
CALIFORNIA ELECTRONIC INTERCEPTIONS REPORT



Annual Report to the Legislature 2018

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General



Division of Criminal Law

Appeals, Writs & Trials Section

This annual report is available on the California Attorney General's website: http://oag.ca.gov

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Table 1: Arrests and Convictions Resulting from Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Ye 2018	
Table 2: General Description of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2018	4
Table 3: Electronic Interception Court Activity During Calendar Year 2018	5
Table 4: Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2018	
Table 5: Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report	29
Table 6: Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2018	47
Table 7: Jurisdiction Reporting No Electronic Interception Activity During Calendar Year 2018	69
Table 8: Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions Conducted in Prior Years	70
Table 9: List of Electronic Interception Orders Approved But Never Installed or Not Used Calendar Year 2018	73
Appendix A: Penal Code section 629.62 "Report by Attorney General"	74

Executive Summary

The 2018 Attorney General's Electronic Interceptions Report is issued in compliance with Penal Code section 629.62, and can be referenced on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov. Each county was directed to provide the mandatory information, and the information contained in the report reflects these submissions.

Electronic intercepts are essential in helping law enforcement battle against criminal elements. Criminal entities, including drug trafficking organizations (DTO's), criminal street gangs, and dangerous individuals, frequently rely on telecommunications to advance their criminal enterprises. As such, court-authorized electronic intercepts are essential in identifying, disrupting, and preventing crimes that include drug trafficking, murders, and even human trafficking. Electronic intercepts have even been used to uncover criminal conspiracies to commit murder and have led to the seizure of vast amounts of narcotics and firearms, effectively removing them from our communities. Some electronic intercepts have even rescued victims from human trafficking networks.

This report contains electronic interception data and details of how the use of electronic intercepts has enabled local, state, and federal law enforcement agencies to effectively combat crime at various levels. From disrupting large-scale narcotics operations to preventing murders, these examples underscore the importance of continuing to utilize electronic intercepts:

- In 2018, Los Angeles County used electronic intercepts to seize over 165 kilograms of methamphetamine, 193 kilograms of cocaine, 33 kilograms of heroin, and over \$3.42 million in narcotics proceeds.
- Santa Clara County (2018-SCL-4) used electronic intercepts in a joint investigation to seize nearly 45 kilograms of methamphetamine, 9,000 fentanyl pills, several kilograms of cocaine, five firearms, and thousands of dollars in assets.
- Stanislaus County (2018-STA-10) used electronic intercepts to locate the weapon used in the murder of a police officer, resulting in the arrest of the primary suspect.
- Fresno County (2018-FR-3) used electronic intercepts to prevent attempted murders by intercepting the suspects before they could locate their targets. The intercepts also allowed law enforcement to intercept vehicles transporting shipments of marijuana to Mendota.

Though the report is divided into separate tables, the tables should be read in conjunction with one another to evaluate the impact intercepts have on public safety. Tables 1, 2, and 3 reflect the statistics of arrest, conviction, nature of intercept orders, and post-intercept court activity. Table 4 contains a description of an optional commentary for each intercept. Table 5 represents compliance with the inventory notice requirement given to those who were subjected to intercepts.

Table 6 shows the cost of each intercept. Table 7 lists counties with no intercepts to report. Table 8 provides supplemental information for intercepts approved prior to 2016 but were completed in 2017. Finally, Table 9 lists electronic intercept orders that were never used.

If you have any questions or comments about this report, please contact the Criminal Law Division of the Attorney General's Office at (916) 445-9555.

Table 1
Arrests and Convictions Resulting from Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2018¹

		Num o Perse Arres	f ons	Arrest Offenses						Conviction Offenses					Number of Persons Convicted		
Reporting Jurisdiction	No. of Applications	Total	Avg. per Order	Murder	Gang	Narcotics	Terrorism	Kidnapping	Other	Murder	Gang	Narcotics	Terrorism	Kidnapping	Other	Total	Avg. per Order
Alameda	11	22	11.0	2	20				20						4	4	2.0
Contra Costa	20	5	1.7	5												0	
Fresno	8	31	31.0	31	31	31										0	
Kern	11	9	4.5		7	2					3					3	1.5
Los Angeles	181	49	2.0	8	7	41						1				2	0.1
Napa	1	0														0	
Orange	16	52	17.3	1	50	51			50							16	5.3
Riverside	59	17	1.9	4		13										0	
Sacramento	3	0														0	
San Bernardino	23	127	9.1	1	52	121	3		19			1				1	0.1
San Diego	11	5	2.5			5						4				4	2.0
San Joaquin	10	40	13.3	26	40	40		28	14						4	4	1.3
San Luis Obispo	2	0														0	
Santa Clara	7	12	12.0			12			12			7			7	7	7.0
Solano	3	0														0	
Stanislaus	9	15	5.0	15	5				13							0	
Ventura	12	81	9.0	3	27	72			7		7	9			4	12	1.3
Total:	387	465		96	239	388	3	28	135	0	10	22	0	0	19	53	

¹ Any disparity between "Number of Persons Arrested" and "Number of Persons Convicted" may be attributed to the fact that a number of those arrested are pending either changes or trial, a process which could take a period of time, or that the intercept of part of a larger-scale ongoing investigation.

Table 2
General Description of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2018

		Targeted Offenses (Offenses Specified in Order)				Targeted Location				Targeted Device							
Reporting Jurisdiction	No. of Applications	Murder	Gang	Narcotics	Terrorism	Kidnapping	Other	Residence	Business	Public Area	Portable device	Other	Cell Phone	Land Line	Pager	Computer	Other
Alameda	11	11									11						
Contra Costa	20	13					7				20						6
Fresno	8	1	7					1			5					7	1
Kern	11		10	1							7						8
Los Angeles	181	14	1	163			1	1			181						1
Napa	1	1					1										
Orange	16	7	5	10			3	1			16						
Riverside	59	10	2	48							59						
Sacramento	3	3									3						
San Bernardino	23	2	3	18							23						
San Diego	11			11							11						
San Joaquin	10	10									9	1					1
San Luis Obispo	2	1					1	1			2			1			
Santa Clara	7	1		4			2	7			6			1			
Solano	3	3									3						1
Stanislaus	9	5		4							8						1
Ventura	12	1	4	9			1				12	1					1

Table 3
Electronic Interception Orders Issued by Judges
And Court Activity During Calendar Year 2018²

	Number of Intercept Orders			Νι	Number of Extensions				ons	Total Duration of Wiretaps			Motions to Suppress					
Reporting Jurisdiction	Applications	Granted	Modified/Amended	Denied	Not Installed/ Installed Not Used	Applications	Granted	Modified/Amended	Denied	Not Installed/ Installed Not Used	Average Length	Requested Days	Actual Days	Avg. per Order	Granted	Denied	Pending	Number of Trials Resulting from Intercepts
Alameda	11	11				2	2				30	390	253	23				
Contra Costa	20	20	2									600	509	25				
Fresno	8	8				2	2				30	300	261	33				
Kern	1	1				2	2				30	90	90	90				
Los Angeles	174	174			1	107	107			1	30	8295	8317	48			22	2
Napa	1	1										6	6	6				
Orange	16	16										480	309	19				
Riverside	59	59				27	27				30	2580	2195	38				
Sacramento	3	3										90	52	17				
San Bernardino	23	23			2	11	11	1		2	30	1020	865	38				
San Diego	11	11	1			8	8				30	570	505	46				
San Joaquin	10	10			_	7	7				30	495	345	35				
Santa Clara	7	7				2	2				30	270	215	31				
Solano	3	3										83	60	20				
Stanislaus	9	9				2	2				30	330	253	28				12
Ventura	12	12	10									360	286	26				37

² The purpose of obtaining electronic intercept evidence is not always trial-focused and may relate to further investigation at the state or federal levels for crime prevention.

		Approximate No.		Nature & I (Penal Code	requency § 629.62(9))	
Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Incriminating	Other Communications (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
Alameda	2018-ALA-1	874	5,731	15	85	This wiretap operation targeted a violent criminal street gang. Intercepts facilitated prosecution of gang members for numerous burglaries and robbery. Numerous firearms were seized. The operation reduced violent crimes by gang members and reduced conflict with a rival gang.
	2018-ALA-3	78	148	22	78	
	2018-ALA-4	29	17	41	59	
	2018-ALA-5	279	2,058	15	85	
	2018-ALA-6	60	197	26	74	
	2018-ALA-7	71	548	30	70	
	2018-ALA-8	181	775	22	78	
	2018-ALA-9	78	824	14	86	
	2018-ALA-10	50	556	23	77	
	2018-ALA-11	405	3,759	11	89	These intercepts resulted in a collection of evidence supporting murder charges against multiple defendants who committed murder for financial gain.
	2018-ALA-12	6	468	39	61	
Contra Costa	2019-CC-1	196	2,208	3	97	See 2019-CC-2.
	2019-CC-2	196	2,208	3	97	Intercepts led to the arrest of a perpetrator of an attempted murder and prevented the shooting of another target of the assailant.
	2019-CC-3	2,932	140,150	7.5	92.5	This wiretap led to the discovery of several brothel locations where victims of human trafficking held against their will were rescued.
	2019-CC-4	2,932	140,150			See 2019-CC-3.
	2019-CC-5	2,932	140,150	7.5	92.5	See 2019-CC-3.
	2019-CC-6	2,932	140,150	7.5	92.5	See 2019-CC-3.
	2019-CC-7	2,932	140,150	8	93	See 2019-CC-3.
	2019-CC-8	253	27,751	1	99	Intercepts led to discovery of evidence that confirmed the suspect's commission of a murder, and led to his arrest, and subsequent charging.
	2019-CC-9	0	0	8	93	See 2019-CC-3.
	2019-CC-10	253	27,751			See 2019-CC-8.
	2019-CC-11	750	21,924	2	98	The intercepts from the wiretap disclosed evidence that led to the arrest of a person suspected of murdering a witness in a separate murder case.
	2019-CC-12	1,739	97,111	2	98	See 2019-CC-11.
	2019-CC-13	750	21,924	2	98	See 2019-CC-11.

 $^{^{3}}$ Comments are not mandated and may be edited.

		Approximate No.	Total No. of		Frequency § 629.62(9))	
Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
Constra Costa (cont'd)	2019-CC-14	1,739	97,111	2	98	See 2019-CC-12.
	2019-CC-15	750	21,924	2	98	See 2019-CC-11.
	2019-CC-16	1,739	97,111	2	98	See 2019-CC-12.
	2019-CC-17	1,739	97,111	2	98	See 2019-CC-12.
	2019-CC-18	0	0	2	98	See 2019-CC-11.
	2019-CC-19	1,739	97,111	2	98	See 2019-CC-12. Also, the wire led to a human trafficking operation.
	2019-CC-20	0	0	2	98	See 2019-CC-12.
Fresno	2018-FR-3	127	32,197	17	83	This intercept prevented murders or attempted murders by intercepting the suspects before they could locate their targets. It also prevented shipments of marijuana from reaching the city of Mendota (Fresno County) by intercepting the vehicles en route and led to a significant drop in criminal activity since the arrests.
	2018-FR-4	93	11,974	21	79	See 2018-FR-3.
	2018-FR-5	18	307	29	71	See 2018-FR-3.
	2018-FR-6	46	3,933	38	62	See 2018-FR-3.
	2018-FR-7	10	1,069	11	89	See 2018-FR-3.
	2018-FR-8	1	2,765	26	74	See 2018-FR-3.
	2018-FR-9	66	2,638	34	66	See 2018-FR-3.
	2018-FR-10	150	4,975	3	97	This wiretap was conducted in order to gather evidence and information associated with an unsolved double murder. The primary suspect in the investigation was targeted for intercept as well as others with whom the targets were known to communicate by voice call and text message. The investigation is ongoing.
Kern	2018-KE-2	96	3,197	12	88	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of \$47,020 in assets, two stolen handguns, and approximately 11373.9 grams of crystal methamphetamine, 316.6 grams of cocaine, and 555.4 grams of Oxycontin (possibly laced with fentanyl).
	2018-KE-3	0	6,480	1	99	This wiretap assisted investigators in dismantling a criminal street gang narcotics sales operation and uncovered a sophisticated criminal street gang communication network, as well as strenghtening gang intelligence and suppression. The information obtained through the intercepts also assisted investigators in arresting three homicide suspects related to the slaying of a juvenile. The intercepts were crucial and have allowed investigators to minimize criminal gang activity within the Bakersfield Police Department's jurisdiction, and increased the quality of life for the citizens of Bakersfield.

		Approximate No.		Nature & I (Penal Code		
Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Incriminating	Other Communications (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
Kern (cont'd)	2018-KE-4	0	0	0	0	See 2018-KE-3.
	2018-KE-5	4	1,069	2	98	See 2018-KE-3.
	2018-KE-6	0	620	3	97	See 2018-KE-3.
	2018-KE-7	4	50	28	72	See 2018-KE-3.
	2019-KE-1	0	1,687	7	93	See 2018-KE-3.
	2018-KE-8	0	0	0	0	See 2018-KE-3.
	2018-KE-9	0	1,079	5	95	See 2018-KE-3.
	2018-KE-10	0	1,316	2	98	See 2018-KE-3.
	2018-KE-11	2	227	1	99	See 2018-KE-3.
Los Angeles	2018-LA-31	29	8,914	15	85	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
-	2018-LA-35	10	1,906	19	81	This intercept resulted in the seizure of 30 kilograms of cocaine.
	2018-LA-37	5	136	32	68	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2018-LA-38	174	4,416	15	85	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2018-LA-39	1	2	0	100	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2018-LA-40	36	669	36	64	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2018-LA-41	2	136	47	53	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2018-LA-42	8	23	43	57	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the drug trafficking organization's (DTO) method of operation, identities of those involved, and other target telephones used by the DTO.
	2018-LA-43	3	45	11	89	See 2018-LA-42.
	2018-LA-44	5	77	33	67	See 2018-LA-42.
	2018-LA-45	3	58	31	69	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2018-LA-46	26	283	65	35	This intercept led to the seizure of approximately \$350,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2018-LA-47	2	9	33	67	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2018-LA-48	32	653	2	98	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2018-LA-49	111	355	30	70	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2018-LA-50	192	8,576	12	88	The interception of the target telephone was paramount in the disruption of a San Fernando Valley based drug trafficking organization (DTO) responsible for the importation and distribution of kilogram quantities of cocaine from Mexico to Los Angeles.
	2018-LA-51	60	639	29	71	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the DTO's method of operation, identities of those involved, and other target telephones used by the DTO. Agents also seized approximately \$250,000 in narcotics proceeds and 24 kilograms of narcotics.

