NO, skip r which a cost-e estimated total st-effectiveness st-effectiveness st-effectiveness st-effectiveness mic impact to b the major regul	ade section in the section is and section is and the section is and the section is a spectrum of Reasons.	57005). Oth PC YES analysis was p all cost-effect rprises and inv ated to be file cified in imates tha	t net in-st	ip to E4.	ng business in Californ ate through 12 months ment will be
<ol> <li>2. Briefly describe Alternative 1: Alternative 2: (Attach addition)</li> <li>3. For the regulat Regulation: Alternative 1: 1 Alternative 2: 1</li> <li>4. Will the regulati exceeding \$50 after the major</li> <li>IX YES If YES, agencies Government Co</li> <li>5. Briefly describe The increase on</li> </ol>	sub each alternative each alternative nal pages for othe ion, and each al Total Cost \$ Total Cost \$\\ Total Cost \$\\ Total Co	Environmental mit the followin regulation to Cali e, or combination er alternatives) ternative just des AL review have ar 2-month period b timated to be full ubmit a <u>Standard</u> 6.3(c) and to inclu-	ifornia business er If I of alternatives, fo cribed, enter the o cribed, enter the o comestimated econo between the date y implemented? ized Regulatory Im de the SRIA in the la comestimate in the la	ncy (CaVEPA and Safety Ca terprises excee ES, complete If NO, skip r which a cost-e estimated total st-effectiveness st-effectiveness st-effectiveness st-effectiveness mic impact to b the major regul	ade section in the section is and section is and the section is and the section is a spectrum of Reasons.	57005). Oth PC YES analysis was p all cost-effect rprises and inv ated to be file cified in imates tha	t net in-st	ip to E4.	ng business in Californ ate through 12 months
<ol> <li>2. Briefly describe Alternative 1: Alternative 2: (Attach addition</li> <li>3. For the regulat Regulation: Alternative 1: Alternative 2: Alternative 2: Alternative 2: X</li> <li>4. Will the regulati exceeding \$50 after the major</li> <li>If YES If YES, agencies Government Co</li> <li>5. Briefly describe The increase of \$40M-\$1.14</li> </ol>	sub each alternative each alternative ion, and each al Total Cost \$ Total Cost \$	Environmental mit the followin regulation to Cali e, or combination er alternatives) ternative Just des AL review have ar 2-month period b timated to be full ubmit a <u>Standard</u> 6.3(c) and to inclu- restment in the St y 2030, or less	ing (per Health fornia business er If 1 of alternatives, fo cribed, enter the o cribed, enter the o commented, enter the o commented econo between the date y implemented? ized Regulatory Im de the SRIA in the I tate:Ou tate:Ou	ncy (CaVEPA and Safety Ca terprises excee ES, complete If NO, skip r which a cost-e estimated total st-effectiveness st-effectiveness st-effectiveness st-effectiveness mic impact to b he major regula pact Assessment hitial Statement or median sc baseline gr	ad section in the section is at \$10 million is at \$10 million is at \$2. and E3 to E4 affectiveness is at the section is at the section is a special in the section in the section is a special in the section in the section in the section is a special in the section in the section in the section is a special in the section in the section in the section is a special in the section in	57005). Oth Provide the second secon	t net in-st the num	ated in or doi ecretary of Sta	ng business in Californ ate through 12 months ment will be

BAGE 3

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA -- DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD 399 (Rev 10/2019)

### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

	FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT Indicate current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	e appropriate boxes 1 thr	rough 6 and attach o	calculations and assumpt	tions of fiscal impact for the
	1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Californ				
	\$				
	a. Funding provided in			v	
	Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes o	f	
	b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Bu	udget Act of			
		Fiscal Year:			
	<ol> <li>Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Californ</li> </ol>	Year which are NOT reimina Constitution and Sect	bursable by the Stat ions 17500 et seq. o	e. (Approximate) f the Government Code).	
	\$				
	Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and p		formation:		
	a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in	8 <del>-1-11</del>			
	b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the	e			Court.
	Case of:		V5	·	
	c. Implements a mandate of the people of this Sta	ate expressed in their ap	proval of Proposition	n No.	
	Date of Election:	х.			
	d. Issued only in response to a specific request fro	om affected local entity(s	;).		
	Local entity(s) affected:	11			
	e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, et	tc. from:			
	Authorized by Section:	of	the		Code;
	f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of loo	cal government which w	ill, at a minimum, of	fset any additional costs t	o each;
	g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for	a new crime or infraction	n contained in		
	3. Annual Savings. (approximate)				
	\$				
	4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes	only technical, non-subst	tantive or clarifying c	hanges to current law reg	ulations.
$\times$	5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect	t any local entity or progr	am.		
	6. Other. Explain				
	v				

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA -- DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

## FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

<b>B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT</b> Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations of year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current					
X 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)						
\$ 4.739 million						
It is anticipated that State agencies will:						
X a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.						
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the Fiscal Year						
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)						
\$						
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.						
X 4. Other. Explain Unfair Competition Law Fund: \$2,912,000 in FY 2019-20 and \$2,808,000	0 in FY 2020-21 and ongoing					
General Fund: \$1,827,000 in FY 2019-20 and \$1,746,000 in FY 2020-21 and o	ngoing.					
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 an impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ad attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal					
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)						
\$						
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)						
\$						
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.						
4. Other. Explain	-					
	1					
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE					
a Chris Ryan	6/8/20					
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Se highest ranking official in the organization.						
AGENCY SECRETARY den McChokin	Ø6 Ø8 2Ø					
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fisc	cal Impact Statement in the STD. 399.					
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE					
a den yen	030/20					
	PAGE 5					

#### Attachment A to Form 399

The CCPA regulations benefit both consumers and businesses. CCPA protections confer both pecuniary and nonpecuniary protections. With regards to the pecuniary component, the protection of personal information has positive value to consumers, and the regulations increase the likelihood that businesses will protect personal information. For instance, the provisions clarify businesses' obligation to provide notice to consumers of their CCPA rights. These notice requirements provide consumers with a greater understanding of business practices and agency to exercise control over their personal information. The regulations also impose requirements on businesses to train their employees, to notify and update consumers of the status of their requests, and to maintain detailed records on consumer requests. By increasing businesses' accountability to consumers and giving consumers ways of knowing when a business is satisfying their requests, consumers gain increased protection of their personal information. The CCPA regulations also confer nonpecuniary protections, such as social and psychological benefits of confidentiality (self-expression, association), family security, and a "right to reinvent oneself." The nonpecuniary protections are well-documented in social sciences and public policy, but in practice difficult to value, and were not part of the SRIA.

In addition, there may be economic benefits directly to consumers, who may see lowered prices charged by businesses as compliance facilitates competition. Businesses may charge consumers who exercise their CCPA rights for services if it is reasonably related to the value of the personal information, but greater transparency resulting from the regulations presumably will lead to businesses to compete in such fees, driving down the service charge to consumers. CCPA vests consumers with the right to limit the retention or sale of personal information, which gives them a powerful mechanism to reduce the risk of price discrimination, based on businesses targeting individual characteristics without the consumer's direct knowledge. Because of the innovative nature of these protections, and because the requirements set forth in the regulations are novel, no reliable data yet exists to estimate the magnitude of these benefits. For this reason, they were not specifically included in the SRIA but could well be the subject of future regulatory assessments because they may also have positive pecuniary value.

Finally, the regulations also benefit businesses because those that become CCPAcompliant will be better positioned to adapt to future privacy protection regulations across the country and less vulnerable to legal claims against them that might result from data breaches or implication in privacy violations by others. As a result, such businesses will have a longer-term competitive advantage. Additionally, the regulations clarify responsibilities of businesses, which will lower the cost of compliance for some businesses, especially smaller businesses.