

Meeting Minutes

April 15, 2023, 9:00 AM

Video Recording Available at: <https://oag.ca.gov/sb882>

California Environmental Protection Agency (CalEPA)
Coastal Hearing Room, 2nd Floor
1001 I St., Sacramento, California 95814

Members Present: Member Rick Braziel, Member Olwyn Brown, Member Elizabeth Burt, Member Jim Frazier, Member Lauren Libero, Member Christina Petteruto, Member Clifford Phillips and Member Astrid Zuniga. Member Zuniga attended the meeting remotely before lunch, then attended the meeting in-person after lunch. Member Petteruto attended the meeting remotely.

Members Absent: Member Emada Tingirides

1. Call to Order, Welcome Roll Call to Establish a Quorum

Parliamentarian Doreathea Johnson called the first meeting of the SB 882 Advisory Council to order at approximately 9:04 am on Monday, April 15, 2024, at the California Environmental Protection Agency (CalEPA) Coastal Hearing Room in Sacramento, California.

Then **Parliamentarian Johnson** called the roll to determine whether a quorum was established.

Members present, at the time the Roll was called: Member Rick Braziel, Member Olwyn Brown, Member Elizabeth Burt, Member Jim Frazier, Member Lauren Libero, Member Christina Petteruto, Member Clifford Phillips, and Member Astrid Zuniga. Members Zuniga and Petteruto confirmed roll call while attending remotely.

Parliamentarian Johnson stated that there were **9** members on the Task Force and **5** members were needed for a quorum and there were **8** members present at the time the roll was called. A quorum was established.

Parliamentarian Johnson moved to **Agenda Item #2, Welcoming Remarks by Supervising Deputy Attorney General (SDAG) Marissa Malouff**, and stated that following Welcoming Remarks, they would move to **Agenda Item #3, Administration of the Oath**.

2. Welcoming Remarks by SDAG Malouff

SDAG Malouff is a staff member at the Department of Justice, Civil Rights Enforcement Section. She introduced herself and welcomed the public to the meeting. She provided an overview of the Council's mission under the governing statute, including that the Council will evaluate trainings that teach law enforcement regarding how to interact with people who have

mental health conditions and intellectual and developmental disabilities (IDD). She stated that first meeting would predominately cover procedural topics, and include an overview of the substance of the statute SB 882, with the Council determining its focus.

3. Action Item: Administration of Oath

SDAG Malouff administered the Oath of Office to the Council Members.

Members that took the Oath: Member Braziel, Member Brown, Member Burt, Member Frazier, Member Libero, Member Petteruto, Member Phillips, and Member Zuniga.

4. Introduction of DOJ Team

Deputy Attorney General (DAG) Ben Conway provided introductions of the DOJ staff members assigned to support the Council.

5. Council Member Introductions

The newly sworn in Advisory Council Members introduced themselves.

Member Braziel said that he worked 33 years with the Sacramento Police Department, conducted critical organization reviews across the country after retiring, and was involved in leadership training. He also stated that he had a personal tie to the Council, as his brother has an intellectual/developmental disability.

Member Brown said that she is from the Bay Area, and works as a carpenter. She also serves as the Board Vice President for the California Policy Center for IDD and noted that her son has a disability.

Member Burt said that she is the Executive Director of the Autism Society in the Inland Empire, and has two adult sons, one with autism and the other having behavioral health needs. The Autism Society assisted 20,000 individuals with autism in Riverside County and developed a 4-hour curriculum on de-escalation when interacting with people who Autism and other developmental disabilities for law enforcement, and fire departments. They are also currently developing one for people who work in hospitals.

Member Frazier said that he is a former general contractor for 37 years, former city planning commissioner, former city council member, former mayor of the city of Oakley, and was elected to the California State Assembly member for nine years in the 11th Assembly District. He is the Chair of the Select Committee for the Intellectual and Developmentally Disabled in California that held hearings throughout California to promote legislation based on public feedback. And he is the Director of Public Policy for Arc of California and United Cerebral Palsy Collaborative, working with 34 chapters in California.

Member Libero said that she is the Autism Specialist at California Department of Developmental Services, where she oversees the Autism Services Branch. Before this role, she was a University of California (UC) President's Fellow with the UC Davis Mind Institute where she conducted neuroscience and clinical research with young children on the autism spectrum.