		Approximate No.			requency § 629.62(9))	
Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Incriminating	Other Communications (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2018-LA-56	44	251	5	95	This wiretap did not result in any seizures or arrests.
	2018-LA-57	74	1,936	23		During the course of this investigation, agents learned the DTO's method of operation, identities of those involved, and other target telephones used by the DTO. Agents also seized 4 kilograms of cocaine, 2 kilograms of heroin, and approximately \$160,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2018-LA-59	9	1,713	23	77	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2018-LA-60	1	85	28	72	See 2018-LA-57.
	2018-LA-63	1	103	43	57	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2018-LA-64	167	16,969	1.2	99	The wiretap provided investigators with evidence and information they would not have been able to gather through other/traditional investigative methods.
	2018-LA-65	124	1,585	7	93	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the DTO's method of operation, narcotics proceeds laundering operations, and locations. Agents were also able to identify other target telephones.
	2018-LA-66	0	5,057	3	97	The wiretap intercepted conversations discussing money laundering activities and coordination of narcotics shipments.
	2018-LA-67	36	3,060	28	72	The interception of communications led to the identification of additional target subjects. The information obtained from this wiretap was used to apply for additional wiretaps.
	2018-LA-68	12	693	40	60	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2018-LA-69	3	26	19	81	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the DTO's method of operation, identities of those involved, and other target telephones used by the DTO.
	2018-LA-70	51	471	12	88	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the DTO's method of operation, identities of those involved, and other target telephones used by the DTO.
	2018-LA-71	920	43,590	1	99	The targets were involved in a murder investigation. See spin off wiretapes #18-29 and 18-48 for additional information.
	2018-LA-72	437	1,243	1	99	This intercept resulted in the seizure of 39 kilograms if cocaine and approximately \$384,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2018-LA-73	304	3,338			During the course of this investigation, agents learned the DTO's method of operation, identities of those involved, and other target telephones used by the DTO. Agents also seized approximately \$110,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2018-LA-74	9	17	0	100	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.

During Curchaur Teur 2010												
		Approximate No.			Frequency § 629.62(9))							
Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³						
Los Angeles (cont'd	2018-LA-75	22	3,026	41	59	This intercept resulted in the seizure of \$60,000, 29 kilograms of cocaine, and 14 pounds of methamphetamine.						
	2018-LA-76	32	1,910	42	58	The operation targeted DTO members in Los Angeles County. Agents seized 15 kilograms of cocaine, six pounds of methamphetamine, and three firearms. Three persons were arrested. This is still an ongoing wiretap investigation						
	2018-LA-77	0	1	0	100	As a result of this wiretap, agents continued this investigation. The interception led to the identification of additional target subjects. Information learned during this wire was used to apply for future wiretaps.						
	2018-LA-78	53	6,750	42	58	The operation targeted DTO members in Los Angeles County. This is still an ongoing investigation.						
	2018-LA-79	1	59	39	61	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.						
	2018-LA-80	397	4,673	1	99	The target subjects were involved in an ongoing murder investigation.						
	2018-LA-81	1	3	0	100	There was no pertinent activity during this wiretap.						
	2018-LA-82	6	318	37	63	During this investigation, agents have learned more about the method of operation for this DTO and also that this particular target actively attempts to law enforcement detection.						
	2018-LA-83	41	1,356	34	66	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the DTO's method of operation, identities of those involved, and other target telephones used by the DTO.						
	2018-LA-84	87	1,895	15	85	As a result of this wiretap, the DEA continued this investigation. The interceptions led to the identification of additional target subjects. The information obtained was used to apply for additional/future affidavits.						
	2018-LA-85	11	73	22	78	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.						
	2018-LA-86	11	1,052	36	64	As a result of this wiretap, the DEA continued this investigation. The interceptions led to the identification of additional target subjects. The information obtained was used to apply for additional/future affidavits.						
	2018-LA-87	167	1,856	14	86	The targets were involved in a murder investigation.						
	2018-LA-88	68	303	22	78	This intercept led to the seizure of approximately \$100,000 in narcotics proceeds.						
	2018-LA-89	248	5,373	6	94	During this investigation, agents learned the method of operation of this DTO and identified others involved. Agents also seized five ecstasy pills, two 10-oz vials of ketamine, two grams of methamphetamine, and six grams of cocaine.						

				Nature & I	requency	
		Approximate No. of Persons	Total No. of	(Penal Code	§ 629.62(9))	
Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Communications Intercepted	Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
Los Angeles (cont'd	2018-LA-90	6	46	17	83	As a result of this wiretap, the DEA continued this investigation. The interceptions led to the identification of additional target subjects. The information obtained was used to apply for additional/future affidavits.
	2018-LA-91	66	2,634	79	21	This is still an ongoing investigation.
	2018-LA-92	14	1,840	40	60	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2018-LA-93	3	50	46	54	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2018-LA-94	0	4	0	100	No pertinent calls were made during this wiretap.
	2018-LA-95	5	48	25	75	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2018-LA-96	3	241	32	68	As a result of this wiretap investigation, the DEA was able to identify additional target subjects.
	2018-LA-97	36	397	28	72	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2018-LA-98	10	170	0	100	The target is a suspect in a murder investigation.
	2018-LA-99	61	6,235	34	66	The operation targeted DTO members in Los Angeles County. This is still an ongoing investigation.
	2018-LA-100	34	1,864	30	70	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the DTO's method of operation, identities of those involved, and other target telephones used by the DTO.
	2018-LA-101	24	41	0	100	As a result of this wiretap, the DEA continued this investigation. The interceptions led to the identification of additional target subjects. The information obtained was used to apply for warrants.
	2018-LA-102	184	1,117	16	84	During the course of this investigation, agents seized \$209,143 in narcotics proceeds and identified others involved in laundering narcotics proceeds.
	2018-LA-103	10	718	42	58	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2018-LA-104	171	2,806	1	99	The suspects are involved in a murder investigation. Four suspects are in-custody and awaiting trial.
	2018-LA-105	30	2,543	42		The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2018-LA-106	17	1,048	24	76	As a result of this wiretap, the DEA continued this investigation. The interception of communications led to the identification of additional target subjects. The information obtained during the original wiretap was used to apply for additional wiretap affidavits.
	2018-LA-107	0	1,348	1	99	This wiretap intercepted conversations discussing money laundering activities and coordination of narcotics shipments.
	2018-LA-108	160	2,196	2	98	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the DTO's method of operation, identities of those involved, and other target telephones used by the DTO.

				Nature & I	requency				
		Approximate No.			§ 629.62(9))				
Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³			
Los Angeles (cont'd	2018-LA-109	120	974	0	100	Two of the three target subjects were intercepted in this investigation. Their intercepted communications assisted investigators in identifying the likely perpetrator of this murder. The suspect confessed to the crime and multiple felony charges were filed.			
	2018-LA-110	5	196	30	70	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.			
	2018-LA-111	4	724	21	79	As a result of this wiretap investigation, the DEA discontinued this investigation. The interception led to the identification of additional target subjects. The information obtained during this intercept was used to apply for future wiretaps.			
	2018-LA-112	5	71	35	65	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.			
	2018-LA-113	11	949	4		As a result of this wiretap, law enforcement seized 105 ounces of heroin, 12 kilograms of cocaine, 35 pounds of methamphetamine, and two weapons, including an AR-15. Target subject was arrested and later charged and convicted.			
	2018-LA-114	10	170	0	100	The target is a suspect in a murder investigation.			
	2018-LA-115	27	3,117	8	92	Intercepted conversations led to the seizure of \$45,620 in narcotics proceeds. This investigation is still ongoing.			
	2018-LA-116	25	1,471	35	65	This is an ongoing wiretap investigation.			
	2018-LA-117	1	35	43	57	The target discontinued use of the target telephone. This investigation is ongoing.			
	2018-LA-118	84	1,225	12	88	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the DTO's method of operation, identities of those involved, and other target telephones used by the DTO.			
	2018-LA-119	9	209	24	76	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.			
	2018-LA-120	15	1,484	40	60	The interception of communications from this wire resulted in one arrest and the seizure of \$120,000 in narcotics proceeds.			
	2018-LA-121	9	429	18	82	As a result of this wire, DEA continued this investigation. The interception led to the identification of additional target subjects. The information obtained during this interception period was used to apply for additional wiretap affidavits.			
	2018-LA-122	44	3,737	32		During the course of this investigation, agents learned the DTO's method of operation, identities of those involved, and other target telephones used by the DTO.			
	2018-LA-123	18	326	24	76	This intercept led to the seizure of five pounds of methamphetamine and approximately \$50,000 in narcotics proceeds.			
	2018-LA-124	152	2,444	2	98	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the DTO's method of operation, identities of those involved, and other target telephones used by the DTO.			

				Nature & I	Frequency	
		Approximate No.	TatalNa at		§ 629.62(9))	
Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
Los Angeles (cont'd	2018-LA-125	152	2,444	2	98	See 2018-LA-124.
	2018-LA-126	203	4,426	3	97	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the method of operation of this DTO and identified others involved with its illegal operations. Agents seized five ecstasy pills, two 10-ounce vials of ketamine, two grams of methamphetamine, and six grams of cocaine
	2018-LA-127	49	3,724	39	61	This is still an ongoing wiretap investigation.
	2018-LA-128	0	0	0	100	There was no pertinent activity during this wiretap investigation.
	2018-LA-129	0	0	0	100	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2018-LA-130	4	136	33	77	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2018-LA-131	0	0			There was no pertinent activity during this wiretap investigation.
	2018-LA-132	32	3,637	36	64	This is an ongoing wiretap investigation.
	2018-LA-133	1	1	0	100	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2018-LA-134	7	822	36	64	The investigation is still ongoing.
	2018-LA-135	31	200	5	95	This wiretap investigation resulted in the seizure of three kilograms of cocaine, one kilogram of heroin, six pounds of methamphetamine, and one firearm.
	2018-LA-136	18	259	28	72	As a result of this wiretap, the DEA continued this investigation. The interception led to the identification of additional target subjects. The information obtained from this wire was used to apply for additional wiretaps affidavits.
	2018-LA-137	160	828	0	100	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the DTO's method of operation, identities of those involved, and other target telephones used by the DTO.
	2018-LA-138	7	686	46	54	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2018-LA-139	394	4,970	7	93	During the course of this investigation, agents seized \$23,100 in narcotics proceeds and identified members working within the illicit organization.
	2018-LA-140	152	2,778	19	81	Interceptions in this investigation revealed numerous individuals engaged in bulk narcotic sales and the shipment of these narcotics to Chicago.
	2018-LA-141	461	1,602	1	99	Interception of communications assisted in seizing numerous pounds of methamphetamine and allowing law enforcement to identify other target subjects associated with this DTO. This investigation is currently ongoing.
	2018-LA-143	6	143	41	59	This is an ongoing wiretap investigation.
	2018-LA-144	167	833	4	96	The targets were involved in a murder investigation.
	2018-LA-145	4	14	7	93	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.

				Nature & I	requency	
	Approximate No.		-	(Penal Code		
Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2018-LA-146	0	0			The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2018-LA-147	13	616	36	64	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2018-LA-148	25	113	10	90	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the method of operation of those laundering narcotics proceeds and identified additional target telephones. Agents also seized \$40,950 in narcotics-related proceeds being laundered.
	2018-LA-149	0	0	0	100	No information to report.
	2018-LA-150	8	120	31	69	The wiretap was terminated.
	2018-LA-151	102	1,978	20	80	During this interception period, agents were able to determine the mode of operation by this DTO. Agents were also able to seize approximately 50 pounds of methamphetamine, three pounds of fetynal pills and \$16,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2018-LA-152	2	42	43	57	During the course of this investigation, agents learned this DTO's method of operation.
	2018-LA-153	0	0			This is an ongoing wiretap investigation targeting DTO members in Los Angeles County.
	2018-LA-154	14	272	3	97	As a result of this wiretap, agents seized three kilograms of cocaine, one kilogram of heroin, six pounds of methamphetamine, and one firearm.
	2018-LA-155	12	149	33	67	During the course of this wiretap interception, agents were able to gather intelligence on this DTO's method of operation.
	2018-LA-156	0	1	0	100	Agents were unable to gather information on this wiretap due to lack of communication on the target telephone.
	2018-LA-157	125	4,220	21	79	Interceptions in this investigation revealed numerous individuals engaged in bulk narcotic sales and shipment to the greater Chicago area.
	2018-LA-158	218	9,491	18	82	The wiretap was terminated.
	2018-LA-159	5	479	49	51	During the course of this intercept, agents learned this DTO's method of operation.
	2018-LA-160	38	782	31	69	As a result of this intercept, agents seized 88 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2018-LA-161	8	210	37	63	During this interception period, agents were able to gather information on this DTO and its method of operation.
	2018-LA-162	20	978	19	81	The wiretap was terminated.
	2018-LA-163	98	3,618	16	84	Interceptions in this investigation revealed numerous individuals engaged in bulk narcotic sales and the shipment of these narcotics to Chicago.
	2018-LA-165	179	5,283	12	88	As result of this wiretap investigation, agents seized 52 kilograms of cocaine and \$350,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2018-LA-166	30	6,293	22	78	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.

		Approximate No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted		requency § 629.62(9))	
Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted		Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2018-LA-167	37	376	13	87	The wiretap was terminated.
	2018-LA-168	39	1,960	5	95	The wiretap was terminated.
	2018-LA-169	134	14,874	2	98	During this interception period, agents were able to determine the mode of operation by this DTO. Agents also seized approximately 50 pounds of methamphetamine, three pounds of fentynal pills and \$16,000 in suspected narcotics proceeds.
	2018-LA-170	1	1	0	100	The wiretap was terminated.
	2018-LA-171	4	1,264	44	56	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2018-LA-172	172	8,890	12	88	During this period of interception, agents seized approximately \$250,000 in narcotics proceeds and identified other members of the DTO.
	2018-LA-173	124	1,348	4	96	The wiretap was terminated.
	2018-LA-174	13	1,730	38	62	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the DTO's method of operation, identities of those involved, and other target telephones used by the DTO.
	2018-LA-175	3	100	36	64	The wiretap was terminated.
	2018-LA-176	1	2	50	50	The wiretap was terminated.
	2018-LA-177	228	10,967	6	94	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2018-LA-178	0	0			The wiretap was terminated.
	2018-LA-179	23	85	0	100	As a result of this wiretap, agents continued this investigation. The interception led to the identification of additional target subjects. The information obtained during this wiretap was used to apply for future wiretap affidavits.
	2018-LA-180	262	8,544	13	87	As a result of this wiretap, agents seized \$360,000 in narcotics proceeds, 30 pounds of methamphetamine and two kilograms of cocaine.
	2018-LA-181	0	0	0	0	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2018-LA-182	135	4,507	42	58	This operation targeted DTO members in Los Angeles County. This is still an ongoing investigation.
	2018-LA-183	461	3,651	5	95	As a result of this wiretap investigation, law enforcement was able to intercept critical information from this DTO that resulted in narcotics seizures from this DTO, preventing numerous pounds of methamphetamine from entering the community. It also allowed law enforcement to identify other suspects involved in the DTO. This investigation is ongoing.
	2018-LA-184	2	99	2	98	The investigation is ongoing. To date, investigators have seized 13 pounds of methamphetamine from this organization.

