1	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP		
2	Eric S. Somers, State Bar No. 139050		
	Lucas Williams, State Bar No. 264518 503 Divisadero Street		
3	San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800		
4	Facsimile: (415) 759-4112		
5	esomers@lexlawgroup.com lwilliams@lexlawgroup.com		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
7	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH		
8			
9	SUPERIOR COURT FOR TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	FOR THE COUNTY OF MARIN		
11	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,	Case No. CIV 1900778	
12	Plaintiff,	Assigned for all purposes to Judge	
13	,	Andrew E. Sweet	
14	V.	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO LUCKY	
15	LUCKY VITAMIN, LLC, et al., Defendants.	VITAMIN, LLC	
16	Defendants.		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-]		
l	CONSENT JUDGMENT – LUCKY VITA	IVIIN, LLC – CASE NO. CIV 1900//8	

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1. The Parties to this Consent Judgment are the Center for Environmental Health ("CEH"), a California non-profit corporation, and Lucky Vitamin, LLC ("Settling Defendant"). CEH and Settling Defendant (the "Parties") enter into this Consent Judgment to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative complaint ("Complaint") in the above-captioned matter. This Consent Judgment covers certain moringa products that are sold and offered for sale by Settling Defendant to individuals in California.
- 1.2. On September 13, 2018, CEH provided a 60-day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 to Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California and the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, alleging that Settling Defendant violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to lead and lead compounds contained in moringa products without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.
- 1.3. On February 27, 2019, CEH filed the Complaint in the above-captioned matter, which named Settling Defendant as a defendant.
- 1.4. Settling Defendant is a corporation that sells and offers for sale moringa products to individuals in the State of California.
- 1.5. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of CEH's claims in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to moringa products sold by Settling Defendant.
- 1.6. Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall

prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising and resolving issues disputed in this action.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1. "Covered Products" means moringa products sold in powder, capsule or other form supplied to Settling Defendant by Moringa Source LLC, Bio Nutrition, Inc., Emerald Labs, Inc.
- 2.2. "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court enters this Consent Judgment as a final judgment.
 - 2.3. "Lead" means lead and lead compounds.
- 2.4. "Lead Limit" means a concentration of Lead at which an individual who ingests a Covered Product in accordance with the maximum daily serving size listed on the Covered Product's packaging will not be exposed to more than 0.5 micrograms of Lead. The Lead Limit shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula: micrograms of Lead per gram of the Covered Product (parts per million), multiplied by grams per serving of the Covered Product (using the largest serving size appearing on the Covered Product's packaging), multiplied by servings of the Covered Product per day (using the largest number of servings in the recommended dosage appearing on the Covered Product's packaging), which equals micrograms of Lead exposure per day. If a Covered Product does not list a serving size on the Covered Product packaging, the default Lead Limit shall be 50 parts per billion. In order to make this determination, the Lead concentrations shall be determined by use of a test performed by an accredited laboratory using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) equipment.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1. **Clear and Reasonable Warnings.** After the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall not manufacture, ship, sell or offer for sale any Covered Product that contains Lead in

excess of the Lead Limit that will be sold or offered for sale in California unless Settling

Defendant provides a Clear and Reasonable Warning that complies with the provisions of this

Section 3.

3.1.1. **Warning Language.** A Clear and Reasonable Warning under this Agreement shall state:

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to chemicals including lead, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer.

For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

3.1.2. Placement of Warning Language. The warning language set forth in Section 3.1.1 must be displayed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs or devices as to render the warning likely to be read and understood by an ordinary consumer under customary conditions of purchase and use. The warning language shall appear on the Covered Product's label, set off from other surrounding information or enclosed in a box. If the Covered Product's label contains other warnings or nutritional information in a language other than English, the warning language set forth in Section 3.1.1 must also be displayed on the label in that language in addition to English.

3.1.3. **Internet Sales.** With respect to internet sales of Covered Products, the warning language set forth in Section 3.1.1 must be prominently displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood as being applicable to the Covered Product being sold prior to the authorization of or actual payment by the purchaser. For purposes of this Section 3.1.3, the warning language is not prominently displayed if the customer must search for the warning language in the general content of Settling Defendant's website or if a reasonable consumer cannot determine the specific Covered Product to which the warning applies. If the product display page contains other warnings or nutritional information in a language other than English, the warning language set forth in Section 3.1.1 must also be displayed on the label in that language in addition to English.