She mentioned that she has a passion for looking at data and evidence. She also has conducted trainings for state partners, community members, hospitals, first responders, the public defender's office, and the district attorney's office. She mentioned wanting to bring the data lens to the Council's work.

Member Petteruto said that she is General Counsel to the Regional Center of Orange County (RCOC), and has worked there for seven years (16 total including work as outside counsel). She leads the forensic team and oversees the Quality Assurance team. The forensic team supports individuals involved in the criminal justice system, either as defendants or as victims. The Quality Assurance team is responsible for investigating reports of abuse, neglect and violations of individual rights. She mentioned that she has a passion for protecting the rights of individuals with intellectual and developmental disabilities, and equipping law enforcement with the tools that they need to respond to very challenging situations in the community.

Member Phillips said that he does work with the Arc of San Francisco. He is on the Council to get children off the street. He teaches history and wants to make sure the community is safe. His concern and focus for the Council's work is related to gun usage and use of lethal force when law enforcement is interacting with people with IDD. He wants "good cops" to engage with communities, and for communities to come together and be safe.

Member Tingirides' biography was read by **DAG Conway** since **Tingirides** was absent from this meeting. Deputy Chief Emada Tingirides joined the Los Angeles Police Department in 1995 and has worked tirelessly to bridge the historical gap between law enforcement and the community. She promoted to Sergeant in 2006 and in 2011 was selected to work with Civil Rights attorney Connie Rice on the creation, coordination and implementation of the Community Safety Partnership (CSP) policing philosophy. The relationship-based policing model found new and innovative ways to address quality of life issues in some of Los Angeles' most underserved communities. Incorporating a dedicated team of officers into public housing communities and neighborhood parks, it helped enable residents to get involved in their community, advocate for themselves and reduce the fear of crime. As relationships were forged and community trust increased, crime at each of the CSP sites dropped dramatically. In 2015 Chief Tingirides was named the Public Official of the Year by Governing Magazine, one of Los Angeles' most influential women by Los Angeles Magazine, and was a distinguished guest of First Lady Michelle Obama for the State of the Union Address. Chief Tingirides promoted to Lieutenant in 2016, Captain in 2019, and Deputy Chief in 2020 overseeing the first and newly formed Community Safety Partnership Bureau. In October of 2024, Deputy Chief Tingirides became the commanding officer of Operation South Bureau where she is responsible for policing the majority of the City south of the Santa Monica Freeway to the Port of San Pedro. Chief Tingirides has a master's degree from the University of California Irvine in Criminology, Law and Society. Chief Tingirides is married to retired Deputy Chief Philip Tingirides, with whom she has a beautiful blended family of six children.

Member Zuniga is the statewide President of the United Domestic Workers of America and Chair of the California Democratic Party Labor Caucus. She is also a mom to an adult son who has autism, epilepsy and other intellectual/developmental disabilities. She has proposed five

pieces of legislation, including AB 991 and wants to ensure that first responders have the tools to interact with people with intellectual and developmental disabilities, and that everyone goes home after.

6. Break

By consensus, the Council agreed not to take a break because the agenda was moving forward ahead of schedule.

7. Order of Agenda

MOTION:

Member Frazier made a motion to change the order of the agenda by moving the **Bagley-Keene Act Training Presentation, Agenda Item #9, ahead of Agenda Item #6, the scheduled break.** This motion was seconded by **Member Braziel.**

Parliamentarian Johnson called for discussion on the motion of moving up the **Bagley-Keene Act training presentation agenda item.** After hearing no discussion, **Parliamentarian Johnson** called the roll for the vote on the motion.

Ayes: Member Braziel, Member Brown, Member Burt, Member Frazier, Member Libero, Member Petteruto, Member Phillips, and Member Zuniga

Nays: None

Parliamentarian Johnson stated that there were 8 Advisory Council members present and voting: **8 Ayes, 0 Nays**

The Motion Passed and **Parliamentarian Johnson** then moved the meeting to the next agenda item.

8. Training on Bagley-Keene Act

DAG Conway provided the training on the Bagley-Keene Act to the SB 882 Council members. A video of this presentation will be posted on the [SB 882 Council website](#) and a digital copy of the slides is available under the meeting materials section for this meeting.

There were no questions from the Council Members during or following this presentation.