		Du	-	T		
	Approximate No.		-		requency § 629.62(9))	
Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	of Persons Whose Communications	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Incriminating	Other Communications	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
		Were Intercepted		(%)	(%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2018-LA-185	314	9,659	16	84	During this interception period, agents were able to determine the mode of operation by this DTO. Agents were also able to seize approximately 50 pounds of methamphetamine, three pounds of fentanyl pills, and \$16,000 in suspected narcotics proceeds.
	2018-LA-186	183	2,357	23	77	As a result of the wiretap, the DEA continued this investigation. The interception led to the identification of additional target subjects. The information learned during this wiretap was used to apply for future wiretap affidavits.
	2018-LA-187	5	10	0	100	As a result of this wiretap, the DEA continued this investigation. However, it appeared the user of this phone discontinued use at the time interception was initiated.
	2018-LA-188	56	743	11	89	During this interception period, agents were able to identify more members of this DTO and learn more about the details of their operations.
	2018-LA-189	3	567	44	56	The targets discontinued use of the target telephones.
	2018-LA-190	350	24,462	6	94	This intercept led to the seizure of \$185,000 in narcotics proceeds and eight kilograms of cocaine.
	2018-LA-191	165	10,881	12	88	Interceptions of this wiretap led to the seizure of 3.7 pounds of methamphetamine, 18 pounds of marijuana and 30 grams of heroin.
	2018-LA-193	89	3,280	12	88	During this period of interception, agents identified other members of this DTO and continued to learn about the operations of this DTO.
	2018-LA-194	6	936	44	56	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2018-LA-195	26	2,343	24	76	During the interception period for this wiretap, agents have continued to learn about the methods of operation of this target as well as this DTO.
	2018-LA-196	401	13,476	16	84	During the interception period, agents seized approximately 70 pounds of methamphetamine and two kilograms of cocaine.
	2018-LA-197	100	5,887	6	94	During the interception period, agents were able to identify more members of this DTO and learn more about the details of their workings.
	2018-LA-198	41	1,860	7	93	During the interception period, agents identified other members of this DTO and learned more about their methods of operation.
	2018-LA-199	255	8,554	15	85	This intercept led to the seizure of approximately \$360,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2018-LA-200	40	7,438	7	93	During the interception period, agents further identified the methods of operation for the DTO.
	2018-LA-201	2	200	39	61	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2018-LA-202	20	466	81	19	During this operation period, agents learned about the methods of operations for this DTO.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2018

		Annuavimete Na	_	Nature & F		
Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	(Penal Code Incriminating Communications (%)	Other	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2018-LA-203	1	2	0	100	No pertinent information was gained during this intercept.
	2018-LA-204	55	710	42	58	During this interception period, agents continued to learn of the methods and operations of this DTO.
	2018-LA-205	12	31	0	100	During this interception period, agents learned more about the methods and operations of this DTO.
	2018-LA-206	166	12,701	13	87	The investigation is ongoing.
	2018-LA-207	24	4,368	2	98	During this interception period, agents were able to identify more members of this DTO and learn more about the details of their workings.
	2018-LA-208	110	3,090	28	72	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2018-LA-209	0	0	0	100	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2018-LA-210	82	952	51	49	During this interception period, agents seized 1.4 pounds of cocaine and identified members of this DTO.
	2018-LA-211	41	351	2	98	During this interception period, agents were able to identify more members of this DTO and learn more about the details of their operations.
	2018-LA-212	0	0	0	0	No data was retrieved during this intercept.
	2018-LA-213	713	99,400	1	99	The targets are subjects in a murder investigation.
	2018-LA-214	94	3,386	1	99	The targets are subjects in a murder investigation.
	2018-LA-215	82	2,048	1	99	The targets are subjects in a murder investigation.
	2018-LA-216	0	0	0	0	No pertinent information was obtained during this interception period.
	2018-LA-217	135	3,351	1	99	As a result of this wiretap, the DEA continued this investigation. The information obtained was used to apply for additional wiretap affidavits.
	2019-LA-1	28	557	1	99	The target was involved in a murder investigation.
	2019-LA-2	173	1,293	26	74	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2019-LA-3	475	27,756	8	92	During the interception period, agents identified other members of this DTP and continued to learn more about their method of operations.
	2019-LA-4	222	5,321	14	86	The interception led to the seizure of 51 pounds of methamphetamine and one kilogram of heroin and resulted in two arrests.
	2019-LA-5	190	5,852	7	93	As a result of this wiretap, the DEA continued this investigation. The interception led to the identification of additional target subjects. The information obtained was used to apply for additional wiretap affidavits.
	2019-LA-6	93	2,975	44	56	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2019-LA-7	407	15,597	1	99	The targets were involved in a murder investigation.
	2019-LA-8	146	4,090	2	98	The targets were involved in a murder investigation.

				Nature & I	requency	
	Approximate No. of Persons Total No.		Total No. of	(Penal Code § 629.62(9))		
Reporting Jurisdiction	Jurisdiction EICOS No. Whose Communicat		Communications Intercepted	Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
Napa	2018-NA-1	101	133	0	100	The intercept was sought to determine which parent was responsible in a case involving attempted murder and child abuse.
Orange	2018-OR-1	120	64,422	5	95	This wiretap obtained information about a gang murder and conspiracies to conduct gang activity in a custodial institution.
	2018-OR-2	18	244	15	85	This wiretap sought information regarding narcotics trafficking activity.
	2018-OR-3	0	0	0	0	This wiretap sought information regarding drug trafficking in Orange County, but did not intercept any communications.
	2018-OR-4	42	788	55	45	This wiretap identified eight new telephone numbers used by narcotics traffickers and their method of operation.
	2018-OR-5	5	201	25	75	This wiretap identified other narcotics traffickers and their phone numbers and methods of operation.
	2018-OR-6	25	976	6	94	This wiretap identified narcotics source of supply and resulted in spin off to new wiretap.
	2018-OR-7	45	1,953	20	80	This wiretap resulted in the arrest and release of one subject; a warrant was later issued.
	2018-OR-9	115	53,070	10	90	This wiretap was part of a multi wiretap gang investigation, which resulted in numerous arrests.
	2018-OR-10	100	24,456	4	96	This wiretap was part of a multi-wiretap investigation of gang activity including a cold case homicide and gang activity in a custodial institution.
	2018-OR-11	139	3,927	4	96	This was part of a multi wiretap investigation of gangs, narcotics and conspiracy a custodial institution.
	2018-OR-12	56	7,426	22	78	This was part of a multiple wiretap investigation into gangs narcotics trafficking in a custodial institution.
	2018-OR-13	69	695	15	85	This wiretap provided information regarding the roles of each suspect in a double homicide. The target was arrested. The investigation is ongoing.
	2018-OR-14	4	10	0	100	
	2018-OR-15	2	5	0	100	This wiretap was used in the investigation of a cold case homicide.
	2018-OR-16	4	7	0	100	
	2018-OR-17	5	36	10	90	The wiretap provided information related to family knowledge of cold case homicide
Riverside	2017-RIV-107	640	640	25	75	During the wiretap interception investigation, there were no persons identified in the wiretap.
	2017-RIV-111	9,722	9,722	23	77	As a result of this wiretap interception, agents sezied 56 kilograms of cocaine, one kilogram of heroin, and \$1,663,320 in drug proceed monies.
	2017-RIV-113	35	2,045	2	98	

				Nature & F	requency	
		Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted		(Penal Code § 629.62(9))		
Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.			Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
Riverside (cont'd)	2017-RIV-114	38	1,164	63	37	This intercept allowed investigators to identify at least three sources of supply and gained significant intelligence into the magnitude of DTO's criminal activity.
	2017-RIV-115	37	558	50	50	
	2017-RIV-116	240	290	23	77	As a result of this wiretap interception, investigators seized \$16,040 in narcotics proceeds and two kilograms of cocaine.
	2017-RIV-117	82	994	38	62	The operation targeted DTO members in this county. This is an ongoing investigation.
	2018-RIV-1	61	2,572	41	59	This intercept resulted in the seizure of 15 kilograms of cocaine destined for Chicago and resulted in 2 arrests.
	2018-RIV-2	67	2,819	45	55	
	2018-RIV-3	31	1,702	22	78	As a result of this wiretap interception, two persons were arrested for transportation of 56.35 pounds of methamphetamine. Additionally, 84 grams of cocaine and six firearms were also seized from the primary target.
	2018-RIV-4	15	128	44	56	
	2018-RIV-5	70	1,434	1	99	During this wiretap interception and investigation, important intelligence was gathered regarding the DTO; targets were identified and stash houses were identified and located.
	2018-RIV-6	11	871			
	2018-RIV-7	3	25	40	60	During the wiretap interception investigation there were no persons identified in the wiretap.
	2018-RIV-8	120	4,335	24	76	
	2018-RIV-9	74	2,156	15	85	
	2018-RIV-10	73	1,735	1	99	As a result of this wiretap interception order, valuable information was collected regarding the DTO; targets were identified and involved houses were located.
	2018-RIV-11	5	365	46	54	During the wiretap interception investigation, there were no persons identified in the wiretap. No subscriber information is available.
	2018-RIV-12	0	352	0	100	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2018-RIV-13	19	2,347	4	96	
	2018-RIV-14	12	201	13	87	During the wiretap interception investigation, there were no persons identified in the Wiretap. No subscriber information is available.
	2018-RIV-15	12	2,860	42	58	During the wiretap interception investigation, there were no persons identified in the wiretap. No subscriber information is available.
	2018-RIV-16	16	126	10	90	

		Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted			requency § 629.62(9))	
Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.			Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
Riverside (cont'd)	2018-RIV-17	5	222	46	54	During the wiretap interception investigation, there were no persons identified in the wiretap. No subscriber information is available.
	2018-RIV-18	27	6,915	22	78	As a result of this wiretap interception, 18 kilograms of cocaine and \$428,800 in narcotics proceeds were seized.
	2018-RIV-19	2	622	46	54	During the wiretap interception investigation, there were no persons identified in the wiretap. No subscriber information is available.
	2018-RIV-20	1	17	0	100	
	2018-RIV-21	6	1,317	36	64	During the wiretap interception investigation, there were no persons identified in the wiretap. No subscriber information is available.
	2018-RIV-22	369	9,625	30	70	The intercepted communications resulted in a seizure of illegal drug proceeds in the amount of \$279,810 and led to the identification of additional co-conspirators.
	2018-RIV-23	1	1,053	42	58	During the wiretap interception investigation, there were no persons identified in the wiretap. No subscriber information is available.
	2018-RIV-24	5	451	50	50	During the wiretap interception investigation, there were no persons identified in the wiretap. No subscriber information is available.
	2018-RIV-25	42	5,934	19	81	This intercept resulted in the seizure of approximately one pound of methamphetamine and 2 firearms.
	2018-RIV-26	1	14	0	100	The operation targeted DTO members in this county. This is still an ongoing wiretap investigation.
	2018-RIV-27	6	400	33	67	During the wiretap interception investigation, there were no persons identified in the wiretap. No subscriber information is available.
	2018-RIV-28	28	1,863	51	49	
	2018-RIV-29	3	132	48	52	
	2018-RIV-30	18	365	20	80	During the wiretap interception investigation, there were no persons identified in the wiretap. No subscriber information is available.
	2018-RIV-31	10	412	4	96	During the wiretap interception investigation, there were no persons identified in the wiretap. No subscriber information is available.
	2018-RIV-32	0	16	0	100	
	2018-RIV-34	18	4,745	30	70	Investigators seized \$867,920 in narcotics proceeds as a result of this wiretap investigation.
	2018-RIV-35	89	2,921	40	60	As a result of this wiretap interception, approximately 17 kilograms of cocaine was seized.
	2018-RIV-36	109	13,528	3	97	Approximately two pounds of methamphetamine, 1,200 pounds of marijuana, numerous firearms, and a fake DEA badge were seized as a result of this wiretap interception order.

				Nature & I	requency	
		Approximate No. of Persons	Total No. of		§ 629.62(9))	
Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Communications Intercepted	Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
Riverside (cont'd)	2018-RIV-37	973	25,076	1	99	
(20112)	2018-RIV-38	33	1,143	35	65	
	2018-RIV-39	50	5,494	2	98	
	2018-RIV-40	539	6,655	7	93	
	2018-RIV-42	746	60,766	1	99	
	2018-RIV-43	1	58	5	95	
	2018-RIV-44	997	6,957	10	90	
	2018-RIV-45	71	2,382	2	98	As a result of this wiretap interception, two subjects were located and arrested in connection to a homicide investigation. Both targets discussed in detail, numerous times, involvement in the homicide.
	2018-RIV-46	2,086	42,807	5	95	
	2018-RIV-47	46	1,858	8	92	
	2018-RIV-48	45	3,317	0	100	Interceptions stimulated conversations between suspects and provided information related to homicide.
	2018-RIV-49	44	803	0	100	
	2018-RIV-50	22	7,574	12	88	
	2018-RIV-51	7	77	38	62	
	2018-RIV-52	26	606	34	66	During the interceptions, important intel was gathered regarding the organization. Interceptions led to information which resulted in the seizure of approximately 10 kilograms of cocaine, 5 kilograms of heroin, and two kilograms of methamphetamine.
	2018-RIV-54	58	649	3	97	
	2018-RIV-55	0	0	0	0	No progress made on this wiretap interception order.
Sacramento	2018-SAC-3	180	2,465	5	95	The investigation is ongoing. The wire produced further information and witnesses regarding a triple homicide investigation.
	2019-SAC-1	116	1,184	2	98	See 2019-SAC-3.
	2019-SAC-2	46	642	2	98	See 2019-SAC-3.
San Bernardino	2018-SBD-1	785	42,630	3	97	The investigation led investigators to identify the narcotics supplier for the gang and its associates. The investigation is currently ongoing.
	2018-SBD-2	537	26,246	12	88	Redlands Police and San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department GIT Investigators seized a large quantity of methamphetamine from several subjects in San Bernardino and Riverside Counties. Investigators seized approximately 48 pounds of methamphetamine and several firearms.

		Approximate No.			Frequency § 629.62(9))	
Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted		Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2018-SBD-3	43	14,128	4	96	The intercept led to the seizure of firearms, ammunition, and cocaine and led to an investigation of distribution of child pornography and identity theft/fraud.
	2018-SBD-4	516	11,683	6	94	Investigators seized a large quantity of methamphetamine from several subjects in San Bernardino and Riverside County.
	2018-SBD-5	41	1,255	14	86	The intercepted communications were material in identifying additional members of the DTO and furthering the investigation.
	2018-SBD-6	305	7,282	2	98	Task Force investigators seized a large quantity of methamphetamine from several subjects in San Bernardino and Riverside Counties.
	2018-SBD-7	35	6,010	1	99	Taskforce investigators seized a large quantity of drugs from several subjects. Investigators seized approximately one pound of drugs.
	2018-SBD-8	186	25,457	10	90	This intercept resulted in the seizure of firearms, drugs, and U.S. currency.
	2018-SBD-9	2	7	0	100	There were no incriminating conversations intercepted.
	2018-SBD-10	0	0			The target discontinued use of the target telephones.
	2018-SBD-11	37	2,446	11	89	This ongoing investigation is gathering information on a narcotic distribution network operating out of San Bernardino County and sourcing narcotics throughout Southern California and to the east coast.
	2018-SBD-12	181	6,566	4	96	The intercepted communications were material in obtaining additional wiretaps and furthering the investigation; the case is still under review and consideration by the District Attorney.
	2018-SBD-13	273	6,086	13	87	This investigation led to the identification of a drug supplier who assisted in distribution into San Bernardino County. Additional wire intercepts were authored based off information obtained from this intercept. During the investigation, drugs were seized.
	2018-SBD-14	61	8,045	1	99	No criminal charges directly resulted from the interceptions obtained pursuant to this intercept order.
	2018-SBD-15	0	0			The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2018-SBD-16	63	1,231	8	92	This investigation allowed investigators to obtain information about a DTO smuggling narcotics from southern Mexico into the United States. Investigators were able to seize drugs.
	2018-SBD-17	198	3,431	9	91	Task Force investigators seized firearms and drugs from a gang member.

