



August 22, 2019

Hon. Xavier Becerra
Attorney General
1300 I Street, 17th Floor
Sacramento, California 95814

RECEIVED

AUG 22 2019

INITIATIVE COORDINATOR
ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE

Attention: Ms. Anabel Renteria
Initiative Coordinator

Dear Attorney General Becerra:

Pursuant to Elections Code Section 9005, we have reviewed the proposed constitutional initiative (A.G. File No. 19-0004) related to property tax assessment.

BACKGROUND

Local Governments Levy Taxes on Property Owners. California local governments—cities, counties, schools, and special districts—levy property taxes on property owners based on the value of their property. Property taxes are a major revenue source for local governments, raising over \$60 billion per year.

Calculating a Property Owner's Tax Bill. Each property owner's annual property tax bill is equal to the taxable value of his or her property multiplied by the property tax rate. The typical property owner's property tax rate is 1.1 percent. In the year a property is purchased, its taxable value is its purchase price. Each year after that the property's taxable value is adjusted for inflation by up to 2 percent. This continues until the property is sold and again is taxed at its purchase price (this often is referred to as the property being "reassessed").

Ownership Changes Increase Property Taxes. The market value of most homes (what they could be sold for) grows faster than 2 percent annually. This means the taxable values of most properties are less than their market values. Property transfers, therefore, typically trigger an increase in a property's taxable value. This, in turn, leads to higher property tax collections. Because of this, movers often face increased property tax bills because the purchase price of the newly purchased home often exceeds the taxable value of the buyer's prior home (even when the homes have similar market values).

Special Rules for Some Homeowners. In some cases, special rules allow existing homeowners to move to a different home without paying higher property taxes. These special rules apply to homeowners who are over 55 or severely disabled or whose property has been impacted by a natural disaster or contamination. (We refer to these homeowners as "eligible homeowners.") When moving within the same county, an eligible homeowner can transfer the

Legislative Analyst's Office
California Legislature
Gabriel Petek, Legislative Analyst
925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814
(916) 445-4656

taxable value of his or her existing home to a different home if the market value of the new home is the same or less than the existing home. Also, a county government may allow eligible homeowners to transfer their taxable values to homes in the county from homes in different counties. Ten counties allow these transfers. Except in limited cases, homeowners who are over 55 or severely disabled can only transfer their taxable value once in their lifetime. The nearby box (“What Happens Under Current Law?”) has an example of how these rules work.

What Happens Under Current Law?

A 55 year old couple purchased their home 30 years ago for \$110,000. Their home’s taxable value is now \$200,000 (\$110,000 increased by 2 percent each year for 30 years). Their yearly property tax bill is \$2,200 (1.1 percent of the taxable value). Their home now could be sold for \$600,000. The couple is considering moving to one of two different homes.

- **More Expensive Home.** The first option is to move to a home that costs \$700,000. This move is not eligible for the special rules because the new home is more expensive than the existing home. If the couple made this move, the taxable value of their new home would be \$700,000 (the home’s purchase price). Their yearly property tax bill would increase to \$7,700.
- **Less Expensive Home.** The second option is to move to a home that costs \$450,000. In this case, the special rules would apply. Their new home’s taxable value would be \$200,000 (the same as their old home). Their yearly property tax bill would remain \$2,200.

Special Rules for Inherited Properties. Special rules also exclude from reassessment certain property transfers between parents and children. These rules also apply to grandparents and grandchildren if the grandchildren’s parents are deceased. (We refer to properties transferred between parents and children or grandparents and grandchildren as “inherited property.” This includes properties transferred before and after the death of the parent or grandparent.) The rules apply to all types of property including primary residences, vacation homes, and business properties. There is, however, a cap of \$1 million in aggregate taxable value of all inherited properties that were not used as the parent’s primary residence.

Other Taxes on Property Sales. Cities and counties collect taxes on the transfer of homes and other real estate. Statewide, transfer taxes raise around \$1 billion for cities and counties each year.

Counties Administer the Property Tax. County assessors determine the taxable value of property. Statewide, county spending for assessors’ offices totals around \$600 million each year.

California Taxes Personal Income. The state collects a personal income tax on income earned within the state. Taxable income can include profits from selling real estate. The personal income tax raises over \$90 billion each year.

PROPOSAL

The measure amends the State Constitution to expand the special rules for eligible homeowners and eliminate the inherited property exclusion.

Expands Special Rules for Eligible Homeowners. The measure expands the special rules that give property tax savings to eligible homeowners when they buy a different home. Specifically, effective July 1, 2021, the measure:

- ***Allows Moves Anywhere in the State.*** Eligible homeowners could transfer the taxable value of their existing home to another home anywhere in the state.
- ***Allows the Purchase of a More Expensive Home.*** Eligible homeowners could transfer the taxable value of their existing home (with some adjustment) to a more expensive home. The taxable value transferred from the existing home to the new home is adjusted upward. The new home's taxable value is greater than the prior home's taxable value but less than the new home's market value. An example is shown in the nearby box ("What Happens Under the Measure?").
- ***Increases the Number of Times a Homeowner Can Use the Special Rules.*** Eligible homeowners could transfer their taxable value up to three times in their lifetime.

