STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT					
DEPARTMENT NAME CO	NTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER		
Department of Justice M.	arlon Martinez	marlon.martinez@doj.ca.gov	213-269-6437		
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400		1	NOTICE FILE NUMBER		
AB 70 (2021) Regulations re: Covert For-Prof	fit Colleges		Z		
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPACTS	Include calculations and a	ssumptions in the rulemaking record.			
1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate w	e. Imposes repo				
b. Impacts small businesses c. Impacts jobs or occupations	g. Impacts indiv				
d. Impacts California competitiveness	h. None of the a	bove (Explain below):			
		plete this Economic Impact Statement. cal Impact Statement as appropriate.			
Department of Justice 2. The	estimates that the eco	nomic impact of this regulation (which includes th	ne fiscal impact) is:		
(Agency/Department)	estimates that the ecc	monne impact of this regulation (which includes th	ie liscai iiripact) is.		
⊠ Below \$10 million					
Between \$10 and \$25 million					
Between \$25 and \$50 million					
Over \$50 million [If the economic impact is ov as specified in Government C		quired to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact A</u>	<u>Assessment</u>		
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	1 per year				
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonprofi	ts): Non-profit colleges	that operated as a for-profit on or after	January 1, 2010.		
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:	0				
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be created	d: <u>0</u>	eliminated: 0			
Explain: This regulation is not expected t	o create or eliminate a	any businesses.			
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: St					
6. Enter the number of jobs created: 0	and eliminated: 0				
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impacted	d: This regulation is no	t expected to create or eliminate any jo	obs or occupations.		
7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California but other states by making it more costly to produce go		☐ YES ☒ NO			
If YES, explain briefly:					

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assumptions	s in the rulemaking record.					
1. What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and	individuals may incur to comply with this regulat	ion over its lifetime? \$ negligible				
a. Initial costs for a small business: \$ N/A	Annual ongoing costs: \$ N/A	Years:				
b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$negligible		Years: 1				
c. Initial costs for an individual: \$N/A	Annual ongoing costs: \$ N/A					
d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: See attachment.						
2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total co	osts for each industry: N/A					
3. If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the a Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting the second se						
4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs?	⊠ NO					
If YES, en	ter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$					
	Number of units:					
5. Are there comparable Federal regulations?	× NO					
Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or al	osence of Federal regulations: The regulation	ns are necessary to implement AB 70,				
which requires the Department to verify an ins	stitution's nonprofit status.					
Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals the	at may be due to State - Federal differences: \$ 0					
C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of be	enefits is not specifically required by rulemaking l	aw, but encouraged.				
Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may in health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and by a college wrongfully claiming non-profit state.	the State's environment: Protects students	and taxpayers from being mislead				
2. Are the benefits the result of: $\boxed{ imes}$ specific statutory requirem	nents, or goals developed by the agency bas	sed on broad statutory authority?				
Explain: AB70 requires the Department to verify s	pecific information. (Ed. Code, § 9487	4.1, subd. (a).)				
3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over	er its lifetime? \$ unquantifiable					
4. Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing l	business within the State of California that would	result from this regulation: N/A				
D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calculation specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.	ons and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Es	stimation of the dollar value of benefits is not				
List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no al	Iternatives were considered, explain why not: Re	egulations are necessary to create				
a procedure for the Attorney General to verify						

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

2.	. Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this reg	ulation and each alternative considered:	
	Regulation: Benefit: \$ unquantifiable Cost: \$		
	Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$		
	Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$		
3.	Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a con-		cult to monotize:
	of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternated costs are based on time spent completing the		cuit to monetize;
4.	 Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance s regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equi actions or procedures. Were performance standards conside 	pment, or prescribes specific	
	Explain: The regulation prescribes the use of a fo	rm to ensure that the Department receives the	information
	necessary to verify an institution's nonprofit sta	atus.	
Ξ.	MAJOR REGULATIONS Include calculations and assumption		
	· ·	Igency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments ard th and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to	-
1.	. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California busines	s enterprises exceed \$10 million ? YES NO	
	1	f YES, complete E2. and E3 If NO, skip to E4	
2.	. Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternative	s, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:	
	Alternative 1:		
	Alternative 2:		
	(Attach additional pages for other alternatives)		
3.	. For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter t	he estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:	
	•	Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
	Alternative 1: Total Cost \$	Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
	Alternative 2: Total Cost \$	Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
4.	. Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated ece exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period between the da after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented	te the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secret	
	YES X NO		
	If YES, agencies are required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory</u> Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the SRIA in the		
5.	. Briefly describe the following:		
	The increase or decrease of investment in the State:	N/A	
	The incentive for innovation in products, materials or processe	ns: N/A	
	The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, be residents, worker safety, and the state's environment and qual		Protects students and
	taxpayers from being mislead by a college wron		

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fisca (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California)			ent Code).
\$			
a. Funding provided in			
Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of	
b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's I	Budget Act of		
	Fiscal Year:		
2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fisca (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Califo			
\$			
Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and		nformation:	
a. Implements the Federal mandate contained i	n 		
b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the	he 		Court.
Case of:		Vs	
c. Implements a mandate of the people of this S	State expressed in their a	oproval of Proposition No.	
Date of Election:			
d. Issued only in response to a specific request f			
Local entity(s) affected:			
e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue,	etc. from:		
Authorized by Section:	c	f the	Code;
f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of lo	ocal government which w	vill, at a minimum, offset any additi	onal costs to each;
g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty fo	or a new crime or infraction	on contained in	
3. Annual Savings. (approximate)			
s. / illiadi savings. (approximate)			
\$			
4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation make	es only technical, non-sub	stantive or clarifying changes to curre	ent law regulations.
5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affe	ect any local entity or prog	gram.	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.						
\$ 41,250						
It is anticipated that State agencies will:						
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the Fiscal Year						
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)						
\$						
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.						
4. Other. Explain						
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attached impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ach calculations and assumptions of fisca					
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)						
\$						
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)						
\$						
X X X X X X X X X						
4. Other. Explain						
	I.					
Chris Ryan Digitally signed by Chris Ryan Pate: 2022 12 21 13:58:19 -08:00!	DATE					
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sect.	ions 6601-6616, and understands					
the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secreta highest ranking official in the organization.						
Venus D. Johnson Digitally signed by Venus D. Johnson Date: 2023.03.07 10:40:24 -08'00'	DATE					
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Im	pact Statement in the STD. 399.					
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE					
\searrow_{0}						