	1		8	ı		1
		Approximate No.	Tatal No. of		Frequency § 629.62(9))	
Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2018-SBD-18	78	1,156	19	81	This investigation is gathering information on a narcotic distribution network operating out of San Bernardino county and sourcing narcotics throughout Southern California and to the east coast. Investigators have seized methamphetamine. Investigation is ongoing.
	2018-SBD-19	198	1,036	33	67	This investigation led to the identification of a drug supplier who assisted in distribution into San Bernardino County. Additional wire intercepts were authored based off information obtained from this intercept. During this investigation, drugs and firearms were seized.
	2018-SBD-20	203	13,818	16	84	This investigation is ongoing. Investigators seized drugs.
	2018-SBD-21	50	795	6	94	Intercepted communications assisted investigators in obtaining further investigation into the target subject's involvement in criminal activity, person/locations/vehicles associated to the target subject, and assisted investigators in identifying an additional telephone number utilized by the target subject. The intercepted communications were material in obtaining an additional wiretap and furthering the investigation. The investigation is ongoing.
	2018-SBD-22	267	1,287	12	88	This investigation led to the identification of a drug supplier who assisted in distribution into San Bernardino County. Additional wire intercepts were authored based off information obtained from this intercept. During this investigation, drugs and firearm were seized.
	2018-SBD-23	2,077	15,171	7	93	During the course of this investigation, members of various agencies made several arrests related to the sales of drugs and seized firearms. Stolen vehicles were recovered. The intercepted communications were material in obtaining information for an additional wiretap and furthering the investigation. Investigation from these intercepted communications have assisted investigators identify additional gang members involved in criminal activity. The investigation is ongoing.

		Approximate No.			Frequency § 629.62(9))	
Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted		Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
San Diego	2017-SD-20	495	13,168	20	80	Based on this investigation, agents learned that this DTO shipped illicit controlled substances from San Diego to Florida, utilizing the US Postal Service. As a result of a joint investigation with Florida law enforcement, agents seized 2 kilograms of a ketamine/tramadol mixture, destined for the DTO members in Florida. One vehicle used by the DTO containing an illegal aftermarket compartment was seized, along with \$4,500. A second DTO vehicle was seized which contained 10 pounds of bulk marijuana. Additionally, one kilogram of cocaine was seized in Florida and one kilogram of heroin was seized in Alabama. Investigation is ongoing and arrests are pending.
	2017-SD-31	1,810	2,592	24	76	As a result of the investigation, agents recovered approximately 3.4 pounds of cocaine, 2.22 pounds of methamphetamine, 40 pounds of marijuana, and 156 grams of hash oil. Agents also recovered two firearms and seized \$12,700 and one 2015 Ford F-205 pickup truck. Several individuals were charged and convicted of various offenses.
	2017-SD-32	6	264	32	68	This drug investigation targeted a methamphetamine trafficker. Wire intercepts identified an active distribution network operating in San Diego. Investigation is ongoing.
	2018-SD-1	12	887	23	77	The interception resulted in one arrest and the seizure of 50 pounds of methamphetamine, two kilograms of cocaine, and three kilograms of fentanyl.
	2018-SD-2	2,338	21,118	9	91	See 2018-SD-8.
	2018-SD-3	587	7,143	11	89	See 2018-SD-8.
	2018-SD-4	1,403	23,794	18	82	See 2018-SD-8.
	2018-SD-5	192	4,828	12	88	See 2018-SD-8.
	2018-SD-6	338	2,749	12	88	See 2018-SD-8.
	2018-SD-7	41	786	14	86	See 2018-SD-8.
	2018-SD-8	5	11	68	32	These intercepts aided in the identification of a sophisticated poly-drug distribution and transportation network in the Southern California area. The case identified the transportation methods used by the DTO, and drug distribution cells operating in Memphis, TN and New Orleans, LA. Spin-off wiretaps were initiated in these areas and indictments are pending.

		Approximate No.	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & I (Penal Code	requency § 629.62(9))	
Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted		Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
San Joaquin	2018-SJ-1	0	11,452	4	96	This operation culminated in the apprehension of several individuals responsible for three homicides and several other violent crimes that were committed as part of a gang rivalry. The interceptions provided evidence critical in the trials in these cases. The operation also led to the seizure of several firearms possessed by members and associates of the gang responsible for the violence at issue.
	2018-SJ-2	0	4,245	9	91	See 2018-SJ-1.
	2018-SJ-3	0	0			This operation culminated in the apprehension of several individuals responsible for an attempted homicide and other violent crimes committed in association with criminal street gangs. The interceptions provided evidence critical in the trials in these cases. The operation also led to the seizure of several firearms by members and associates of the gang responsible for the violence at issue.
	2019-SJ-1	704	6,954	6	94	See 2018-SJ-3.
	2018-SJ-4	704	6,954	6	94	See 2018-SJ-3.
	2018-SJ-5	830	1,715	61	39	See 2018-SJ-3.
	2018-SJ-7	2,070	7,699	4	96	See 2018-SJ-3.
	2018-SJ-8	1,385	6,938	11	89	See 2018-SJ-3.
	2018-SJ-9	891	5,944	3	97	See 2018-SJ-3.
	2018-SJ-10	1,186	5,622	5	95	See 2018-SJ-3.
San Luis Obispo	2018-SLO-5	154	5,743	2.8	97	The target was a suspect in a murder investigation, and the wiretap was critical in attempting to track his conversations about that murder and where he may be travelling.
	2018-SLO-6	10	223	34		In an ongoing murder investigation, law enforcement obtained information that the primary suspect was potentially still communicating about the subject murder. Law enforcement believed that the primary suspect potentially had co-conspirators to assist him with either the murder itself or with any ongoing conversation.
Santa Clara	2017-SCL-11	0	6,789	32	68	See 2018-SCL-4.
	2017-SCL-12	0	1,797	39	61	See 2018-SCL-4.
	2018-SCL-1	62	1,468	23	77	See 2018-SCL-4.
	2018-SCL-2	36	3,171	8	92	See 2018-SCL-4.
	2018-SCL-3	30	859	18	82	See 2018-SCL-4.

		Approximate No.		Nature & I (Penal Code		
Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
Santa Clara (cont'd)	2018-SCL-4	11	212	12	88	This was a joint investigation between the DEA, Santa Clara Police Department, and San Mateo County Narcotics Task Force and resulted in the seizure of nearly 100 pounds of methamphetamine, 9,000 fentanyl pills, several pounds of cocaine, five firearms, and thousands of dollars of assets seized. Numerous drug traffickers were apprehended; half pled guilty to various related offenses.
	2018-SCL-5	23	934	2	98	This case is still under investigation.
Solano	2018-SOL-1	392	7,418	0.01	99.99	
	2018-SOL-2	0	1,931	1	99	
	2018-SOL-3	0	2,248	1	99	
Stanislaus	2018-STA-2	169	4,006	4	96	Wire intercepts were instrumental in obtaining necessary evidence that culminated in filing murder charges against two individuals responsible for a drive-by shooting that killed a 5 year old child.
	2018-STA-3	17	6,713	8	92	The wire interception was important to infiltrate a high level DTO that was importing, transporting and selling large quantities of methamphetamine.
	2018-STA-4	138	6,767	14	86	
	2018-STA-5	28	413	23	77	
	2018-STA-6	18	276	36	64	This investigation involved a local DTO selling large quantities of heroin into the community. The case is still pending with future arrests anticipated.
	2018-STA-7	132	11,566	3	97	
	2018-STA-8	94	2,598		97	
	2018-STA-9	54	2,697	3	97	These intercepts were necessary to facilitate the gathering of evidence related to two murders, three attempted murders and several armed robberies that were committed by gang members and associates. It successfully led to the arrest of various individuals responsible for these acts of violence. Charges have been filed against all individuals and prosecutions are pending.
	2018-STA-10	16	73	31	69	This investigation involved the murder of a police officer. The wire interceptions were instrumental in locating the murder weapon and subsequent arrest of the primary suspect.
Ventura	2017-VE-19	557	22,341	7	93	This wiretap helped prevent a shooting by capturing communications regarding a plan to shoot gang rivals. Law enforcement seized nine illegal firearms, including one assault weapon. They also seized heroin, cocaine, and approximately \$64,000 in drug proceeds.

		Approximate No.			requency § 629.62(9))	
Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
Ventura (cont'd)	2018-VE-1	326	7,899	2	98	Intercept assisted investigators in successfully obtaining admissions from two of the suspects in the investigation of a murder involving two innocent victims. The arrest of these suspects had an extremely positive impact on the community and brought them a sense of security knowing three violent individuals were taken off the street.
	2018-VE-2	245	19,865	17	83	This wiretap investigation allowed for the complete identification and successful prosecution of a drug trafficking cell. The intercepts also allowed for the identification of the customer base in the community that has been affected by the opioid epidemic.
	2018-VE-3	18	631	22	78	This wiretap investigation led to the seizure of more than 30 pounds of methamphetamine and the arrest of three individuals responsible for distributing drugs in Ventura County and elsewhere in Southern California.
	2018-VE-4	250	11,280	2	98	The interceptions were vital in building a prosecutable case against the target subjects who were transporting controlled substances into Ventura County. The interceptions led directly to the seizure of a controlled substance that would have been sold to residents of Ventura County.
	2018-VE-5	150	6,633	25		This wiretap (and its later related wiretaps 2018-VE-06 and 2018-VE-09) allowed law enforcement to dismantle a portion of a drug trafficking organization responsible for distributing heroin, cocaine, methamphetamine and opiate pills. The investigation resulted in the seizure of approximately 109 pounds of methamphetamine, 52 pounds of cocaine, and several hundred Oxycodone pills, thus preventing the illegal distribution of these controlled substances on the streets of Ventura County.
	2018-VE-6	267	13,603	23	77	See 2018-VE-5.
	2018-VE-7	161	1,966	25	75	This wiretap investigation allowed for the takedown of a significant drug trafficking organization. In total, approximately 11 pounds of heroin was seized along with approximately \$40,000 from heroin sales.
	2018-VE-8	1,851	28,217	3		This wiretap investigation was vital in building a prosecutable case against a number of gang members who were part of a burglary crew rampaging across Southern California. It not only allowed for prosecution of local residential burglaries, but helped neighboring jurisdictions solve a number of residential burglary cases as well.
	2018-VE-9	0	0			See 2018-VE-5.

		Approximate No.			Frequency § 629.62(9))	
Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted		Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
Ventura (cont'd)	2018-VE-10	256	53,630	5	95	A local gang member housed in prison was running a drug trafficking ring using a contraband cell phone and fellow gang members still out on the street. The wiretap allowed investigators to find where they stashed drugs, how they funneled drug proceeds back up the chain, and many of their distribution branches all over the county and into neighboring counties. These wiretaps (2018-10 and 2018-11) were instrumental in building prosecutable cases against a core group of local gang members, and in severing the incarcerated gang member's links, and ability, to commit more crime from behind bars.
	2018-VE-11	1,555	53,580	3	97	See 2018-VE-10.

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Alameda	2018-ALA-1	Barocio, Officer	Nancy O'Malley	Hon. Jon Rolefson	3/3/2019	11/27/2018		
	2018-ALA-3	Barocio, Officer	Nancy O'Malley	Hon. Jon Rolefson	3/3/2019	11/27/2018		
	2018-ALA-4	Barocio, Officer	Nancy O'Malley	Hon. Jon Rolefson	3/3/2019	11/27/2018		
	2018-ALA-5	Barocio, Officer	Nancy O'Malley	Hon. Jon Rolefson	3/3/2019	11/27/2018		
	2018-ALA-6	Barocio, Officer	Kevin Dunleavy	Hon. Jon Rolefson	3/3/2019	11/27/2018		
	2018-ALA-7	Barocio, Officer	Kevin Dunleavy	Hon. Jon Rolefson	3/3/2019	11/27/2018		
	2018-ALA-8	Barocio, Officer	Kevin Dunleavy	Hon. Jon Rolefson	3/3/2019	11/27/2018		
	2018-ALA-9	Barocio, Officer	Kevin Dunleavy	Hon. Jon Rolefson	3/3/2019	11/27/2018		
	2018-ALA-10	Barocio, Officer	Kevin Dunleavy	Hon. Jon Rolefson	3/3/2019	11/27/2018		
	2018-ALA-11	Butcher, Officer	Nancy O'Malley	Hon. Jon Rolefson	3/18/2019			
	2018-ALA-12	Butcher, Officer	Nancy O'Malley	Hon. Jon Rolefson	3/18/2019			
Contra Costa	2019-CC-1	Calderan, Detective	Diana Becton	Hon. John T. Laettner	6/2/2018		5/31/2018	10
	2019-CC-2	Calderan, Detective	Diana Becton	Hon. John T. Laettner	6/14/2018		5/31/2018	10
	2019-CC-3	Souza, Detective	Diana Becton	Hon. John T. Laettner	7/21/2018			
	2019-CC-4	Souza, Detective	Diana Becton	Hon. John T. Laettner	7/21/2018			
	2019-CC-5	Souza, Detective	Diana Becton	Hon. John T. Laettner	7/14/2018			
	2019-CC-6	Souza, Detective	Diana Becton	Hon. John T. Laettner	8/21/2018			
	2019-CC-7	Souza, Detective	Diana Becton	Hon. John T. Laettner	9/3/2018			
	2019-CC-8	Gonzalez, Detective	Diana Becton	Hon. John T. Laettner	8/27/2018		8/9/2018	13
	2019-CC-9	Souza, Detective	Diana Becton	Hon. John T. laettner	9/13/2018			
	2019-CC-10	Gonzalez, Detective	Diana Becton	Hon. John T. Laettner	8/27/2018		8/9/2018	13
	2019-CC-11	Sears, Detective	Diana Becton	Hon. John T. Laettner	11/30/2018		11/29/2018	13
	2019-CC-12	Calderan, Detective	Diana Becton	Hon. John T. Laettner	12/5/2018		12/12/2018	345

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Contra Costa (cont'd)	2019-CC-13	Sears, Detective	Diana Becton	Hon. John T. Laettner	12/7/2018		11/29/2018	13
	2019-CC-14	Sears, Detective	Diana Becton	Hon. John T. Laettner	12/13/2018		12/12/2018	345
	2019-CC-15	Sears, Detective	Diana Becton	Hon. John T. Laettner	12/15/2018		11/29/2018	13
	2019-CC-16	Calderan, Detective	Diana Becton	Hon. John T. Laettner	12/13/2018		12/12/2018	345
	2019-CC-17	Calderan, Detective	Diana Becton	Hon. John T. Laettner	12/17/2018		12/12/2018	345
	2019-CC-18	Sears, Detective	Diana Becton	Hon. John T. Laettner	12/30/2018	11/29/2018		13
	2019-CC-19	Calderan, Detective	Diana Becton	Hon. John T. Laettner	1/4/2019		12/12/2018	345
	2019-CC-20	Calderan, Detective	Diana Becton	Hon. John T. Laettner	1/10/2019		12/12/2018	345
Fresno	2018-FR-3	Sharp, Deputy	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Arlan Harrell	10/22/2018		11/30/2018	49
	2018-FR-4	Sharp, Deputy	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Arlan Harrell	11/23/2018		11/30/2018	45
	2018-FR-5	Sharp, Deputy	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Arlan Harrell	10/15/2018		11/30/2018	4
	2018-FR-6	Sharp, Deputy	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Arlan Harrell	11/21/2018		11/30/2018	26
	2018-FR-7	Sharp, Deputy	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Arlan Harrell	11/7/2018		11/30/2018	2
	2018-FR-8	Sharp, Deputy	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Arlan Harrell	11/28/2018		11/30/2018	1
	2018-FR-9	Sharp, Deputy	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Arlan Harrell	11/28/2018		11/30/2018	35
	2018-FR-10	Wages, Investigator	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Arlan Harrell	8/24/2018		8/22/2018	24
Kern	2018-KE-2	Mckillop, DDA	Lisa S. Green	Hon. John R. Brownlee	12/20/2018	12/20/2018		
	2018-KE-3	Perez, Officer	Lisa Green	Hon. John R. Brownlee				
	2018-KE-4	Perez, Officer	Lisa Green	Hon. John R. Brownlee				
	2018-KE-5	Perez, Officer	Lisa Green	Hon. John R. Brownlee				
	2018-KE-6	Perez, Officer	Lisa Green	Hon. John R. Brownlee				
	2018-KE-7	Perez, Officer	Lisa Green	Hon. John R. Brownlee				
	2019-KE-1	Perez, Officer	Lisa Green	Hon. John R. Brownlee				