What Happens Under the Measure?

Using the same couple from the earlier example, their current home has a taxable value of \$200,000 and a market value of \$600,000. If they move, the taxable value of their new home would be:

- ***More Expensive Home.*** If the couple buys the home for \$700,000, the new home's taxable value would be \$300,000 (as shown below). Their yearly property tax bill would be \$3,300. This is more than they paid at their prior home (\$2,200) but much less than they would pay under current law (\$7,700).

$$\begin{array}{rcccl}
 \$300,000 & = & \$200,000 & + & \$100,000 \\
 \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{New home's} \\ \text{taxable value} \end{array} \right] & & \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Prior home's} \\ \text{taxable value} \end{array} \right] & & \left[\begin{array}{cc} \$700,000 & \$600,000 \\ \text{New home's} & - \text{Prior home's} \\ \text{market value} & \text{market value} \end{array} \right]
 \end{array}$$

- ***Less Expensive Home.*** The couple's property tax bill would be the same as under current law, discussed above.

Eliminates the Special Rules for Inherited Properties. The measure eliminates the reassessment exclusion for inherited properties.

FISCAL EFFECT

Increased Property Tax Revenue From Inherited Property. Under current law, between 60,000 and 80,000 inherited properties statewide are excluded from reassessment each year. Under the measure, these properties would instead be reassessed resulting in higher property tax payments. This, in turn, would increase property tax revenues for local governments. In the first few years, schools and other local governments each probably would gain a couple hundred million dollars per year. Over time, these gains would grow resulting in schools and other local governments each gaining around \$1 billion per year (in today's dollars).

Reduced Property Tax Revenues From Expanded Rules for Eligible Homeowners. The changes to the special rules for eligible homeowners could have multiple effects on property tax revenue:

- ***Reduced Taxes From People Who Would Have Moved Anyway.*** Right now, around 80,000 homeowners who are over 55 move to different houses each year without receiving a property tax break. Most of these movers end up paying higher property

taxes. Under the measure, these movers could apply for a lower property tax bill. This would reduce property tax revenue. The size of the revenue reduction would depend on what share of eligible movers apply to use the special rules.

- ***Potentially Higher Taxes From Higher Home Prices and More Home Building.*** The measure would cause more people to sell their homes and buy different homes because it gives them a tax break to do so. The number of movers could increase by a few tens of thousands. More people being interested in buying and selling homes would have some effect on home prices and home building. Increases in home prices and home building would lead to more property tax revenue.

The revenue losses from people who would have moved anyway would be bigger than the gains from higher home prices and home building. This means this part of the measure would reduce property taxes for local governments. In the first few years, schools and other local governments each probably would lose tens of millions of dollars per year. Over time, these losses would grow resulting in schools and other local governments each losing hundreds of million dollars per year (in today's dollars).

Net Change in Property Taxes for Local Governments. Some parts of the measure would decrease property tax revenues for local governments while other parts would increase them. Overall, it is likely that revenue gains would exceed revenue losses. In the first few years, local governments collectively could gain tens of millions of dollars per year. These revenue gains would grow over time, eventually reaching several hundred million dollars per year. Schools could receive similar property tax gains.

Change in State Funding for Schools. Should schools gain property tax revenues under the measure, state funding for schools may decrease by a similar amount in some years. In those years, most schools would receive the same amount of funding they would have received in the absence of the measure.

Increase in Property Transfer Tax Revenues. As the measure would increase home sales, it also would increase property transfer taxes collected by cities and counties. This revenue increase likely would be in the tens of millions of dollars per year.

Increase in Income Tax Revenues. Because the measure would increase the number of homes sold each year, it likely would increase the number of taxpayers required to pay income taxes on the profits from the sale of their homes. This probably would increase state income tax revenues by tens of millions of dollars per year.

Higher Administrative Costs for Counties. The measure would require county assessors to create and carry out a variety of new processes which could necessitate increased staffing and information technology upgrades. This likely would increase annual costs for county assessors by tens of millions of dollars with potentially higher one-time costs in the first few years.

Summary of Fiscal Effects. The measure would have the following major impacts on state and local governments:

- Local governments could gain tens of millions of dollars of property tax revenue per year, likely growing over time to several hundred million dollars per year. Schools could receive similar property tax revenue gains.
- Other local and state revenues each could increase by tens of millions of dollars per year.
- County property tax administration costs likely would increase by tens of millions of dollars per year.

Sincerely,



Gabriel Petek
Legislative Analyst


for Keely Martin Bosler
Director of Finance