Parliamentarian Johnson recommended moving **Agenda Item #14, Conflict of Interest Code Exemption Presentation,** to follow the **Bagley-Keene Act Training Presentation.**

MOTION:

Member Frazier made a motion to change the order of the agenda by moving up **Agenda Item #14, the Conflict of Interest Exemption Presentation.** The motion was seconded by **Member Burt.**

Parliamentarian Johnson called for discussion on the motion of moving up **Agenda Item #14**, the **Conflict of Interest Exemption Presentation**. After hearing no discussion, **Parliamentarian Johnson** called the roll for the vote on the motion.

Ayes: Member Braziel, Member Brown, Member Burt, Member Frazier, Member Libero, Member Petteruto, Member Phillips, and Member Zuniga

Nays: None

Parliamentarian Johnson stated that there were 8 Council Members present and voting: **8 Ayes, 0 Nays**

The Motion Passed and **Parliamentarian Johnson** then moved the meeting to **Agenda Item #14**.

9. Action Item: Presentation by DOJ Staff on Conflict of Interest Code Exemption and Granting Authority to the DOJ for Senior Assistant Attorney General of the Civil Rights Enforcement Section, Michael Newman, to Submit Exemption Request on the Advisory Council's Behalf

SDAG Malouff provided a presentation on the conflict of interest code adoption requirements under the California Government Code section 87300, and the requirements for the Council to be exempted from adopting a conflict of interest code under California Code of Regulations, title 2, section 18751. **SDAG Malouff** explained that the Council was likely exempt from conflict of interest code requirements. A video of this presentation will be posted on the [SB 882 Council website](#) and a digital copy of the slides is available under the meeting materials section for this meeting.

SDAG Malouff recommended that the Council give the **Senior Assistant Attorney General (SAAG) of the Civil Rights Enforcement Section, Michael Newman**, the power to submit to the Fair Political Practices Commission an exemption request regarding the Conflict of Interest Code.

Parliamentarian Johnson entertained a motion that the Council grant authority to the DOJ staff, SAAG of the Civil Rights Enforcement Section, Michael Newman, to submit the Exemption Request on the Advisory Council's behalf.

MOTION:

Member Frazier moved to grant **SAAG Newman the authority** to request the conflict of interest exemption on the Council's behalf. This motion was seconded by **Member Zuniga**.

Parliamentarian Johnson opened the floor to the Council for discussion on the motion. Hearing no request for discussion, **Parliamentarian Johnson** called the roll for the vote on the motion.

Ayes: Member Braziel, Member Brown, Member Burt, Member Frazier, Member Libero, Member Petteruto, Member Phillips, and Member Zuniga

Nays: None

Parliamentarian Johnson stated that there were 8 Task Force members present and voting: **8 Ayes, 0 Nays**

Parliamentarian Johnson then stated that **the motion passed**. The Council granted authority to **SAAG Newman** to submit an exemption request on behalf of the Council.

10. Break

11. Action Item: Reestablish Quorum

Parliamentarian Johnson reconvened the meeting at **10:25 a.m.** and called the roll to reestablish the quorum for the first meeting of the Council on Monday, April 15, 2024, at 10:25 a.m.

Members present, at the time the Roll was called: Member Braziel, Member Brown, Member Burt, Member Frazier, Member Libero, Member Petteruto, Member Phillips, and Member Zuniga. Members Zuniga and Petteruto confirmed roll call while attending remotely.

Parliamentarian Johnson stated that there were **9** members on the Task Force and **5** members were needed for a quorum and there were **8** members present at the time the roll was called. and a quorum was re-established.

12. Presentation for Training on Parliamentary Process

Prior to moving forward with the agenda, as ordered, the Parliamentarian facilitated a discussion with respect to the order of proceedings. Agenda Item #12 the Presentation for Training on Parliamentary Process and Agenda Item #13, Action Item: Adoption of Parliamentary Process were not scheduled until 1:05 p.m. after the lunch break.

MOTION:

Member Braziel was recognized and made a motion to move **Agenda Item #12, the Presentation for Training on Parliamentary Process to take place before the lunch break.** This motion was seconded by **Member Frazier**.

Parliamentarian Johnson opened the floor for discussion on the motion of whether to move up the **Training on Parliamentary Process** to a time before lunch. After hearing no discussion, **Parliamentarian Johnson** called the roll for the vote on the motion.