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Kern (cont'd)	2018-KE-8	Perez, Officer	Lisa Green	Hon. John R. Brownlee				
	2018-KE-9	Perez, Officer	Lisa Green	Hon. John R. Brownlee				
	2018-KE-10	Perez, Officer	Lisa Green	Hon. John R. Brownlee				
	2018-KE-11	Perez, Officer	Lisa Green	Hon. John R. Brownlee				
Los Angeles	2018-LA-31	Ruelas, DEA- Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/7/2018		4/7/2018	0
	2018-LA-35	Ruelas, DEA – Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/17/2018	5/8/2018	5/10/2018	1
	2018-LA-37	Ruelas, DEA- Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/27/2018			0
	2018-LA-38	Ruelas,DEA- Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/30/2018		12/6/2018	2
	2018-LA-39	Ruelas,DEA- Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/30/2018		11/30/2018	0
	2018-LA-40	Ruelas,DEA- Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/11/2018			0
	2018-LA-41	Ruelas,DEA- Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/1/2018			0
	2018-LA-42	Gutierrez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/21/2018			0
	2018-LA-43	Gutierrez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/28/2018			0
	2018-LA-44	Guerrero, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/28/2018			0
	2018-LA-45	Ruelas, DEA- Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/24/2018			0
	2018-LA-46	Ruelas, DEA- Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/30/2018		12/6/2018	2
	2018-LA-47	Ruelas,DEA- Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/10/2018			0
	2018-LA-48	Ruelas, DEA- Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/27/2018		11/28/2018	1
	2018-LA-49	Ruelas DEA- Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/11/2018		5/11/2018	0
	2018-LA-50	Duarte, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/4/2018		5/9/2018	108
	2018-LA-51	Guerrero, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/6/2018		9/11/2018	4
	2018-LA-56	Smith, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/17/2018		4/12/2018	1
	2018-LA-57	Guerrero, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/21/2018	4/25/2018	9/10/2018	5

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2018-LA-59	Ruelas, DEA- Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/26/2018		4/26/2018	0
	2018-LA-60	Guerrero, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/21/2018	4/25/2018	9/10/2018	5
	2018-LA-63	Ruelas, DEA- Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/11/2018			0
	2018-LA-64	Ibarra, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/9/2018		6/29/2018	167
	2018-LA-65	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/5/2018	7/3/2018	10/9/2018	6
	2018-LA-66	Calderon, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/20/2018		1/8/2019	115
	2018-LA-67	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/20/2018		1/4/2019	0
	2018-LA-68	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/19/2018			0
	2018-LA-69	Guerrero, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/30/2018			0
	2018-LA-70	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/28/2018		8/30/2018	4
	2018-LA-71	Soliz, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/3/2019	1/3/2019		
	2018-LA-72	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/2/2018		11/5/2018	1
	2018-LA-73	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/28/2018		10/4/2018	7
	2018-LA-74	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/1/2018		11/5/2018	1
	2018-LA-75	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/26/2018		10/30/2018	1
	2018-LA-76	Joiner, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/26/2019	11/26/2018		
	2018-LA-77	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/20/2018		1/4/2019	0
	2018-LA-78	Joiner, Special Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/26/2019	11/26/2018		
	2018-LA-79	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/10/2018		8/2/2018	0
	2018-LA-80	Soliz, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/3/2019	1/3/2019		
	2018-LA-81	Guerrero, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/20/2018		8/2/2018	0
	2018-LA-82	Gutierrez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/28/2018		8/28/2018	0
	2018-LA-83	Guerrero, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/7/2018		9/10/2018	0

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2018-LA-84	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/20/2018		1/4/2019	4
	2018-LA-85	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/27/2018		11/27/2018	0
	2018-LA-86	Groves, Special Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/20/2018		1/4/2019	0
	2018-LA-87	Giles, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/28/2019	11/29/2018		
	2018-LA-88	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/12/2018		6/14/2018	2
	2018-LA-89	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/28/2018		10/30/2018	2
	2018-LA-90	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/20/2018		1/4/2019	1
	2018-LA-91	Joiner, Special Agenct	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/26/2019	11/26/2018		
	2018-LA-92	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/26/2018		7/30/2018	1
	2018-LA-93	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/13/2018		6/13/2018	0
	2018-LA-94	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/28/2018		10/4/2018	1
	2018-LA-95	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/27/2018		11/27/2018	0
	2018-LA-96	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/20/2018		1/4/2019	0
	2018-LA-97	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/24/2018		7/27/2018	3
	2018-LA-98	Giles, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/28/2019	11/29/2018		
	2018-LA-99	Joiner, Special Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/26/2019	11/26/2018		
	2018-LA-100	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler			9/28/2018	0
	2018-LA-101	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/20/2018		1/4/2019	0
	2018-LA-102	Kroese, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/27/2018		10/1/2018	10
	2018-LA-103	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/2/2018		11/2/2018	0
	2018-LA-104	Gonzales, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/3/2019	1/3/2019		
	2018-LA-105	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/5/2018		10/5/2018	0
	2018-LA-106	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/20/2018			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2018-LA-107	Calderon, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/20/2018		1/8/2019	115
	2018-LA-108	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/30/2018		8/9/2018	1
	2018-LA-109	Ling, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/17/2018		10/22/2018	2
	2018-LA-110	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/9/2018		7/9/2018	0
	2018-LA-111	Groves, Special Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/20/2018		1/4/2019	0
	2018-LA-112	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/9/2018		8/31/2018	0
	2018-LA-113	Mitry, Investigator	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/30/2018		8/2/2018	14
	2018-LA-114	Giles, Deputy	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/28/2019	11/29/2018		
	2018-LA-115	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/20/2018		1/4/2019	1
	2018-LA-116	Joiner, Special Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/26/2019	11/26/2018		
	2018-LA-117	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/14/2018			0
	2018-LA-118	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/6/2018		9/11/2018	1
	2018-LA-119	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/27/2018		11/27/2018	0
	2018-LA-120	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/1/2018		11/5/2018	3
	2018-LA-121	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/20/2018		1/4/2019	0
	2018-LA-122	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/11/2018			0
	2018-LA-123	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/1/2018		11/5/2018	3
	2018-LA-124	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/27/2018		11/26/2018	2
	2018-LA-125	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/27/2018		11/26/2018	2
	2018-LA-126	Kroese, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/19/2018	9/24/2018		2
	2018-LA-127	Joiner, Special Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/26/2019	11/26/2018		
	2018-LA-128	Gutierrez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/27/2018			
	2018-LA-129	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/27/2018		11/27/2018	0

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2018-LA-130	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/6/2018			0
	2018-LA-131	Gutierrez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/7/2018		11/7/2018	0
	2018-LA-132	Joiner, Special Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/16/2019	11/16/2018		
	2018-LA-133	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/28/2018			0
	2018-LA-134	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/24/2018		11/5/2018	1
	2018-LA-135	Williamson, Special Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/27/2019	11/27/2018		
	2018-LA-136	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/9/2018			
	2018-LA-137	Guerrero, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/17/2018		10/23/2018	1
	2018-LA-138	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/5/2018		10/5/2018	0
	2018-LA-139	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/14/2018		11/15/2018	4
	2018-LA-140	Estrada, Special Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/24/2019	10/24/2018		
	2018-LA-141	Sisneros, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/28/2018		11/30/2018	237
	2018-LA-143	Joiner, Special Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/4/2018			
	2018-LA-144	Giles, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/28/2019	11/29/2018		
	2018-LA-145	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/26/2018		9/26/2018	0
	2018-LA-146	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/5/2018		10/5/2018	0
	2018-LA-147	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/6/2018		9/6/2018	0
	2018-LA-148	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/1/2018			
	2018-LA-149	Williamson, Special Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/27/2019	11/27/2018		
	2018-LA-150	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/14/2018		11/14/2018	0
	2018-LA-151	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/17/2019	12/17/2018		
	2018-LA-152	Gutierrez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/17/2018			
	2018-LA-153	Joiner, Special Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler				

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2018-LA-154	Williamson, Special Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/27/2019	11/27/2018		
	2018-LA-155	Gonzales, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/3/2018		12/3/2018	0
	2018-LA-156	Gonzales, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/17/2018			
	2018-LA-157	Estrada, Special Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/24/2019	10/24/2018		
	2018-LA-158	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/17/2019	12/17/2018		
	2018-LA-159	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/3/2018		12/3/2018	0
	2018-LA-160	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/14/2018		11/16/2018	3
	2018-LA-161	Gutierrez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/12/2018			
	2018-LA-162	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/2/2018		11/2/2018	0
	2018-LA-163	Estrada, Special Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/24/2019	10/24/2018		
	2018-LA-165	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/21/2019	11/21/2018		
	2018-LA-166	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/26/2018		12/26/2018	0
	2018-LA-167	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/12/2018		12/6/2018	2
	2018-LA-168	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/21/2019	11/21/2018		
	2018-LA-169	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/24/2018			
	2018-LA-170	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/5/2018		10/5/2018	0
	2018-LA-171	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/29/2019			
	2018-LA-172	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/10/2019			
	2018-LA-173	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/14/2018		11/15/2018	3
	2018-LA-174	Gutierrez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/20/2018			
	2018-LA-175	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/5/2018		10/5/2018	0
	2018-LA-176	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/12/2018		12/3/2018	0
	2018-LA-177	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/7/2019	1/7/2019		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2018-LA-178	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/20/2018		12/3/2018	0
	2018-LA-179	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/25/2018			
	2018-LA-180	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/4/2019			
	2018-LA-181	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/26/2018		12/26/2018	of Inventory Notices Sent
	2018-LA-182	Joiner, Special Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/26/2019	11/26/2018		
	2018-LA-183	Barajas, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/28/2018		11/30/2018	237
	2018-LA-184	Miles, Special Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/16/2018	12/17/2018		
	2018-LA-185	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/9/2018			
	2018-LA-186	Gomez, TFO	John Spillane	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/17/2019			
	2018-LA-187	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/26/2019			
	2018-LA-188	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/7/2019			
	2018-LA-189	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/15/2019			
	2018-LA-190	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/11/2019			
	2018-LA-191	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/3/2019			
	2018-LA-193	Gutierrez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/24/2019			
	2018-LA-194	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/21/2019			
	2018-LA-195	Kroese, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/17/2019			
	2018-LA-196	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/26/2019			
	2018-LA-197	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/11/2019			
	2018-LA-198	Gutierrez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/13/2019			
	2018-LA-199	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/6/2019			
	2018-LA-200	Gutierrez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/6/2019			
	2018-LA-201	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/17/2018		12/17/2018	0

Table 5 Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2018-LA-202	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/27/2019			
	2018-LA-203	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/3/2019			
	2018-LA-204	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/8/2019			
	2018-LA-205	Gutierrez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/3/2019			
	2018-LA-206	Miles, Special Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/8/2019			
	2018-LA-207	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/11/2019			
	2018-LA-208	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/16/2019			
	2018-LA-209	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/22/2019			
	2018-LA-210	Gutierrez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/25/2019			
	2018-LA-211	Gutierrez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/1/2019			
	2018-LA-212	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/15/2019			
	2018-LA-213	Gonzales, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/2/2019			
	2018-LA-214	Gonzales, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/5/2019			
	2018-LA-215	Gonzales, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/2/2019			
	2018-LA-216	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/15/2019			
	2018-LA-217	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/10/2019			
	2019-LA-1	Gonzales, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/21/2019			
	2019-LA-2	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/9/2019			
	2019-LA-3	Gonzales, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/21/2019			
	2019-LA-4	Gomez, Task Force Officer	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/21/2019			
	2019-LA-5	Gomez, Task Force Officer	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/16/2019			
	2019-LA-6	Ruelas, Task Force Officer	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/30/2019			
	2019-LA-7	Libe, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/25/2019			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2019-LA-8	Libe, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/28/2019			
Napa	2018-NA-1	Piersig, Detective	Jodi Dell	Hon. Mark Boessenecker				
Orange	2018-OR-1	Larson, Deputy Sheriff	Tony Rackauckas	Hon. Kimberly Menninger		6/7/2018	9/7/2018	179
	2018-OR-2	Miles, SA (Special Agent)	Tony Rackauckas	Hon. Kimberly Menninger	2/21/2019	6/21/2018		
	2018-OR-3	Mendez, Task Force Officer	Tony Rackauckas	Hon. Kimberly Menninger	6/21/2018			0
	2018-OR-4	Urbina, Detective	Tony Rackauckas	Hon. Kimberly Menninger	3/26/2019			
	2018-OR-5	Stafford, Investigator	Tony Rackauckas	Hon. Kimberly Menninger	2/7/2019			
	2018-OR-6	Bliss, Special Agent	Tony Rackauckas	Hon. Kimberly Menninger	8/23/2018		8/27/2018	14
	2018-OR-7	Bliss, Special Agent	Tony Rackauckas	Hon. Kimberly Menninger	9/27/2018		10/1/2018	28
	2018-OR-9	Harris, Investigator	Tony Rackauckas	Hon. Kimberly Menninger	10/11/2018	6/2/2018	10/15/2018	115
	2018-OR-10	Larson, Deputy Sheriff	Tony Rackauckas	Hon. Kimberly Menninger	10/11/2018	7/3/2018	10/15/2018	179
	2018-OR-11	Hird, Special Agent	Jim Tanizaki (Chief Deputy)	Hon. Kimberly Menninger	10/11/2018	7/10/2018	10/15/2018	141
	2018-OR-12	Harris, Investigator	Jim Tanizaki (Chief Deputy)	Hon. Lance P. Jensen	11/12/2018	7/6/2018	11/5/2018	56
	2018-OR-13	Perez, Detective	Tony Rackauckas	Hon. Kimberly Menninger	11/29/2018	8/23/2018	11/4/2018	6
	2018-OR-14	Perez, Detective	Jim Tanizaki (Chief Deputy)	Hon. Kimberly Menninger	11/29/2018	8/23/2018	12/4/2018	4
	2018-OR-15	Vincelet, Sergeant	Tony Rackauckas	Hon. Lance Jensen	10/22/2018	10/2/2018	10/29/2018	3
	2018-OR-16	Vincelet, Sergeant	Tony Rackauckas	Hon. Jonathan Fish	10/19/2018	9/22/2018	10/23/2018	4
	2018-OR-17	Vincelet, Sergeant	Jim Tanizaki (Chief Deputy)	Hon. Kimberly Menninger	10/22/2018		10/26/2018	4
Riverside	2017-RIV-107	Ayon, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. DAVID A. GUNN	5/3/2018		5/3/2018	0
	2017-RIV-111	Agnew, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. JACKSON LUCKY	6/20/2018		6/20/2018	0
	2017-RIV-113	Escanuelas, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. DAVID A. GUNN	4/3/2018	4/13/2019		
	2017-RIV-114	Jones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. DAVID A. GUNN	4/6/2018	7/18/2018	8/2/2018	6
	2017-RIV-115	Escanuelas, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. DAVID A. GUNN	4/10/2018	4/13/2019		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2017-RIV-116	Agnew, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	5/1/2018		5/1/2018	0
	2017-RIV-117	Escanuelas, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	4/25/2018	4/27/2019		
	2018-RIV-1	Escanuelas, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Jackson Lucky	5/27/2018	12/28/2018		
	2018-RIV-2	Jones, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	5/8/2018	11/5/2018	12/28/2019	5
	2018-RIV-3	Chancellor, TFO	Deena M. Bennett	Hon. David A. Gunn	5/21/2018		3/29/2018	32
	2018-RIV-4	Jones, OFFICER	Deena M. Bennett	Hon. David A. Gunn	5/8/2018	11/5/2018	12/28/2018	3
	2018-RIV-5	Menely, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Jackson Lucky	6/1/2018	12/28/2018		
	2018-RIV-6	Pozo, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Jackson Lucky	9/2/2018	3/5/2019		
	2018-RIV-7	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Jackson Lucky	5/27/2018		3/2/2018	0
	2018-RIV-8	Jones, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Jackson Lucky	7/5/2018		8/2/2018	12
	2018-RIV-9	Tully, Special Agent	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Jackson Lucky	6/9/2018		3/29/2018	4
	2018-RIV-10	Menely, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Jackson Lucky	5/27/2018	6/10/2019		
	2018-RIV-11	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Jackson Lucky	6/22/2018		6/22/2018	0
	2018-RIV-12	Tully, Special Agent	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Jackson Lucky	6/2/2018		6/2/2018	0
	2018-RIV-13	Tully, Special Agent	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Jackson Lucky	6/29/2018		6/6/2018	3
	2018-RIV-14	Guerry, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Jackson Lucky	6/29/2018		6/29/2018	0
	2018-RIV-15	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Jackson Lucky	7/3/2018		7/3/2018	0
	2018-RIV-16	Escanuelas, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Jackson Lucky	7/5/2018	1/13/2019		
	2018-RIV-17	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Jackson Lucky	6/30/2018		6/30/2018	0
	2018-RIV-18	Pozo, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Jackson Lucky	10/17/2018		10/17/2018	1
	2018-RIV-19	Swan, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Jackson Lucky	7/17/2018		7/17/2018	0
	2018-RIV-20	Escanuelas, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Jackson Lucky	7/13/2018	1/8/2019		
	2018-RIV-21	Swan, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Jackson Lucky	8/1/2018		8/1/2018	0

