1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	FOR THE COUNTY	OF ALAMEDA
10		
11	CENTED EOD ENWIDONMENTAL HEALTH	) Case No. RG 17-870238
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,	)
13	Plaintiff,	) [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT ) AS TO GOLDEN FORTUNE IMPORT
14	v. GOYA FOODS OF CALIFORNIA, INC., et	) & EXPORT CORP.
15	al.,	) )
16	Defendants.	) )
17		
18		
19		
20	1. <b>DEFINITIONS</b>	
21	1.1 The "Complaint" means the operative	ve First Amended Complaint in the above-
22	captioned matter.	
23 24	1.2 "Covered Products" means fried or l	baked potato or sweet potato based snack food
25	products, including Sliced Chips and Extruded Products	ducts (as defined below). An initial list of the
26	Covered Products divided by Sliced Chips and Extr	ruded Products is attached as Exhibit A hereto.
27	1.3 "Sliced Chips" means sliced potato	chips and sliced sweet potato chips.
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT JUDGMENT – GOLDEN FORTUNE IMPOI	RT & EXPORT CORP. – CASE NO. RG 17-870238

- 1.4 "Extruded Products" means all Covered Products other than Sliced Chips. It is the Parties' intent that the Extruded Products referenced in this Consent Judgment are the kind of products falling within Type 4 in the "extruded, pellet, and baked products" category in the Consent Judgment as to Defendant Snak King Corporation, entered August 31, 2011, in *People v. Snyder's of Hanover, et al.*, Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG 09-455286.<sup>1</sup>
- 1.5 "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court approves and enters this Consent Judgment.

### 2. INTRODUCTION

- 2.1 The Parties to this Consent Judgment are the Center for Environmental Health, a California non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Golden Fortune Import & Export Corp. ("Settling Defendant"). CEH and Settling Defendant (the "Parties") enter into this Consent Judgment to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the Complaint.
- 2.2 On or about March 9, 2018, CEH provided a 60-day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 to the California Attorney General, to the District Attorneys of every county in California, to the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and to Settling Defendant, alleging that Settling Defendant violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons in California to acrylamide contained in Covered Products without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning (the "Notice").
- 2.3 Settling Defendant is a corporation or other business entity that manufactures, distributes, sells, or offers for sale Covered Products that are sold in the State of California or has done so at times relevant to the Complaint.
- 2.4 On August 3, 2017, CEH filed the initial complaint in the above-captioned matter.

  On August 17, 2017, CEH filed the Complaint. On or about May 22, 2018, CEH amended the

  Complaint to name Settling Defendant as a party.
  - 2.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These products are referred to as "Group C, Type 4" products in Exhibit A to the Snak King Consent Judgment, which is available on the Attorney General's website at https://oag.ca.gov/prop65/litigation.

has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein and in the Notice with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.

2.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action. Settling Defendant denies the allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint and nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of liability by Settling Defendant.

#### 3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** Upon the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall not purchase, manufacture, ship, sell, or offer for sale Covered Products that will be sold or offered for sale in California that exceed the following acrylamide concentration levels, such concentration to be determined by use of a test performed by an accredited laboratory using either GC/MS (Gas Chromatrograph/Mass Spectrometry), LC-MS/MS (Liquid Chromatograph-Mass Spectrometry), or any other testing method agreed upon by the Parties:

# 3.1.1 For Sliced Chips:

3.1.1.1 The average acrylamide concentration shall not exceed 281 parts per billion ("ppb") by weight (the "Sliced Chips Average Level"). The Sliced Chips Average Level is determined by randomly selecting and testing at least 1 sample each from 5

different lots of a particular type of Covered Product that is a Sliced Chip (or the maximum number of lots available for testing if less than 5) during a testing period of at least sixty (60) days.

3.1.1.2 The acrylamide concentration of any individual unit of Sliced Chips shall not exceed 350 ppb by weight, based on a representative composite sample taken from the individual unit being tested (the "Sliced Chips Unit Level").