Ayes: Member Braziel, Member Brown, Member Burt, Member Frazier, Member Libero, Member Petteruto, Member Phillips, and Member Zuniga

Nays: None

Parliamentarian Johnson stated that there were 8 Council members present and voting: **8 Ayes, 0 Nays**.

The motion Passed and Parliamentarian Johnson then moved the meeting to the **Agenda Item #12 the Training on Parliamentary Process.**

13. Training on Parliamentary Process

Parliamentarian Johnson discussed parliamentary procedures, rules related to motions, and process for voting. A video of this presentation will be posted on the [SB 882 Council website](#) and a digital copy of the slides is available under the meeting materials section for this meeting.

There were several questions raised. Member Frazier asked a clarifying question after the presentation, about what would be considered a 2/3 majority for this Advisory Council.

Parliamentarian Johnson answered that a 2/3 majority was needed to vote to change a previously voted item and to pass, you would need 2/3 of the members present and voting.

Member Frazier also asked about voting to end discussion if some Council Member(s) wanted to continue to discuss an agenda item. They asked if that would be considered censoring.

Parliamentarian Johnson explained the process for a motion to end debate.

Member Phillips complimented **Parliamentarian Johnson.**

14. Action Item: Adoption of a Parliamentary Process

Parliamentarian Johnson, as the facilitator, stated that the Advisory Council needed to adopt the rules of order that they would follow as their official Parliamentary Procedure, for meetings.

MOTION:

Member Burt moved that the Advisory Council adopt Robert's Rules of Order, 12th Edition, as the Advisory Council's official rules of order and parliamentary procedure for meetings.

This motion was seconded by **Member Frazier.**

Parliamentarian Johnson called for discussion on the motion and seeing that there was no discussion, called the roll for the vote.

Ayes: Member Braziel, Member Brown, Member Burt, Member Frazier, Member Libero, Member Petteruto, Member Phillips, and Member Zuniga

Nays: None

Parliamentarian Johnson stated that there were 8 Council Members present and voting: **8 Ayes, 0 Nays.** **Parliamentarian Johnson** then stated the motion passed and the Council approved Robert's Rules of Order, 12th Edition as their **official rules of order** and parliamentary procedures.

15. Public Comment

DOJ Administrator III Aisha Walton-Martin introduced public comment. The public comment time period was for 30 minutes beginning at 11:07 a.m. to 11:37 a.m., with comments

from the phone line participants between 11:07 a.m. to 11:22 a.m., and from participants who were in-person between 11:22 am to 11:40 am. Each person was designated two minutes to speak, and instead of or in addition to making public comments, members of the public were able to send written comments to sb882@doj.ca.gov. **Walton-Martin** noted that to be informed about future meetings, the public can subscribe to council updates via: <https://oag.ca.gov/sb882>.

There were three comments: one in-person comment and two comments provided via Zoom. Public comments reflected a need and appreciation for the SB 882 Advisory Council. Some mentioned concern for loved ones with intellectual and developmental disabilities, and working with community partners to address trainings for law enforcement when interacting with people that have intellectual and developmental disabilities.

Member Braziel asked commentator **Kim Sinclair** whether the organization works with the LAPD. **Sinclair** confirmed that her organization does work with the LAPD. **Member Braziel** then asked which classifications they train. **Sinclair** mentions that she trains officers, dispatchers and other visitors (from LAX). **Sinclair** mentioned that she would be happy to introduce the Council to the person that coordinates that matter. **Sinclair** also mentioned that after the four-day training, the attendees practice what they learned while out in the field. The organization has the training every four weeks, and brings a self-advocate so that attendees can interact with someone who has autism.

Member Braziel thanked her for her input.

Joyce Azner was mentioned as someone who requested to make a public comment, but after being allowed to speak, **Azner** did not make a public comment. There was an attempt to reach her via the chat function on Zoom, but **Azner** did not respond. After not hearing from **Azner** for two minutes, the public comment section was ended by **Walton-Martin** at 11:40.

At the end of the public comment period, **Member Phillips** thanked the Council for coming together, and thanked God for coming this far.

16. Lunch Break

Parliamentarian Johnson advised that the meeting was to reconvene at 1:00 p.m.

17. Reestablish Quorum

Parliamentarian Johnson reconvened the meeting at 1:00 p.m. and reestablished quorum for the first meeting of the Advisory Council, on Monday, April 15, 2024, at 1:02 p.m.