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2018-RIV-22	Jones, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Jackson Lucky	8/4/2018		10/12/2018	10
	2018-RIV-23	Ayon, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Jackson Lucky	8/26/2018		7/5/2018	0
	2018-RIV-24	Swan, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Jackson Lucky	10/4/2018		10/4/2018	0
	2018-RIV-25	Tully, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Jackson Lucky	8/24/2018		10/12/2018	4
	2018-RIV-26	Escanuelas, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Jackson Lucky	8/21/2018	2/28/2019		
	2018-RIV-27	Braun, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Jackson Lucky	10/4/2018		10/4/2018	0
	2018-RIV-28	Tully, Special Agent	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Jackson Lucky	10/3/2018		10/12/2018	4
	2018-RIV-29	Swan, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Jackson Lucky	9/26/2018		9/26/2018	1
	2018-RIV-30	Braun, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Jackson Lucky	10/21/2018		10/21/2018	0
	2018-RIV-31	Swan, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Jackson Lucky	9/30/2018		9/30/2018	0
	2018-RIV-32	Murrillo, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Becky Dugan	10/27/2018	4/23/2019		
	2018-RIV-34	Braun, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Jackson Lucky	1/13/2019		12/19/2018	1
	2018-RIV-35	Tully, Special Agent	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Jackson Lucky	11/21/2018		12/12/2018	3
	2018-RIV-36	Garcia, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Jackson Lucky	11/18/2018		12/12/2018	6
	2018-RIV-37	Quinn, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Jackson Lucky	12/17/2018		12/28/2018	7
	2018-RIV-38	Murrillo, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Jackson Lucky	12/19/2018	7/1/2019		
	2018-RIV-39	Garcia, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Becky L. Dugan	11/25/2018		12/12/2018	1
	2018-RIV-40	Quinn, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Becky L. Dugan	12/27/2018			
	2018-RIV-42	Quinn, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	12/28/2018		12/28/2019	117
	2018-RIV-43	Garcia, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	11/14/2018			
	2018-RIV-44	Quinn, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	12/10/2018			
	2018-RIV-45	Calvert, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	11/30/2018		10/12/2018	10
	2018-RIV-46	Quinn, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	12/20/2018			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2018-RIV-47	Tully, Special Agent	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	12/19/2018		12/12/2018	1
	2018-RIV-48	Spencer, DA Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. John Molloy	1/12/2019		12/28/2018	30
	2018-RIV-49	Spencer, DA Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	1/12/2019		12/28/2018	18
	2018-RIV-50	Marsalek, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	3/29/2019			
	2018-RIV-51	Marszalek, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. John Molloy	1/10/2019		12/28/2018	6
	2018-RIV-52	Menely, Special Agent	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. John Molloy	2/20/2019	12/28/2018		
	2018-RIV-54	Marszalek, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. John Molloy	2/8/2019		12/28/2018	41
	2018-RIV-55	Menely, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. John D. Molloy	3/29/2019			
Sacramento	2018-SAC-3	Fox, SPECIAL AGENT	Anne Marie Schubert	Hon. Steve White	9/30/2018		9/24/2018	191
	2019-SAC-1	Fox, Special Agent	Anne Marie Schubert	Hon. Steve White	9/30/2018		9/24/2018	191
	2019-SAC-2	Fox, Special Agent	Anne Marie Schubert	Hon. Steve White	9/30/2018		9/24/2018	191
San Bernardino	2018-SBD-1	Caballero, Deputy	Michael A. Ramos	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	4/5/2018	4/4/2018		
	2018-SBD-2	Guerry, Detective	Mary Ashley	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	6/4/2018	6/8/2018		
	2018-SBD-3	Reynoso, Deputy	Michael Fermin	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	5/11/2018	5/10/2018		
	2018-SBD-4	Guerry, Detective	Michael Fermin	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	6/11/2018	6/8/2018		
	2018-SBD-5	Rodriguez, Task Force Officer	Michael Fermin	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	6/25/2018		7/17/2018	15
	2018-SBD-6	Guerry, Detective	Michael Fermin	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	6/25/2018	6/8/2018		
	2018-SBD-7	Guerry, Detective	Michael A. Ramos	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	6/11/2018	6/8/2018		
	2018-SBD-8	Reynoso, Deputy	Michael A. Ramos	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	6/18/2018	5/10/2018		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2018-SBD-9	Guerry, Detective	Gary Roth	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	6/29/2018	6/8/2018		
	2018-SBD-10	Kissell, Task Force Officer	Michael Fermin	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	7/6/2018			
	2018-SBD-11	Miles, Special Agent	Michael A. Ramos	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	8/8/2018	8/11/2018		
	2018-SBD-12	Burks, Detective	Michael Fermin	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	9/11/2018		9/5/2018	10
	2018-SBD-13	Rizzardi, Detective	Michael Fermin	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	10/4/2018	10/4/2018		
	2018-SBD-14	Sadeghian, Detective	Michael A. Ramos	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	9/12/2018		9/7/2018	9
	2018-SBD-15	Miles, Special Agent	Michael A. Ramos	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	9/17/2018			
	2018-SBD-16	Rizzardi, Detective	Michael A. Ramos	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	10/18/2018	10/4/2018		
	2018-SBD-17	Guerry, Detective	Michael Fermin	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	10/5/2018		10/4/2018	98
	2018-SBD-18	Miles, Special Agent	Gary Roth	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	11/2/2018	12/7/2018		
	2018-SBD-19	Garcia, Deputy Sheriff	Gary Roth	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	11/14/2018	1/4/2019		
	2018-SBD-20	Miles, Special Agent	Michael Fermin	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	1/7/2019	1/4/2019		
	2018-SBD-21	Davis, Officer	Michael Fermin	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	12/11/2018	12/7/2018		
	2018-SBD-22	Garcia, Deputy	Michael Fermin	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	12/20/2018	1/4/2019		
	2018-SBD-23	Davis, Officer	Michael Fermin	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	2/11/2019			
San Diego	2017-SD-20	Juns, Task Force Officer	Summer Stephan	Hon. Michael Smyth	6/10/2019	12/10/2018		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Diego (cont'd)	2017-SD-31	Mcivor, Task Force Officer	Summer Stephan	Hon. Michael Smyth	2/28/2018		3/1/2018	267
	2017-SD-32	Holley, Special Agent	Summer Stephan	Hon. Michael Smyth	4/9/2019	11/9/2018		
	2018-SD-1	Holley, Special Agent	Summer Stephan	Hon. Michael T. Smyth	5/10/2019	11/9/2018		
	2018-SD-2	Stein, Task Force Officer	Summer Stephan	Hon. Michael T. Smyth	1/16/2019	7/16/2018		
	2018-SD-3	Stein, Task Force Officer	Summer Stephan	Hon. Michael T. Smyth	1/18/2019	7/18/2018		
	2018-SD-4	Stein, Task Force Officer	Summer Stephan	Hon. Michael T. Smyth	3/18/2019	9/18/2018		
	2018-SD-5	Stein, Task Force Officer	Summer Stephan	Hon. Michael T. Smyth	2/1/2019	8/16/2018		
	2018-SD-6	Stein, Task Force Officer	Summer Stephan	Hon. Michael T. Smyth	3/18/2019	9/18/2018		
	2018-SD-7	Stein, Task Force Officer	Summer Stephan	Hon. Michael T. Smyth	4/3/2019	11/9/2018		
	2018-SD-8	Stein, Task Force Officer	Summer Stephan	Hon. Michael T. Smyth	6/5/2019	12/5/2018		
San Joaquin	2018-SJ-1	Gomez, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	12/5/2018	9/10/2018	12/7/2018	288
	2018-SJ-2	Gomez, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	12/5/2018	9/10/2018	12/7/2018	288
	2018-SJ-3	Taiariol, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	3/20/2019	12/20/2018		
	2019-SJ-1	Taiariol, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	3/20/2019	12/20/2018		
	2018-SJ-4	Taiariol, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	3/20/2019	12/20/2018		
	2018-SJ-5	Taiariol, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	3/20/2019	12/20/2018		
	2018-SJ-7	Taiariol, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	3/20/2019	12/20/2018		
	2018-SJ-8	Taiariol, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	3/20/2019	12/20/2018		
	2018-SJ-9	Taiariol, Detective	Tori Verber Salazar	Hon. William Johnson	3/20/2019	12/20/2018		
	2018-SJ-10	Taiariol, Detective	Kristine Reed	Hon. William Johnson	3/20/2019	12/20/2018		
San Luis Obispo	2018-SLO-5	Aanerud, DA Investigator	Jerret C. Gran, CDDA	Hon. Craig Von Rooyen	7/23/2018		7/17/2018	149
	2018-SLO-6	Cole, Detective	Jerret C. Gran, CDDA	Hon. Craig Van Rooyen	11/26/2018	10/29/2018		
Santa Clara	2017-SCL-11	Williams, Task Force Officer	Jeffrey F. Rosen	Hon. Daniel Nishigaya	4/27/2018		4/27/2018	46

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Santa Clara (cont'd)	2017-SCL-12	Williams, Task Force Officer	Jeffrey F. Rosen	Hon. Daniel Nishigaya	5/16/2018		5/1/2018	42
	2018-SCL-1	Williams, Task Force Officer	Jeffrey F. Rosen	Hon. Judge Daniel Nishigaya	5/1/2018		5/1/2018	60
	2018-SCL-2	Williams, Task Force Officer	Jeffrey F. Rosen	Hon. Daniel Nishigaya	5/20/2018		5/20/2018	30
	2018-SCL-3	Williams, Task Force Officer	Jeffrey F. Rosen	Hon. Daniel Nishigaya	5/20/2018		5/20/2018	23
	2018-SCL-4	Williams, Task Force Officer	Jeffery F. Rosen	Hon. Daniel Nishigaya	5/20/2018		5/20/2018	8
	2018-SCL-5	Gaynor, District Attorney Investigator	Jeffrey F. Rosen	Hon. Daniel Nishigaya	3/14/2019			
Solano	2018-SOL-1	Grimm, Detective	Krishna Abrams	Hon. John Ellis	12/5/2018		12/10/2018	116
	2018-SOL-2	Arimboanga, Detective	Krishna Abrams	Hon. John Ellis				
	2018-SOL-3	Arimboanga, Detective	Krishna Abrams	Hon. John Ellis				
Stanislaus	2018-STA-2	Carroll, Chief of Police	Birgit Fladager	Hon. Ruben Villalobos		5/10/2018		
	2018-STA-3	Wright, Agent	Birgit Fladager	Hon. Nancy Ashley		6/29/2018		
	2018-STA-4	Carroll, Chief of Police	Birgit Fladager	Hon. Nancy Ashley	2/12/2019			
	2018-STA-5	Carroll, Chief of Police	Birgit Fladager	Hon. Nancy Ashley	1/15/2019			
	2018-STA-6	Carroll, Chief of Police	Birgit Fladager	Hon. Nancy Ashley	2/12/2019			
	2018-STA-7	Carroll, Chief of Police	Birgit Fladager	Hon. Robert Westbrook	3/17/2019			
	2018-STA-8	Armendariz, Assistant Chief of Police	Birgit Fladager	Hon. John Freeland	3/17/2019			
	2018-STA-9	Carroll, Chief of Police	Birgit Fladager	Hon. Kellee Westbrook	3/17/2019			
	2018-STA-10	Christianson, Sheriff	David Harris	Hon. Kellee Westbrook	3/28/2019			
Ventura	2017-VE-19	Velasquez, Officer	Janice L. Maurizi	Hon. Matthew Guasco			7/3/2018	170
	2018-VE-1	Miranda, Officer	Gregory D. Totten	Hon. Patricia Murphy			5/14/2018	152
	2018-VE-2	Ashmore, Deputy	Gregory D. Totten	Hon. Patricia Murphy			5/17/2018	139
	2018-VE-3	Sunia, Officer	Gregory D. Totten	Hon. David Worley			7/2/2018	18

Table 5 Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Ventura (cont'd)	2018-VE-4	Cruz, Deputy	Janice Maurizi	Hon. Ryan Wright			6/3/2018	58
	2018-VE-5	Hennes, Deputy	Gregory D. Totten	Hon. David Hirsch		11/7/2018		
	2018-VE-6	Pinkstaff, Officer	Gregory D. Totten	Hon. Jeffrey Bennett		11/7/2018		
	2018-VE-7	Ashmore, Deputy	Gregory D. Totten	Hon. Patricia Murphy		11/9/2018		
	2018-VE-8	Cruz, Deputy	Gregory D. Totten	Hon. David Hirsch			11/29/2018	147
	2018-VE-9	Cavaliere, Special Agent	Gregory D. Totten	Hon. Gilbert Romero		11/7/2018		
	2018-VE-10	Christmann, Deputy	Gregory D. Totten	Hon. Gilbert Romero	1/23/2019			
	2018-VE-11	Christmann, Deputy	Gregory D. Totten	Hon. David Hirsch	3/10/2019			

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Alameda	2018-ALA-1	15 monitors; 1 technician	319,464	22,800	342,264
	2018-ALA-3		Cost no	ot available	
	2018-ALA-4		Cost no	ot available	
	2018-ALA-5		Cost no	ot available	
	2018-ALA-6		Cost no	ot available	
	2018-ALA-7		Cost no	ot available	
	2018-ALA-8		Cost no	ot available	
	2018-ALA-9		Cost no	ot available	
	2018-ALA-10		Cost no	ot available	
	2018-ALA-11	25 monitors; 1 technician; 1 interpreter	389,877	6,230	396,107
	2018-ALA-12		Cost no	ot available	
Alameda Total			709,341	29,030	738,371
Contra Costa	2019-CC-1		Cost no	ot available	
	2019-CC-2	12 detective monitors; 1 installer	129,150	3,200	132,350
	2019-CC-3	12 detective monitors/preparers; 1 installer; 16 interpreters	373,571	12,340	385,911
	2019-CC-4		Cost no	ot available	
	2019-CC-5				
	2019-CC-6	Cost not available			
	2019-CC-7		Cost no	ot available	
	2019-CC-8	9 detective monitors/preparers; 1 Installer	89,739	2,000	91,739

⁴ Total costs per electronic interception and per county do not delineate between sources of funds (county, state, federal).