## 3.1.2 For Extruded Products:

3.1.2.1 The average acrylamide concentration shall not exceed 350 ppb by weight (the "Extruded Products Average Level"). The Extruded Products Average Level is determined by randomly selecting and testing at least 1 sample each from 5 different lots of a particular type of Covered Product that is an Extruded Product (or the maximum number of lots available for testing if less than 5) during a testing period of at least sixty (60) days.

3.1.2.2 The acrylamide concentration of any individual unit of Extruded Products shall not exceed 490 ppb by weight, based on a representative composite sample taken from the individual unit being tested (the "Extruded Products Unit Level").

### 4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 **General Enforcement Provisions**. CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Any action to enforce alleged violations of Section 3.1 by Settling Defendant shall be brought exclusively pursuant to this Section 4, and be subject to the meet and confer requirement of Section 4.2.4 if applicable.

### 4.2 Enforcement of Reformulation Commitment.

4.2.1 <u>Notice of Violation</u>. In the event that CEH purchases a Covered Product in California that was sold or offered for sale by Settling Defendant with a best-by or sell-by (or equivalent) date more than six (6) months after the Effective Date, and for which CEH has laboratory test results showing that the Covered Product exceeds the applicable Unit Level, CEH may issue a Notice of Violation pursuant to this Section.

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER 1

2

3

8

9

10

11

12 13 14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

4.2.2.1 The Notice of Violation shall be sent to the person(s) identified in Section 8.2 to receive notices for Settling Defendant, and must be served within sixty (60) days of the later of the date the Covered Product at issue was purchased or otherwise acquired by CEH or the date that CEH can reasonably determine that the Covered Product at issue was manufactured, shipped, sold, or offered for sale by Settling Defendant, provided, however, that CEH may have up to an additional sixty (60) days to send the Notice of Violation if, notwithstanding CEH's good faith efforts, the test data required by Section 4.2.2.2 below cannot be obtained by CEH from its laboratory before expiration of the initial sixty (60) day period.

4.2.2.2 The Notice of Violation shall, at a minimum, set forth: (a) the date the Covered Product was purchased; (b) the location at which the Covered Product was purchased; (c) a description of the Covered Product giving rise to the alleged violation, including the name and address of the retail entity from which the sample was obtained and pictures of the product packaging from all sides, which identifies the product lot; and (d) all test data obtained by CEH regarding the Covered Product and supporting documentation sufficient for validation of the test results, including any laboratory reports, quality assurance reports, and quality control reports associated with testing of the Covered Product.

4.2.3 Notice of Election of Response. No more than thirty (30) days after effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to CEH whether they elect to contest the allegations contained in a Notice of Violation ("Notice of Election"). Failure to provide a Notice of Election within thirty (30) days of effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation shall be deemed an election to contest the Notice of Violation. Upon notice to CEH, Settling Defendant may have up to an additional sixty (60) days to elect if, notwithstanding Settling Defendant's good faith efforts, Settling Defendant is unable to verify the test data provided by CEH before expiration of the initial thirty (30) day period.

4.2.3.1 If a Notice of Violation is contested, the Notice of Election shall include all documents upon which Settling Defendant is relying to contest the alleged violation, including all available test data. If Settling Defendant or CEH later acquires additional test or other data regarding the alleged violation during the meet and confer period described in Section 4.2.4, they shall notify the other Party and promptly provide all such data or information to the Party unless either the Notice of Violation or Notice of Election has been withdrawn.

4.2.4 Meet and Confer. If a Notice of Violation is contested, CEH and Settling Defendant shall meet and confer to attempt to resolve their dispute. Within thirty (30) days of serving a Notice of Election contesting a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant may withdraw the original Notice of Election contesting the violation and serve a new Notice of Election to not contest the violation, provided, however, that, in this circumstance, Settling Defendant shall pay \$2,500 in addition to any other payment required under this Consent Judgment. At any time, CEH may withdraw a Notice of Violation, in which case for purposes of this Section 4.2 the result shall be as if CEH never issued any such Notice of Violation. If no informal resolution of a Notice of Violation results within thirty (30) days of a Notice of Election to contest, CEH may file an enforcement motion or application pursuant to Section 4.1. In any such proceeding, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies are provided by law for an alleged failure to comply with the Consent Judgment.