Members present during the roll call were: Braziel, Brown, Burt, Frazier, Libero, Petteruto, Phillips, and Zuniga. **Member Zuniga** was present at the in-person meeting location after the lunch break.

Parliamentarian Johnson stated that 5 members were needed for a quorum, 8 members were present at the time the roll was called, and a quorum was re-established.

18. Presentation of Overview of SB 882

DAG Robin Goldfaden presented background regarding SB 882 and the parameters of the Advisory Council. A video of this presentation will be posted on the [SB 882 Council website](#) and a digital copy of the slides is available under the meeting materials section for this meeting.

19. Discussion of SB 882; The Advisory Council's Scope, Areas of Focus and Priorities

Several Council Members raised points for discussion and ideas for potential witnesses at future meetings.

Member Braziel raised the following topics in the discussion:

- Research what C-POST and STC do to implement the trainings
- Focus on improving and assessing for outcomes (example: triaging calls), and connecting dispatch communities
- Focus on what police officers know about a scenario going into an interaction
- Voluntary DMV Sunflower ID program, and having that data in CAD (computer aid dispatch systems)
- Examine non-POST trainings
- Examine the best way to get the POST trainings out to smaller counties with fewer resources

Member Braziel also asked the following question for DOJ staff:

- If there is pending legislation, is it appropriate for the council to make a stance on pending legislation?
 - **SDAG Malouff** responded that they would provide a follow up response at the next meeting.

Member Brown raised the following topics for discussion:

- How to help adults with IDD that are reluctant to use the registry, since they feel different and singled out

Member Brown noted that Teresa Anderson with the Californian Policy for IDD would be a helpful witness to inform the council about the organization's training programs.

Member Burt raised the following topics in the discussion:

- How is the Advisory Council measuring success
- Examine data regarding the number of law enforcement agencies enrolling in trainings
- Examine POST training data
- Request a presentation on CIT and its efficacy
- Survey law enforcement agencies regarding degree of training implementation, addressing agencies not getting credit for taking the trainings

- Obtaining and examining a copy of a mental health and intellectual disabilities officers training field guide
- With respect to the registry, noting that a large population of people with IDD do not want the registry or mark on the ID and find it offensive; they have the right to not self-disclose that they have an IDD, and this comes up when wanting to purchase beer or cold medicine
- Requested DOJ staff compile a list of community-based organizations that are already doing this work
- Include a requirement that trainers have experience or be willing to be called on as an expert witness for cases in the future

Member Frazier raised the following topics in the discussion:

- Training dispatchers on how to dispatch
- Legislative Analyst Office state auditor study
- Track close incident and de-escalation data, follow up on that data and act on changes seen in it
- Repeat trainings to reinforce learning, similar to how other jobs receive updates on ethics rules or sexual harassment
- Bring an action item to the legislature, not just a report
- Examine the nexus with schools, inform people with IDD through schools and day programs, to increase awareness about this issue with people who have IDD, and provide more than a police perspective on the issue
- Training for DSPs (direct support professionals who provide support to people with disabilities)

Member Frazier noted that it would be helpful for the council to hear from police officers who have children with IDD to hear their perspective, and how they want law enforcement to act.

Member Libero raised the following topics in the discussion:

- Which California communities are using CIT teams, police and mental health professionals, or just mental health (mobile crisis) professionals
- Examine data regarding a registry for people with IDD
- Trainings for people with IDD to be safe when interacting with law enforcement
- How Cal OES (California Office of Emergency Services) and 988 programs are being implemented and interact
- Whether POST trainings were mandated or optional
- A component where attendees interview people with IDD who have been victims of crime

Member Petteruto raised the following topics in the discussion:

- Making a distinction between having a mental health condition and IDD

- Giving reminders at briefings (which have shown to be effective) regarding the training for reinforcement
- Examine jurisdictions that are succeeding and their best practices: Modesto was mentioned for being an autism certified jurisdiction

Member Petteruto raised the following as potential witnesses for future meetings:

- People with expertise in developmental disabilities separate from people with expertise in mental health
- People with IDD to share their experiences, and discuss what they would like to see in terms of interactions with law enforcement
- Regional Centers have a Peer Advisory Committee that could be a starting point for reaching out to people with IDD

Member Phillips raised the following topics in the discussion:

- Including people with IDD as trainers to help everyone
- Requested more tables and chairs at the San Francisco Arc to address increased usage of Arc services
- Noted that the Arc does trainings for police in San Francisco

Member Phillips said that the Council should invite people with IDD as witnesses.

