STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT					
DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER		
Department of Justice	Kelan Lowney	DROSfeeincrease@doj.ca.gov	(916) 210-2377		
Descriptive TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400 Dealer Record of Sale (DROS) fee			NOTICE FILE NUMBER		
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPAGE	CTS Include calculations and assumption	ons in the rulemaking record.			
 Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicat a. Impacts business and/or employees b. Impacts small businesses c. Impacts jobs or occupations d. Impacts California competitiveness 	e whether this regulation: e. Imposes reporting requestion f. Imposes prescriptive in g. Impacts individuals h. None of the above (Exp	stead of performance			
· · ·	a through g is checked, complete th s checked, complete the Fiscal Imp	<u>-</u>			
2. The	estimates that the economic in	npact of this regulation (which includes th	e fiscal impact) is:		
	s over \$50 million, agencies are required to nt Code Section 11346.3(c)]	submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact A</u>	ssessment		
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	1,640				
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonp	rofits): licensed firearms dealers				
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:	000/				
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be crea	ated: 0 eliminate	d: <u>0</u>			
Explain: The proposed DROS fee incre	ase would not lead to the creati	on or elimination of any busines	ses.		
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:					
6. Enter the number of jobs created: 0	and eliminated: between () - 1,011			
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impa	cted: Jobs in retail firearm sales				
7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California other states by making it more costly to produc		⊠ NO			
If YES, explain briefly:					

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. ES	TIMATED COSTS Include calculations and a	issumptions in the	rulemaking record.			
1. Wh	at are the total statewide dollar costs that busi	inesses and individ	uals may incur to comply with this	regulation over its	s lifetime? \$ 0	
a.	Initial costs for a small business: \$0		_ Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0		Years: ongoing	
b.	Initial costs for a typical business: \$		_ Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0		Years: ongoing	
c.	nitial costs for an individual: \$0		_ Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0		Years: ongoing	
d.	Describe other economic costs that may occur	: A firearm pu	rchaser would pay a fee of	\$31.19 per tra	nsaction. The Department	
an	anticipates no direct costs to businesses. See the Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment for indirect impacts.					
2. l fr	If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for each industry: N/A					
	ne regulation imposes reporting requirements, ude the dollar costs to do programming, record k					
4. Will	this regulation directly impact housing costs?	YES X] NO			
		If YES, enter the	annual dollar cost per housing uni	t: \$		
	Number of units:					
5. Are	there comparable Federal regulations?	☐ YES 🔀] NO			
Ехр	lain the need for State regulation given the exi	istence or absence	of Federal regulations: The fee i	nstituted by t	his regulation would fund	
sta	ate operations. The existence or abs	sence of federa	l regulations is immaterial.			
Ente	er any additional costs to businesses and/or inc	dividuals that may	be due to State - Federal difference	es: \$ N/A		
C. EST	IMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar	r value of benefits i	s not specifically required by rulem	aking law, but en	 couraged.	
Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may include among others, the health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the State's environment: The Department is required to use revenue generated						
by	by the DROS fee to fund various statutorily-mandated programs. These programs protect the health and welfare of					
Ca	California residents by preventing firearm ownership by persons who are legally prohibited from doing so.					
2. Are	the benefits the result of: X specific statuto	ory requirements, o	r goals developed by the age	ncy based on broa	ad statutory authority?	
Exp	lain: Penal Code section 28233 requi	res that the rev	venue generated is used to	fund specified	d programs.	
3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$ health and welfare benefit						
4. Brie	4. Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing business within the State of California that would result from this regulation: N/A					
_						
-						
	TERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include ecifically required by rulemaking law, but enco		l assumptions in the rulemaking re	cord. Estimation o	of the dollar value of benefits is not	
1. List	alternatives considered and describe them be	elow. If no alternati	ves were considered, explain why r	not: Statute do	es not provide discretion as	
to	the amount of the fee. See the Star	ndardized Reg	ulatory Impact Assessment	for explanation	on of alternatives considered.	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

FCONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

	ECONOMIC IMITACI STATEMENT (CONTINUED)
2.	Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:
	Regulation: Benefit: \$ unquantifiable Cost: \$ 23,396,056/year
	Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ none Cost: \$ unquantifiable
	Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ 208,833 Cost: \$ 208,833
3.	Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives: It is impossible to quantify the health and welfare benefits of
	preventing firearm ownership by prohibited persons. The proposed fee would collect \$23,396,056/year for this purpose.
4.	Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs?
	Explain: The proposed regulation prescribes a fee. A performance standard cannot be used because a fee must be imposed
	to fund the specified statutorily-mandated programs.
-	MAJOR REGULATIONS Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.
	California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to
	submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.
1.	Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed \$10 million ? YES NO
	If YES, complete E2. and E3 If NO, skip to E4
2.	Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:
	Alternative 1:
	Alternative 2:
	(Attach additional pages for other alternatives)
3	For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:
J.	Regulation: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$
	Alternative 1: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$
	Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$
4.	Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in California exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through 12 months after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented?
	X YES NO
	If YES, agencies are required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment (SRIA)</u> as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the SRIA in the Initial Statement of Reasons.
5.	Briefly describe the following:
	The increase or decrease of investment in the State: The Department anticipates a possible decrease in investment in the state, in
	a range between \$0 and \$68,344,746, as an indirect effect of decreased sales due to the increase in the price of a firearm.
	The incentive for innovation in products, materials or processes: The Department anticipates no significant impact to any incentive
	for innovation in products, materials or processes.
	The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California residents, worker safety, and the state's environment and quality of life, among any other benefits identified by the agency: Revenue generated by
	this fee would fund programs that prevent firearm ownership by persons legally prohibited from doing so.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT Indicate current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	appropriate boxes 1 through 6 and attach calculations and assumpt	ions of fiscal impact for the
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal You (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California)	ear which are reimbursable by the State. (Approximate) a Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).	
\$		
a. Funding provided in		
Budget Act of	or Chapter , Statutes of	
b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Bud	dget Act of	
	Fiscal Year:	
	ear which are NOT reimbursable by the State. (Approximate) a Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).	
\$		
Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and pr	rovide the appropriate information:	
a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in		
b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the		Court.
Case of:	V5	_
c. Implements a mandate of the people of this Sta	te expressed in their approval of Proposition No.	
Date of Election:		
d. Issued only in response to a specific request from		
	,	
Local entity(s) affected:		
e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc	c. from:	
Authorized by Section:	of the	Code;
f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local	al government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to	o each;
g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a	new crime or infraction contained in	
3. Annual Savings. (approximate)		
•		
\$		
4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes of	only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regu	lations.
5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect	any local entity or program.	
6. Other. Explain A statewide decrease in retain	il firearm sales may result in lost sales tax revenue rem	nitted to local
governments of between \$0 and \$	51,256,645, statewide.	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON year and two subseq		ate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 ar	nd attach calculations and a	ssumptions of fiscal impact for the curren
1. Additional expen	ditures in the current State Fisc	cal Year. (Approximate)		
\$				
It is anticipated that	State agencies will:			
a. Absorb these	e additiona l costs within their e	xisting budgets and resources.		
b. Increase the	currently authorized budget le	evel for the	Fiscal Year	
2. Savings in the cu	rrent State Fiscal Year. (Approx	imate)		
\$	_			
3. No fiscal impact e	xists. This regulation does not a	ffect any State agency or program.		
X 4. Other. Explain	The proposed regulation	n would result in \$23,396,056	/year revenue that the	Department is required to use
to fur	nd mandated programs.	The regulation may result in	decreased tax revenue	e, of up to \$3,351,054/year.
	FEDERAL FUNDING OF STA nt year and two subsequent Fis		te boxes 1 through 4 and atto	ach calculations and assumptions of fisca
1. Additional expen	ditures in the current State Fisc	cal Year. (Approximate)		
\$				
_	rrent State Fiscal Year. (Approx	imate)		
\$				
X 3. No fiscal impact e	xists. This regulation does not a	ffect any federally funded State agency	y or program.	
4. Other. Explain				
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNAT	TURE			DATE
Michael For	ng	Digitally signed by Michael F Date: 2021.07.29 16:41:13 -0		07/29/2021
he impacts of the pro		_		ions 6601-6616, and understands wry must have the form signed by the
AGENCY SECRETARY				DATE
Viviana Bec	erra	Digitally signed by Viviana B Date: 2021.08.03 16:24:23 -0		
Finance approval and	signature is required when	SAM sections 6601-6616 requir	e completion of Fiscal Im	pact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINAN	NCE PROGRAM BUDGET MAN	AGER		DATE
79				