4.2.5 <u>Non-Contested Notices</u>. If Settling Defendant elects to not contest the allegations in a Notice of Violation, it shall undertake corrective action(s) and make payments, if any, as set forth below.

4.2.5.1 Settling Defendant shall include in its Notice of Election a detailed description with supporting documentation of the corrective action(s) that they have undertaken or propose to undertake to address the alleged violation. Any such correction shall, at a minimum, provide reasonable assurance that all Covered Products having the same lot number as that of the Covered Product identified in CEH's Notice of Violation (the "Noticed Covered Products") will not be thereafter sold in California or offered for sale to California customers by Settling Defendant, and that Setting Defendant has sent instructions to any retailers or customers that offer the Noticed Covered Products for sale to cease offering the Noticed Covered Products

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

for sale to California consumers and to return all such Noticed Covered Products to Settling Defendant if Settling Defendant has reason to believe the Noticed Covered Products are still offered for sale to California consumers. Settling Defendant shall keep for a period of one year and make available to CEH upon reasonable notice (which shall not exceed more than one request per year) for inspection and copying records of any correspondence regarding the foregoing. If there is a dispute over the corrective action, Settling Defendant and CEH shall meet and confer before seeking any remedy in court. In no case shall CEH issue more than one Notice of Violation per manufacturing lot of a type of Covered Product, nor shall CEH issue more than two Notices of Violation in the first calendar year following the Effective Date.

4.2.5.2 If the Notice of Violation is the first, second, third, or fourth Notice of Violation received by Settling Defendant under Section 4.2.1 that was not successfully contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$15,000 for each Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendant has received more than four (4) Notices of Violation under Section 4.2.1 that were not successfully contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$25,000 for each Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendant produces with its Notice of Election test data for the Covered Product that: (i) was conducted prior to the date CEH gave Notice of Violation; (ii) was conducted on the same type of Covered Product; and (iii) demonstrates acrylamide levels below the applicable Unit Level, then any payment under this Section shall be reduced by 100 percent (100%) for the first Notice of Violation, by seventy-five percent (75%) for the second Notice of Violation, and by fifty percent (50%) for any subsequent Notice of Violation. In no case shall Settling Defendant be obligated to pay more than \$100,000 for all Notices of Violation not successfully contested or withdrawn in any calendar year irrespective of the total number of Notices of Violation issued.

4.2.6 <u>Payments</u>. Any payments under Section 4.2 shall be made by check payable to the "Lexington Law Group" and shall be paid within thirty (30) days of service of a Notice of Election triggering a payment and shall be used as reimbursement for costs for

27

investigating, preparing, sending, and prosecuting Notices of Violation, and to reimburse attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with these activities.

4.3 **Repeat Violations.** If Settling Defendant has received four (4) or more Notices of Violation concerning the same type of Covered Product that were not successfully contested or withdrawn in any two (2) year period then, at CEH's option, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies that are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment. Prior to seeking such relief, CEH shall meet and confer with Settling Defendant for at least thirty (30) days to determine if Settling Defendant and CEH can agree on measures that Settling Defendant can undertake to prevent future alleged violations.

### 5. PAYMENTS

- 5.1 **Payments by Settling Defendant.** Within ten (10) calendar days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$25,000 as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section.
- 5.2 Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount shall be paid in four (4) separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth below. Any failure by Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendant to CEH in the amount of \$75 for each day the full payment is not received after the payment due date set forth in Section 5.1. The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4 of this Consent Judgment. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated as set forth below between the following categories and made payable as follows:
- 5.2.1 \$4,075 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment for \$3,056.25 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer

1 identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows: 2 For United States Postal Service Delivery: 3 Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief 4 Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B 5 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010 6 For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery: 7 Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief 8 Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street, MS #19B 9 Sacramento, CA 95814 10 The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$1,018.75 shall be made payable to the 11 Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. 12 This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, 13 CA 94117. 14 5.2.2 \$3,055 as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH pursuant to 15 Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH 16 intends to restrict use of the ASPs received from this Consent Judgment to the following 17 purposes: the funds will be placed in CEH's Toxics in Food Fund and used to support CEH 18 programs and activities that seek to educate the public about acrylamide and other toxic 19 chemicals in food, to work with the food industry and agriculture interests to reduce exposure to 20 acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food, and to thereby reduce the public health impacts and 21 risks of exposure to acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food sold in California. CEH shall 22 obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and 23 CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty (30) days of any 24 request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable 25 to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-26 3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San