Member Zuniga raised the following topics in the discussion:

- Training providers and gaps
- Trainings are missing hands on training by not training with individuals who have IDD in the trainings
- ID identifier on IDs and statewide registry, which would proactively give information to first responders, would like a presentation that discusses pros and cons
- Cal OES's statewide four-year registry plan, their tools for 911 emergencies (discuss implementation in police departments)
- Status regarding the nex gen 911 program

Member Zuniga raised the following as potential witnesses for future meetings:

- Someone from POST to address fragmentation of trainings and provide more hands-on learning opportunities during the trainings
- Someone from Cal OES to discuss tools they provide first responders, and how to help people when in a mental health crisis
- Agencies that felt they were not adequately prepared for interacting with individuals that have IDDs to assess what they need and how to improve those interactions
- Agencies throughout the state with first responders, examine tools available to them, and how agencies are called upon to help people when they are in a mental health crisis

- Author of SB 1285 regarding registries and Cal OES

Member Frazier asked to make a motion to accelerate the agenda once comments had ceased.

Parliamentarian Johnson, asked if there was further discussion, and if not, could move to next agenda item to either take a break or elect a Chair.

Member Frazier made a motion to move forward from the discussion. **Parliamentarian Johnson** asked if there were any objections and hearing none stated the Council could move on to the next agenda item by consensus.

20. Discussion and Election of Advisory Council Leadership

Parliamentarian Johnson explained that the next agenda item called on the Council to elect a Chairperson and consider electing a Vice Chairperson. **Parliamentarian Johnson** discussed the role and duties of the Chair. The Chair may also be called upon to address points of order, information and parliamentary inquiry, and determine readiness to vote and finalize agenda items with DOJ staff.

MOTION:

Member Burt was recognized and nominated **Member Frazier** for the SB 882 Advisory Council's Chairperson position. This nomination was seconded by **Member Brown**. **Member Phillips** also indicated support for nominating **Member Frazier** for the position of Chair.

Parliamentarian Johnson restated the nomination, asked if there were further nominations for the position of Council Chair and hearing none, asked for a motion to close nominations.

Discussion was called for and hearing none, **Parliamentarian Johnson** stated that there was only one member nominated for the position of Advisory Council Chair, **Member Frazier**.

Parliamentarian Johnson called the roll for the vote for the Chairperson: **Member Frazier**

Ayes: Member Braziel, Member Brown, Member Burt, Member Frazier, Member Libero, Member Petteruto, Member Phillips, and Member Zuniga

Nays: None

There were 8 votes cast for the position of Chairperson, 8 Ayes for Member Frazier and 0 Nays. **Member James Frazier** was elected as Chairperson of the Advisory Council.

Chair Frazier thanked the Council for the vote.

21. Election of a Vice Chairperson for the Council

Chair Frazier requested that there be a Vice Chairperson for the Council. There was no further discussion regarding this.

MOTION:

Member Brown was recognized and nominated **Member Zuniga** for the SB 882 Advisory Council's Vice Chairperson position. **Chair Frazier** seconded the motion. No other nominations were made. **Parliamentarian Johnson** asked again if there were any further nominations and hearing there were none, asked for a motion to close nominations. A motion was made to close nominations and as there were no objections, the nominations for Vice Chairperson was closed.

Parliamentarian Johnson confirmed that there was only one person nominated for the position of Vice Chairperson of the 882 Advisory Council, **Member Zuniga**, and called the roll for the vote.

Vote for Vice Chairperson: **Member Zuniga**

Ayes: Member Braziel, Member Brown, Member Burt, Member Frazier, Member Libero, Member Petteruto, Member Phillips, and Member Zuniga
Nays: None

There were 8 votes cast for the position, 8 Ayes and 0 Nays. The newly elected **Vice Chairperson** of the SB 882 Advisory Council was confirmed as **Council Member Astrid Zuniga**.