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴		
Contra Costa (cont'd)	2019-CC-9		Cost no	ot available	vailable		
	2019-CC-10		Cost no	ot available			
	2019-CC-11	9 detective monitors/preparers; 1 installer	74,355	4,200	78,555		
	2019-CC-12	18 detective monitors/preparers; 1 installer	476,280	12,000	488,280		
	2019-CC-13		Cost no	ot available			
	2019-CC-14		Cost no	ot available			
	2019-CC-15		Cost no	ot available			
	2019-CC-16		Cost no	ot available			
	2019-CC-17		Cost no	ot available			
	2019-CC-18		Cost no	ot available			
	2019-CC-19		Cost no	ot available			
	2019-CC-20		Cost no	ot available			
Contra Costa Total			1,143,095	33,740	1,176,835		
Fresno	2018-FR-3	2 interpreters; 8 monitors; 4 transcribers; 2 case agents; 76 field sworn peace officers	944,403	30,000	974,403		
	2018-FR-4		Cost no	ot available			
	2018-FR-5		Cost no	ot available			
	2018-FR-6	2018-FR-6 Cost not available					
	2018-FR-7		Cost no	ot available			
	2018-FR-8						
	2018-FR-9		Cost no	ot available			
	2018-FR-10	22 peace officers	81,300	14,000	95,300		

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2018

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Fresno Total			1,025,703	44,000	1,069,703
Kern	2018-KE-2	2 monitors for each phone line	96,000	23,000	119,000
	2018-KE-3		Cost no	ot available	
	2018-KE-4	43	148,629	18,776	167,405
	2018-KE-5		Cost no	ot available	
	2018-KE-6		Cost no	ot available	
	2018-KE-7		Cost no	ot available	
	2019-KE-1		Cost no	ot available	
	2018-KE-8		Cost no	ot available	
	2018-KE-9		Cost no	ot available	
	2018-KE-10		Cost no	ot available	
	2018-KE-11		Cost no	ot available	
Kern Total			244,629	41,776	286,405
Los Angeles	2018-LA-31	2 monitors; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-35	2 monitors: 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-37	2 monitors; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-38	2 monitors; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-39	2 monitors; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-40	2 monitors; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-41	2 monitors; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-42	2 monitors; 1 technician	48,000	1,500	49,500

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2018

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2018-LA-43	2 monitors; 1 technician	48,000	1,500	49,500
	2018-LA-44	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	48,000	1,500	49,500
	2018-LA-45	2 monitors; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-46	2 monitors: 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-47	2 monitors: 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-48	2 monitors; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-49	2 monitors; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-50	2 techs; 2 civilian monitors; 8 sworn civilian officers	155,588	6,000	161,588
	2018-LA-51	2 monitors; 1 technician	96,000	3,000	99,000
	2018-LA-56	2 monitors; 1 technician; 1 agent	53,978	3,100	57,078
	2018-LA-57	2 monitors; 1 technician	48,000	1,500	49,500
	2018-LA-59	2 monitors; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-60	3 monitors; 1 technician	48,000	2,000	50,000
	2018-LA-63	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-64	3 monitors; 2 agents; 1 tech; 1 interpreter	67,000	2,500	69,500
	2018-LA-65	2 monitors; 1 agent	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2018-LA-66	2 monitors; 1 agent	26,557	3,000	29,557
	2018-LA-67	2 monitors; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2018

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2018-LA-68	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-69	3 monitors; 1 technician	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2018-LA-70	2 monitors	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2018-LA-71	10 monitors; 2 agents, 1 technicians	60,000	5,000	65,000
	2018-LA-72	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-73	3 monitors; 1 technician	165,455	4,000	169,455
	2018-LA-74	2 monitors; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-75	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-76	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	26,000	12,500	38,500
	2018-LA-77	2 monitors; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-78	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	26,000	12,500	38,500
	2018-LA-79	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-80	10 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	30,000	2,500	32,500
	2018-LA-81	2 monitors; 1 agent; 1 technician	12,500	2,500	15,000
	2018-LA-82	2 monitors; 1 agent	26,000	4,000	30,000
	2018-LA-83	2 monitors; 1 agent	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2018-LA-84	2 monitors, 2 agents	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-85	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-86	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-87	2 monitors; 1 agent	30,000	7,000	37,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2018

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2018-LA-88	1 monitor; 1 agent	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-89	3 monitors; 1 technician	66,182	4,000	70,182
	2018-LA-90	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-91	1 monitor; 1 agent	13,000	7,500	20,500
	2018-LA-92	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-93	2 monitors; 1 agent	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-94		Cost no	ot available	
	2018-LA-95	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-96	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-97	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-98	2 monitors; 1 agent	4,000	4,000	8,000
	2018-LA-99	2 monitors per day	26,000	15,000	41,000
	2018-LA-100	2 monitors 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2018-LA-101	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-102	3 monitors 1 technician	132,364	4,000	136,364
	2018-LA-103	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-104	10 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	30,000	2,500	32,500
	2018-LA-105	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-106	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-107	2 monitors	24,353	1,500	25,853
	2018-LA-108	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2018

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2018-LA-109	17 agents	11,830	7,500	19,330
	2018-LA-110	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-111	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-112	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-113	2 monitors; 1 technician	28,000	1,500	29,500
	2018-LA-114	2 monitors; 1 agent	4,000	4,000	8,000
	2018-LA-115	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-116	9 monitors	26,000	12,500	38,500
	2018-LA-117	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-118	3 monitors; 1 technician	66,182	4,000	70,182
	2018-LA-119	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-120	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-121	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-122	2 monitors; 1 technician	48,294	4,000	52,294
	2018-LA-123	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-124	3 monitors; 1 technician	33,091	4,000	37,091
	2018-LA-125	3 monitors; 1 technician	33,091	4,000	37,091
	2018-LA-126	3 monitors; 1 technician	66,182	4,000	70,182
	2018-LA-127	2 monitors	26,000	15,000	41,000
	2018-LA-128	1 monitor; 1 technician	30,000	4,000	34,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2018

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2018-LA-129	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-130	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-131	1 monitor; 1 technician	30,000	4,000	34,000
	2018-LA-132	2 monitors	44,227	15,000	59,227
	2018-LA-133	1 monitor; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-134	1 monitor; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-135	2 monitors; 1 technician	25,000	5,000	30,000
	2018-LA-136	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-137	3 monitors; 1 technician	33,091	4,000	37,091
	2018-LA-138	2 monitors; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-139	3 monitors; 1 technician	126,750	4,000	130,750
	2018-LA-140	2 monitors; 1 technician	57,794	3,400	61,194
	2018-LA-141	4 monitors	60,000	4,800	64,800
	2018-LA-143	2 monitors	13,000	2,500	15,500
	2018-LA-144	2 monitors; 1 agent	33,000	5,000	38,000
	2018-LA-145	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-146	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-147	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-148	3 monitors; 1 technician	33,091	4,000	37,091
	2018-LA-149	2 monitors; 1 technician	25,000	5,000	30,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2018

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2018-LA-150	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-151	3 monitors; 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2018-LA-152	1 monitor; 1 technician	3,000	4,000	7,000
	2018-LA-153		Cost no	ot available	
	2018-LA-154	2 monitors	25,000	5,000	30,000
	2018-LA-155	2 monitors; 1 technician	28,166	4,000	32,166
	2018-LA-156	1 monitor	12,000	3,000	15,000
	2018-LA-157	3 monitors; 1 technician	28,897	1,700	30,597
	2018-LA-158	2 monitors; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-159	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-160	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-161	1 monitor; 1 technician	12,000	3,000	15,000
	2018-LA-162	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-163	3 monitors; 1 technician	28,897	1,700	30,597
	2018-LA-165	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-166	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-167	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-168	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-169	4 monitors; 1 technician	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2018-LA-170	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2018

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2018-LA-171	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-172	3 monitors; 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2018-LA-173	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-174	2 monitors; 1 technician	28,166	4,000	32,166
	2018-LA-175	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-176	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-177	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-178	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-179	2 monitors	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2018-LA-180	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-181	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-182	1 monitor	13,000	7,500	20,500
	2018-LA-183	4 monitors	12,000	5,000	17,000
	2018-LA-184	2 monitors; 2 interpreters	28,898	1,500	30,398
	2018-LA-185	3 monitors; 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2018-LA-186	2 monitors	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2018-LA-187	2 monitors	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2018-LA-188	3 monitors; 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2018

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2018-LA-189	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-190	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-191	2 monitors	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2018-LA-193	3 monitors; 1 technician	84,500	4,000	88,500
	2018-LA-194	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-195	3 monitors 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2018-LA-196	3 monitors; 1 technician	84,500	4,000	88,500
	2018-LA-197	3 monitors; 1 technician	42,500	4,000	46,500
	2018-LA-198	3 monitors; 1 technician	42,500	4,000	46,500
	2018-LA-199	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician;	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-200	3 monitors; 1 technician	21,125	4,000	25,125
	2018-LA-201	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-202	3 monitors; 1 technician	84,500	4,000	88,500
	2018-LA-203	3 monitors; 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2018-LA-204	3 monitors; 1 technician	84,500	4,000	88,500
	2018-LA-205	3 monitors; 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2018-LA-206	3 monitors; 3 interpreters	28,897	2,400	31,297

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2018

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2018-LA-207	3 monitors; 1 technician	21,125	4,000	25,125
	2018-LA-208	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-209	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-210	3 monitors; 1 technician	126,750	4,000	130,750
	2018-LA-211	3 monitors; 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2018-LA-212	3 monitors; 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2018-LA-213	3 monitors; 1 agent	90,000	12,500	102,500
	2018-LA-214	3 monitors; 1 agent	16,500	2,500	19,000
	2018-LA-215	3 monitors; 1 agent	16,500	2,500	19,000
	2018-LA-216	3 monitors; 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2018-LA-217	2 monitors	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2019-LA-1	3 monitors 1 agent	16,500	2,500	19,000
	2019-LA-2	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-3	3 monitors; 1 technician	42,500	4,000	46,500
	2019-LA-4	2 monitors	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2019-LA-5	2 monitors	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2019-LA-6	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2019-LA-7	3 monitors; 1 agent	99,000	15,000	114,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2018

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2019-LA-8	3 monitors; 1 agent	99,000	15,000	114,000
Los Angeles Total			5,684,271	610,100	6,294,371
Napa	2018-NA-1	2 District Attorney Investigators unknown number of Napa Police Detectives	960	0	960
Napa Total			960	0	960
Orange	2018-OR-1	1 techncians; 2 monitors; 2 Investigators	1,000	23,000	24,000
	2018-OR-2	2 Spanish monitors; 1 technician; 2 agents	36,000	2,000	38,000
	2018-OR-3	2 Spanish monitors; 1 technician; 1 agent	36,000	2,000	38,000
	2018-OR-4	4 monitor/interpreters; 1 agent; 1 technician	18,000	1,600	19,600
	2018-OR-5	1 technician; 2 monitors; 2 investigators	36,000	2,000	38,000
	2018-OR-6	2 monitors	29,000	700	29,700
	2018-OR-7	2 monitors	22,043	1,700	23,743
	2018-OR-9	7 agents	17,900	3,100	21,000
	2018-OR-10	multiple monitors 10+	1,000	9,000	10,000
	2018-OR-11	1 agent	19,200	4,480	23,680
	2018-OR-12	1 agent	19,200	4,480	23,680
	2018-OR-13	3 monitors; 2 detectives	7,500	1,090	8,590
	2018-OR-14	2 monitors; 2 detectives	2,520	925	3,445
	2018-OR-15	2 monitors; 1 detective	2,000	700	2,700

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2018

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Orange (cont'd)	2018-OR-16	2 monitors; 1 detective	2,000	700	2,700
	2018-OR-17	2 monitors; 2 detectives	2,000	700	2,700
Orange Total			251,363	58,175	309,538
Riverside	2017-RIV-107	2 agents; 2 monitors; 1 IA	70,311	5,065	75,376
	2017-RIV-111	2 monitors; 2 agents; 2 technicians	78,208	5,065	83,273
	2017-RIV-113	2 monitors	27,357	2,500	29,857
	2017-RIV-114	4 monitors	26,989	1,500	28,489
	2017-RIV-115	2 monitors	27,357	2,500	29,857
	2017-RIV-116	2 monitors	41,722	4,052	45,774
	2017-RIV-117	2 monitors	27,357	2,500	29,857
	2018-RIV-1	2 monitors	23,320	2,500	25,820
	2018-RIV-2	8 persons used to install and monitor	117,000	3,000	120,000
	2018-RIV-3	6 linguists	15,360	33,045	48,405
	2018-RIV-4	4 monitors	56,500	1,500	58,000
	2018-RIV-5	2 monitors	29,045	2,500	31,545
	2018-RIV-6	2 monitors	270,000	6,101	276,101
	2018-RIV-7	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	18,480	2,000	20,480
	2018-RIV-8	6 installers/monitors	85,500	3,000	88,500
	2018-RIV-9	2 monitors	26,188	1,500	27,688
	2018-RIV-10	2 monitors	29,045	1,000	30,045

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2018

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Riverside (cont'd)	2018-RIV-11	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	18,480	2,000	20,480
	2018-RIV-12		Cost no	ot available	
	2018-RIV-13	2 monitors per day	24,420	1,500	25,920
	2018-RIV-14	2 monitors	28,898	2,000	30,898
	2018-RIV-15	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	18,480	2,000	20,480
	2018-RIV-16	2 monitors	27,357	2,500	29,857
	2018-RIV-17	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	18,480	2,000	20,480
	2018-RIV-18	2 monitors; 2 DEA agents, 1 technician	104,277	5,621	109,898
	2018-RIV-19	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	18,480	2,000	20,480
	2018-RIV-20	2 monitors	27,357	2,500	29,857
	2018-RIV-21	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	18,480	2,000	20,480
	2018-RIV-22	8 persons used to monitor, install and transcribe	117,000	3,000	120,000
	2018-RIV-23	4 monitors; 2 technician	39,104	2,026	41,130
	2018-RIV-24	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	36,960	2,000	38,960
	2018-RIV-25	2 monitors	29,656	1,500	31,156
	2018-RIV-26	2 monitors per day	18,238	2,500	20,738
	2018-RIV-27	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	34,819	2,026	36,845
	2018-RIV-28	2 monitors	29,656	1,500	31,156
	2018-RIV-29	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	18,480	2,000	20,480

