April 30, 2020

Secretary Alex Azar  
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services  
200 Independence Avenue, SW  
Washington, DC 20201

Director Roger T. Severino  
Office for Civil Rights  
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services  
200 Independence Avenue, SW  
Washington, DC 20201

Dear Secretary Azar and Director Severino:

On behalf of the states of California, Massachusetts, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, the District of Columbia, Hawaii, Illinois, Iowa, Maine, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, and Wisconsin, we write to express our opposition to any action by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) to finalize its proposed regulation, “Nondiscrimination in Health and Health Education Programs or Activities,” (Section 1557 Rule or Rule). If finalized, the Rule will undermine critical protections that prohibit discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, disability, sex, and age. Finalizing this Rule will risk restricting access to care at a dangerous time and directly conflicts with the Office of Management and Budget’s directive to focus on “mission critical work.” We urge the federal government to withdraw the proposed rule, or, at minimum, suspend rulemaking for this Rule in the midst of the global healthcare crisis in order to avoid restricting access to life-saving care and creating unnecessary administrative burdens and confusion for state agencies, healthcare providers, and patients at a time when the healthcare system is battling to save lives.

The data shows that the COVID-19 pandemic is exacerbating health disparities that the Affordable Care Act has helped to mitigate. The crisis has brought racial and ethnic disparities into stark relief, with communities of color disproportionately affected by the virus.\(^1\) Immigrant

communities have also been particularly hard hit, and people with limited English proficiency face particular challenges in accessing care during the pandemic. Some disabilities lead to increased susceptibility to infection, while current conditions make it difficult for people with disabilities to get the care that they need. COVID-19 may also pose an increased risk to the lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer or questioning (LGBTQ) population, and has exacerbated gender inequities which impact access to care for women.

Effective treatment of communicable diseases requires equitable access to care for all members of our community, regardless of race, ethnicity, language proficiency, disability, gender, sexual orientation, or gender identity. Indeed, HHS has long recognized that discrimination in the healthcare system contributes to poor coverage and health outcomes, exacerbates existing health disparities in underserved communities, and leads to ineffective distribution of healthcare resources. Increased access to care can assist with prompt COVID-19 detection and aid with early treatment, which, in turn will help diminish spread of the disease that occurs when individuals delay seeking care until their conditions deteriorate. Finalization of this Rule will engender fear and distrust among communities which are most impacted by the pandemic, potentially causing members of those communities to be less likely to seek testing and


treatment. HHS has long recognized that “individuals who have experienced discrimination in the health care context often postpone or do not seek needed health care; individuals who are subject to discrimination are denied opportunities to obtain health care services provided to others, with resulting adverse effects on their health status.”7 It will also decrease protections to ensure that those who do seek treatment get the care they need. In these uncertain times, the Administration should be working aggressively to ensure access to healthcare, not finalizing a rule that limits it.

As documented in our August 13, 2019, letter, the Rule will inflict harm on the States and their residents—particularly underserved populations including communities of color, people with limited English proficiency, individuals with disabilities, women, and LGBTQ individuals—by undermining legal protections that guarantee healthcare as a right.8 Rolling back anti-discrimination protections for these vulnerable members of our communities, as the Administration proposes to do in finalizing its Section 1557 Rule, will restrict care and undermine public health efforts to address the current COVID-19 crisis.

The Administration itself recently recognized that it should hold steadfast to Section 1557’s important protections during the pandemic when HHS issued a bulletin reminding providers that Section 1557 and other civil rights laws protect persons with disabilities from being denied medical care on the basis of stereotypes, assessments of quality of life, or judgments about a person’s relative “worth” based on the presence or absence of disabilities or age.9 HHS added that decisions by covered entities concerning whether an individual is a candidate for treatment should be based on an individualized assessment of the patient and their circumstances, based on the best available objective medical evidence.

Any effort by HHS to promulgate the Final Rule would be inconsistent with the Office of Management and Budget’s (OMB) Directive M-20-16, Federal Agency Operational Alignment to Slow the Spread of Coronavirus COVID-19, issued on March 17, 2020. Directive M-20-16 instructs agency heads to focus on mission-critical work and “prioritize all resources to slow the transmission of COVID-19.” There is no plausible argument that implementation of the Rule would slow the transmission of COVID-19. To the contrary, the Rule would restrict access to care and exacerbate the spread of the virus. In keeping with the OMB Directive, HHS should immediately focus all resources on the critical issues patients and healthcare workers face in

responding to the coronavirus—rather than expending any resources on promulgating and implementing the Rule.

States are working to communicate to all residents the importance of accessing healthcare and other needed benefits in the face of COVID-19. Recognizing that immigrants are disproportionately harmed by the pandemic, California, Massachusetts, Oregon, and Washington offer information in many languages, as well as guidance about reasonable accommodations that may be necessary to individuals with disabilities due to the pandemic.10 By removing critically important protections to help ensure that disproportionately impacted communities get the care that they need, the Rule would undermine this crucial work and could prove deadly.11

A new rule at this time would place unnecessary burdens on healthcare providers and state agencies to interpret the new rule rather than devote all resources to pandemic response and recovery efforts. Further, the Rule itself will have the effect of denying marginalized communities care. In finalizing this Rule, HHS risks aiding the spread of the disease across the country, to the detriment of our citizens and our economy.

For these reasons we urge that HHS withdraw the proposed rule or, at the very least, that the rulemaking process for the Section 1557 Rule be suspended until the national emergency has ended and the COVID-19 pandemic has fully subsided.
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