22. Action Item. The Next Council Meeting. Date and Location; or Authorization Given to DOJ to Schedule next Meeting Location and Date, subject to Council Member Availability and a Quorum

MOTION:

SDAG Malouff reported that there were several options on scheduling the next meeting. First, DOJ staff could coordinate scheduling the meeting location and time, subject to the council's approval, or second, the Council could discuss the meeting time and location during the current meeting.

SDAG Malouff recommended that the Council allow DOJ staff coordinate the scheduling of the next meeting date, time and location, subject to the Council's approval and that the next meeting be remote to allow for greater council member availability.

Chair Frazier called for a discussion on scheduling the next Advisory Council meeting.

MOTION:

Member Braziel made a motion to let the DOJ staff coordinate the next meeting.

Vice Chair Zuniga seconded the motion.

Chair Frazier called for discussion. There was no discussion and **Parliamentarian Johnson** called the roll for a vote on the motion.

Ayes: Member Braziel, Member Brown, Member Burt, Member Frazier, Member Libero, Member Petteruto, Member Phillips, and Member Zuniga

Nays: None

Chair Frazier announced the vote results, 8 ayes, 0 nays for the DOJ staff to coordinate the date, location and time of the next meeting. The motion passed.

23. Discussing the Agenda Items for the Next Meeting

SDAG Malouff said that if the Council wanted to discuss agenda items for the next meeting they could do so now, or provide their thoughts **via email**.

Chair Frazier asked the Council members what their thoughts were.

Council Members offered their comments on the next meeting. Suggestions included suggested subjects, witnesses and meeting locations.

Member Braziel mentioned wanting background from POST, that it was good to know in terms of trainings what was being offered and mandated. **Member Burt** also wanted to clarify whether the meetings would be all day or half day.

SDAG Malouff said that it would be good to set aside a day for the meeting.

Member Braziel asked whether the council meeting location could move between north and south of the state.

SDAG Malouff said that under the Bagley-Keene Act, even if meetings were remote, there would still be an in-person component for public comment, and that the next in-person location may be in Los Angeles.

Chair Frazier joked about having one of the meetings in Hawaii.

Vice Chair Zuniga agreed with the idea of bringing in someone from POST, and wanted staff to coordinate availability of various organizations.

Chair Frazier mentioned that the process will need to be fluid due to the Council's availability. Also, referencing **Member Brown**, he agreed that Teresa Anderson would be a great person to have at the next meeting, to get policy foundation for the issues.

Member Burt wondered about discussing trainings for the IDD community during the next meeting, then discussing trainings related to mental health for the following meeting, or would they combine discussing the two trainings into one meeting?

Chair Frazier mentioned that the trainings should be separate to identify the intricacies in the populations.

MOTION:

Chair Frazier suggested that a motion be made to allow DOJ staff to coordinate the agenda for the next meeting via email with the Council.

Member Braziel made a motion to authorize DOJ staff to work with **Chair Frazier** to set the agenda for the next meeting.

Vice Chair Zuniga seconded the motion.

There was no further discussion and the roll was called for the vote.

During the roll call for a vote **Member Phillips** left the room. There was still a quorum and when he returned. **Parliamentarian Johnson** asked if he is ready to vote on the item. **Member Phillips** confirmed that he was ready. **Parliamentarian Johnson** then finished roll call for this motion.

Ayes: Member Braziel, Member Brown, Member Burt, Member Frazier, Member Libero, Member Petteruto, Member Phillips, and Member Zuniga

Nays: None

Chair Frazier announced the vote results, 8 ayes, 0 nays for staff to coordinate the location and time of the next meeting. The motion passed.

24. Chair Closing Remarks

Chair Frazier provided closing remarks. He said that he was thankful to be a part of the Council to help individuals, families, and police officers. He would like the Council to provide a plan for the Legislature to do no harm, and do nothing but help. He is looking forward to working with them, welcomed feedback to improve as a Chair, and thanked DOJ staff for coordinating the meeting. He said he wants the Council to make a product they can be proud of.

Member Phillips mentioned that team work makes the dream work.

MOTION:

Chair Frazier requested a group picture, then to adjourn the meeting.

Vice Chair Zuniga made a motion to adjourn the meeting. **Member Burt** seconded the motion.

Ayes: Member Braziel, Member Brown, Member Burt, Member Frazier, Member Libero, Member Petteruto, Member Phillips, and Member Zuniga

Nays: None

The motion passed.

The first meeting of the SB 882 Task Force adjourned at 2:37 pm PST.