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2018

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Riverside (cont'd)	2018-RIV-30	2 monitors; 2 agents 1 technician	39,104	2,026	41,130
	2018-RIV-31	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	8,880	2,000	10,880
	2018-RIV-32	2 monitors	29,045	2,500	31,545
	2018-RIV-34	4 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	118,499	2,026	120,525
	2018-RIV-35	4 monitors	57,100	4,500	61,600
	2018-RIV-36	4 monitors per day	56,000	4,500	60,500
	2018-RIV-37	3 monitors	69,210	8,394	77,604
	2018-RIV-38	4 monitors	42,127	4,000	46,127
	2018-RIV-39	2 monitors	28,000	1,500	29,500
	2018-RIV-40	2 monitors	44,826	5,860	50,686
	2018-RIV-42	8 installers/monitors	307,998	17,226	325,224
	2018-RIV-43		Cost no	ot available	
	2018-RIV-44	2 monitors	28,197	5,587	33,784
	2018-RIV-45	17 monitors	4,905	1,800	6,705
	2018-RIV-46	10 persons used re: installation and monitoring	173,520	21,904	195,424
	2018-RIV-47	2 monitors	18,000	1,500	19,500
	2018-RIV-48	16 persons used re: installation and monitoring	73,061	0	73,061
	2018-RIV-49	16 persons used re: installation and monitoring	73,061	0	73,061
	2018-RIV-50	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	79,200	2,000	81,200

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2018

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Riverside (cont'd)	2018-RIV-51	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors;1 technician	23,760	2,000	25,760
	2018-RIV-52	2 monitors	18,106	2,500	20,606
	2018-RIV-54	1 officer; 2 monitors; 1 technician	17,600	2,000	19,600
	2018-RIV-55		Cost no	ot available	
Riverside Total			2,908,560	219,324	3,127,884
Sacramento	2018-SAC-3	10 agents/officers	45,043	7,212	52,255
	2019-SAC-1		Cost no	ot available	
	2019-SAC-2		Cost no	ot available	
Sacramento Total			45,043	7,212	52,255
San Bernardino	2018-SBD-1	6 monitors; 3 technicians; 1 analyst; 4 transcriptionist	32,000	14,000	46,000
	2018-SBD-2	3 technicians; 20 monitors; 3 transcriptionists	124,800	5,170	129,970
	2018-SBD-3	1 technician; 6 monitor/transcribers	26,560	1,018	27,578
	2018-SBD-4	3 technicians; 18 monitors; 3 transcriptionist	67,680	15,651	83,331
	2018-SBD-5	3 linguists; 1 technician; 10 officers	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2018-SBD-6	3 technicians; 13 monitors; 3 transcriptionists	51,200	7,539	58,739
	2018-SBD-7	3 technicians; 13 monitors; 3 transcriptionists	25,920	1,694	27,614
	2018-SBD-8	2 technicians; 6 deputies	64,000	1,018	65,018
	2018-SBD-9	1 technician; 2 monitors	28,897	1,500	30,397

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2018

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2018-SBD-10	1 technician; 1 monitor	5,000	2,000	7,000
	2018-SBD-11	1 technician; 2 monitors; 2 transcriptionists	1,700	31,868	33,568
	2018-SBD-12	2 technicians; 2 officers	41,616	2,440	44,056
	2018-SBD-13	2 technicians; 6 monitors; 1 analyst	62,000	4,000	66,000
	2018-SBD-14	2 technicians; 2 officers	17,952	562	18,514
	2018-SBD-15		Cost no	ot available	
	2018-SBD-16	8 detectives; 1 analyst; 2 technicians	71,500	4,000	75,500
	2018-SBD-17	3 technicians; 9 monitors; 1 Transcriptionist	20,100	4,600	24,700
	2018-SBD-18	2 case agents; 1 installation technician; 2 Spanish monitors	1,500	30,000	31,500
	2018-SBD-19	2 monitors; 1 analyst; 1 technician	40,000	2,000	42,000
	2018-SBD-20	1 technician; 4 monitors; 2 transcriptionists; 2 case agents	109,609	4,000	113,609
	2018-SBD-21	1 technician; 9 monitors	6,480	2,504	8,984
	2018-SBD-22	2 monitors; 1 analyst; 1 Technician	40,000	2,000	42,000
	2018-SBD-23	1 technician; 21 monitors	43,200	11,560	54,760
San Bernardino Total			909,714	152,824	1,062,538
San Diego	2017-SD-20	1 monitor per shift; 1 agent per shift	123,540	3,125	126,665
	2017-SD-31	21 monitors/linguists; 2 technicians; 2 agents; 12 task force officers	270,371	16,569	286,940

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2018

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
San Diego (cont'd)	2017-SD-32	1 monitor per shift	22,000	1,500	23,500
	2018-SD-1	2 monitors / day	20,000	2,000	22,000
	2018-SD-2	4 interpreters; 2 monitors (agents/TFOs); 2 technicians	118,925	6,190	125,115
	2018-SD-3	4 interpreters; 2 monitors (agents/TFOs); 2 technicians	43,975	2,225	46,200
	2018-SD-4	10 interpreters; 2 monitors (agents/TFOs); 2 technicians	132,425	9,525	141,950
	2018-SD-5	2 interpreters; 2 monitors (agents/TFOs); 1 technician	27,260	1,530	28,790
	2018-SD-6	2 interpreters; 2 monitors (agents/TFOs); 1 technician	28,620	1,530	30,150
	2018-SD-7	2 interpreters; 2 monitors (agents/TFOs); 1 technician	22,540	1,530	24,070
	2018-SD-8		Cost no	ot available	
San Diego Total			809,656	47,254	856,910
San Joaquin	2018-SJ-1	5 monitors; 1 technician	131,752	0	131,752
	2018-SJ-2	Cost not available			
	2018-SJ-3	6 monitors; 1 technician	287,839	0	287,839
	2019-SJ-1	Cost not available			
	2018-SJ-4		Cost no	ot available	

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)⁴
San Joaquin (cont'd)	2018-SJ-5		Cost no	ot available	
	2018-SJ-7		Cost no	ot available	
	2018-SJ-8		Cost no	ot available	
	2018-SJ-9		Cost no	ot available	
	2018-SJ-10		Cost no	ot available	
San Joaquin Total			419,591	0	419,591
San Luis Obispo	2018-SLO-5	6 monitors; 2 technicians	20,000	7,500	27,500
	2018-SLO-6	6 monitors	29,029	0	29,029
San Luis Obispo Total			49,029	7,500	56,529
Santa Clara	2017-SCL-11		Cost no	ot available	
	2017-SCL-12		Cost no	ot available	
	2018-SCL-1		Cost no	ot available	
	2018-SCL-2		Cost no	ot available	
	2018-SCL-3		Cost no	ot available	
	2018-SCL-4	28 monitors; 14 technicians, 14 agents	24,806	11,895	36,701
	2018-SCL-5		Cost no	ot available	
Santa Clara Total			24,806	11,895	36,701
Solano	2018-SOL-1	5 monitors 2 agents 1 technician	129,411	30,000	159,411
	2018-SOL-2	Cost not available			
	2018-SOL-3	Cost not available			
Solano Total			129,411	30,000	159,411
Stanislaus	2018-STA-2	4 monitors; supervisor and technician	68,959	1,400	70,359

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2018

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Stanislaus (cont'd)	2018-STA-3	1 monitor; 1 manager	14,880	1,200	16,080
	2018-STA-4		Cost no	ot available	
	2018-STA-5		Cost no	ot available	
	2018-STA-6	5 monitors	36,747	6,200	42,947
	2018-STA-7		Cost no	ot available	
	2018-STA-8		Cost no	ot available	
	2018-STA-9	28 officers, 8 detectives; 1 technition	286,000	7,300	293,300
	2018-STA-10		Cost not available		
Stanislaus Total			406,586	16,100	422,686
Ventura	2017-VE-19	3 monitors; 1 tech	34,900	37,750	72,650
	2018-VE-1	2 monitors	31,910	0	31,910
	2018-VE-2	8 monitors, 1 technician; 4 transcript preparers	18,400	6,400	24,800
	2018-VE-3	2 monitors; 1 technician	28,931	1,700	30,631
	2018-VE-4	4 monitors; 1 technician	15,600	11,300	26,900
	2018-VE-5	2 monitors; 1 technician	57,813	3,000	60,813
	2018-VE-6	10 monitors; 2 technician	115,352	24,400	139,752
	2018-VE-7	4 monitors; 1 technician; 3 transcript preparers	19,800	12,300	32,100
	2018-VE-8	5 monitors; 1 technician	65,400	11,400	76,800
	2018-VE-9		Cost no	ot available	
	2018-VE-10	4 monitors; 1 technician; 4 transcript personnel	50,000	6,000	56,000

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Ventura (cont'd)	2018-VE-11	6 monitors; 4 technicians; 5 transcript personnel	50,000	15,000	65,000
Ventura Total			488,106	129,250	617,356
Grand Total			15,249,864	1,438,180	16,688,044

Table 7 Jurisdiction Reporting No Electronic Interception Activity During Calendar Year 2018

Alpine	Amador	Butte
CADOJ	Calaveras	Colusa
Del Norte	El Dorado	Glenn
Humboldt	Imperial	Inyo
Kings	Lake	Lassen
Madera	Marin	Mariposa
Mendocino	Merced	Modoc
Mono	Monterey	Nevada
Placer	Plumas	San Benito
San Francisco	San Mateo	Santa Barbara
Santa Cruz	Shasta	Sierra
Siskiyou	Sonoma	Sutter
Tehama	Trinity	Tulare
Tuolumne	Yolo	Yuba

Table 8 Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions Conducted in Prior Years (2017)

				Persons Arreste		sons Arrested	eted	Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted		
Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	EICOS No.	Cost (\$)	Number	Offense(s)	Trials Completed	Granted	Denied	Pending	Number	Offense(s)
Contra Costa		7/2/2013	3					1				3	Gang,conspiracy
										1		2	Attempted murder, conspiracy
		6/2/2017	2							2			
		10/2/2017	12							7		3	Gang,conspiracy
Merced				2016-MER-33				1				2	Murder, gangs
				2015-MER-28								1	Narcotics
				2016-MER-29								1	Firearms
				2016-MER-30								1	Attempted murder
				2016-MER-44								1	Firearms, gangs
				2017-MER-1								12	Gangs, narcotics, firearms
				2017-MER-7								2	Narcotics, attempted murder
				2017-MER-10								4	Firearms, narcotics
				2018-MER-6				1				4	Assault, gangs, narcotics
				2018-MER-7								1	Voluntary manslaughter
Riverside		5/14/2014	144854/DEA	2014-RIV-1213	\$0.00	2	HS 11351	0	0	0	0	2	HS 11351

Table 8
Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions
Conducted in Prior Years (2017)

						Persons Arrested		Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted		
Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	EICOS No.	Cost (\$)	Number	Offense(s)	Trials Completed	Granted	Denied	Pending	Number	Offense(s)
San Bernardino		12/5/2016	2017-1	2017-SBD-4		11	Narcotics, Street Gang Terrorism, Attempted Ammunition, Attempted Murder, Conspiracy, shooting, body armor, evading officer	0	0	0	0	4	Shooting, narcotics
		3/28/2017	2017-11	2017-SBD-13		3	Narcotics	0	0	0	0	1	Narcotics
		7/27/2017	2017-28	2017-SBD-30		3	Narcotics	0	0	0	0	2	Narcotics
San Diego			16-15 (2016- SD-228)	2016-SD-228								1	Transportation for Sale of a Controlled Substance
			17-19 (2017- SD-17)	2017-SD-17								4	PC 182/245(a)(4), PC 186.22(b)(1), PC 32
Santa Clara		12/1/2009	WT 08-13, 09-01, 09-02			18	H&S 11351, 11352, PC 209, 182 to wit 187, firearms, weight enhancements.	2	0	9	0	18	Charges referenced above.
		10/6/2015	2015-SCL- 97 (WT15- 13)			3	PC 187	1				3	PC 187
		8/5/2016	SCL 2016 11 (WT16-09) and SCL 2016 12 (WT16-10)	2016-SCL-11		1	Narcotics and firearms	0	0	0	0	1	H&S 11378, 11379, 29800/664,

Table 8 Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions Conducted in Prior Years (2017)

						Persons Arrested		eted	Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted	
Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	EICOS No.	Cost (\$)	Number	Offense(s)	Trials Completed	Granted	Denied	Pending	Number	Offense(s)
		5/27/2016	2016 SCL-5, SCL-6, SCL- 7, SCL-8 (WT 16-5, 16-6, 16-7, and 16-8)			24	Gang, Narcotics, Firearms, Extortion, Bribery, Aggravated Assault,	0	0	1	0	18	PC 182(a)(1) +
Yolo		10/21/2015	2015	2015-YO-1				1				4	Firearms, narcotics, gangs
		7/7/2017	2017	2017-YO-1		1	Narcotics					5	Narcotics, gangs, firearms

Table 9 List of Electronic Interception Orders Approved But Never Installed or Not Used Calendar Year 2018

Approved But Never Installed	Installed But Never Used
	2018-SBD-15
	2018-SBD-10
	2018-LA-153

Appendix A: Penal Code section 629.62 "Report by Attorney General"

- (a) The Attorney General shall prepare and submit an annual report to the Legislature, the Judicial Council, and the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts on interceptions conducted under the authority of this chapter during the preceding year. Information for this report shall be provided to the Attorney General by any prosecutorial agency seeking an order pursuant to this chapter.
 - (b) The report shall include all of the following data:
 - (1) The number of orders or extensions applied for.
 - (2) The kinds of orders or extensions applied for.
 - (3) The fact that the order or extension was granted as applied for, was modified, or was denied.
 - (4) The number of wire or electronic communication devices that are the subject of each order granted.
 - (5) The period of interceptions authorized by the order, and the number and duration of any extensions of the order.
 - (6) The offense specified in the order or application, or extension of an order.
 - (7) The identity of the applying law enforcement officer and agency making the application and the person authorizing the application.
 - (8) The nature of the facilities from which or the place where communications were to be intercepted.
 - (9) A general description of the interceptions made under the order or extension, including (A) the number of persons whose communications were intercepted, (B) the number of communications intercepted, (C) the percentage of incriminating communications intercepted and the percentage of other communications intercepted, and (D) the approximate nature, amount, and cost of the manpower and other resources used in the interceptions.
 - (10) The number of arrests resulting from interceptions made under the order or extension, and the offenses for which arrests were made.
 - (11) The number of trials resulting from the interceptions.
 - (12) The number of motions to suppress made with respect to the interceptions, and the number granted or denied.
 - (13) The number of convictions resulting from the interceptions and the offenses for which the convictions were obtained and a general assessment of the importance of the interceptions.
 - (14) Except with regard to the initial report required by this section, the information required by paragraphs (9) to (13), inclusive, with respect to orders or extensions obtained in a preceding calendar year.
 - (15) The date of the order for service of inventory made pursuant to Section 629.68, confirmation of compliance with the order, and the number of notices sent.
 - (16) Other data that the Legislature, the Judicial Council, or the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts shall require.
- (c) The annual report shall be filed no later than April of each year, and shall also include a summary analysis of the data reported pursuant to subdivision (b). The Attorney General may issue regulations prescribing the content and form of the reports required to be filed pursuant to this section by any prosecutorial agency seeking an order to intercept wire or electronic communications.
- (d) The Attorney General shall, upon the request of an individual making an application, provide any information known to him or her as a result of these reporting requirements that would enable the individual making an application to comply with paragraph (6) of subdivision (a) of Section 629.50.