DOCUMENT PREPARED

Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.3 \$17,870 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

### 6. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 6.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court and prior notice to the Attorney General's Office, or by an order of this Court upon motion and prior notice to the Attorney General's Office and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

### 7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

7.1 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5 hereof, this Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership (including, but not limited to, Golden Fortune USA, Inc.), directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys (collectively, "Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Settling Defendant directly or indirectly distributes or sells Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors (including, but not limited to, Jet.com, Inc.), wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors, and licensees (collectively, "Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to acrylamide contained in Covered Products that were sold, distributed, or offered for sale by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

1	7.2 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under
2	Section 5 hereof, CEH, for itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives, and forever
3	discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream
4	Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or
5	common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH individually or in the
6	public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to acrylamide arising in connection
7	with Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the
8	Effective Date.
9	7.3 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under
10	Section 5 hereof, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant
11	shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees and
12	Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about acrylamide in
13	Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective
14	Date.
15	8. PROVISION OF NOTICE
16	8.1 When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the
17	notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:
18	Howard Hirsch
19	Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street
20	San Francisco, CA 94117 hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com
21	8.2 When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent
22	Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:
23	
24	Attn: CEO Golden Fortune Import & Export Corp.
25	55 Hook Rd. Bayonne, NJ 07002
26	Any Party may modify the person and/or address to whom the notice is to be sent
27	by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.

1

9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon the Effective Date, and CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant

5

4

6

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

DOCUMENT PREPARED

shall support entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court.

9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose, other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.

#### 10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

#### 11. **ATTORNEYS' FEES**

- 11.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action, motion, or application arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 11.2 Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

#### 12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation,

1	modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in		
2	writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent		
3	Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof		
4	whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.		
5	13. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION		
6	13.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the		
7	Consent Judgment.		
8	14. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT		
9	14.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized		
10	by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and		
11	execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.		
12	15. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS		
13	15.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim		
14	against any other entity on terms that are different from those contained in this Consent Judgment		
15	so long as such resolution does not address Covered Products sold or offered for sale by Settling		
16	Defendant.		
17	16. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS		
18	16.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by		
19	means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to		
20	constitute one document.		
21			
22	IT IS SO OPDEDED. ADJUDCED		
23	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED		
24	AND DECREED		
25	Dated:		
26	Judge of the Superior Court		
27			
28 EPARED	13		
TIVED	i		

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

1 2	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
3 4 5	Dated: 30 AV9, 2018	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
6 7 8		Signature  CMARCIE PRANCO  Printed Name
9		Associate Dinscrot
11 12 13	Dated:, 2018	GOLDEN FORTUNE IMPORT & EXPORT CORP.
14 15		Signature
16 17 18		Printed Name
19 20 21		Title
22 23 24		
25 26 27		
28 DOCUMENT PREPARE ON RECYCLED PAPEL	R	14 NE IMPORT & EXPORT CORP. – CASE NO. RG 17-870238

1 2	T IS SO STIPULATED:	
3	Dated:, 2018 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALT	
5 6	Signature	
7 8 9	Printed Name	
10	Title	
11 12	Dated: August 24, 2018  GOLDEN FORTUNE IMPORT & EXPORT	
13	CORP.	
14	Signature	
15		
16 17	Richard Ng Printed Name	
18		
19	<u>C</u> Eつ Title	
20		
21		
22		
23 24		
25		
26		
27		
28 Document Prepared	14	
on Recycled Paper	CONSENT JUDGMENT – GOLDEN FORTUNE IMPORT & EXPORT CORP. – CASE NO. RG 17-870238	

# Exhibit A

Extruded Products: Oishi Crispy Patata Baked Potato Flavor, SKU No. 4-800194-177863.