_,

4. ENFORCEMENT

- 4.1. **Enforcement Procedures.** This Court shall have exclusive jurisdiction over all matters regarding enforcement of the Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or order to show cause to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, a Party seeking to enforce shall provide the violating party thirty (30) days advanced written notice of the alleged violation. The Parties shall meet and confer during such thirty (30) day period in an effort to try to reach agreement on an appropriate cure for the alleged violation. After such thirty (30) day period, the Party seeking to enforce may, by new action, motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Marin, seek to enforce Proposition 65 or the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.
- 4.2. **Failure to Comply With Payment Obligations.** Notwithstanding the provisions of the Enforcement of Judgments Law and CCP §780.160, in the event that Settling Defendant does not comply fully with its payment obligations under Section 5, in addition to any other enforcement mechanism available to CEH, CEH may obtain an order requiring Settling Defendants to submit to a debtors exam. In the event that Settling Defendants fail to submit to any such Debtors Exam ordered by the Court, CEH may seek an order holding Settling Defendant in contempt of Court.

5. PAYMENTS

- 5.1. **Payments by Settling Defendant.** On or before December 15, 2019, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$37,500 as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section.
- 5.2. **Allocation of Payments.** The total settlement amount shall be paid in five (5) separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth below. Any failure by Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendant in the amount of \$100 for each day the full payment is not received after the applicable payment due date set forth in Section 5.1. The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an

ON RECYCLED PAPER

1	enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4 of this Consent Judgment. The funds paid
2	by Settling Defendant shall be allocated as set forth below between the following categories and
3	made payable as follows:
4	5.2.1. Settling Defendant shall pay \$5,013 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health &
5	Safety Code § 25249.7(b). The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with
6	Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of
7	Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, Settling Defendant shall
8	pay the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment for \$3,760 by check made payable to
9	OEHHA and associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be
10	delivered as follows:
11	For United States Postal Service Delivery:
12	Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
13	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B
14	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010
15	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery: Attn: Mike Gyurics
16	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
17	1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814
18	Settling Defendant shall pay the CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$1,253 by check
19	made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification
20	number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero
21	Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.
22	5.2.2. Settling Defendant shall pay \$3,759 as an Additional Settlement Payment
23	("ASP") to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of
24	Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH intends to place these funds in CEH's Toxics in Food Fund
25	and use them to support CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the public about Lead
26	and other toxic chemicals in food and dietary supplements, to work with the food and dietary
27	supplements industry and agriculture interests to reduce exposure to Lead and other toxic
28	

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

chemicals, and to thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposure to Lead and other toxic chemicals in food and dietary supplements sold in California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty days of any request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.3. Settling Defendant shall pay \$28,728 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two separate checks as follows: (a) \$24,142 payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175; and (b) \$4,586 payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. Both of these payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.4. To summarize, Settling Defendant shall deliver checks made out to the payees and in the amounts set forth below:

Payee	Туре	Amount	Deliver To
ОЕННА	Penalty	\$3,760	OEHHA per Section 5.2.1
Center For Environmental Health	Penalty	\$1,253	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	ASP	\$3,759_	LLG
Lexington Law Group	Fees and Costs	\$24,142	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	Fees and Costs	\$4,586	LLG

6. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1. **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2. **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- 7.1. Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5, this Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, shareholders, successors, assigns and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees") of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about exposure to Lead contained in Covered Products that were sold, offered for sale, shipped, distributed, used or otherwise provided to customers by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.2. Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5, CEH, for itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives and forever discharges its claims against Settling Defendant and Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH individually or in the public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead contained in Covered Products that were sold, offered for sale, shipped, distributed, used or otherwise provided to customers by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.3. Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant and its Defendant Releasees

1	with respect t	o any alleged failure to warn about Lead contained in Covered Products sold,
2	offered for sa	le, shipped, distributed, used or otherwise provided to customers by Settling
3	Defendant aft	er the Effective Date.
4	8. PROVIS	ION OF NOTICE
5	8.1.	When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the
6	notice shall be	e sent by first class and electronic mail to:
7 8		Eric S. Somers Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117
9		esomers@lexlawgroup.com
10	8.2.	When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent
11	Judgment, the	e notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:
12		Christina Manfredi McKinley Cohen & Grigsby, P.C.
13 14		625 Liberty Avenue Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15222-3152 cmckinley@cohenlaw.com
15	8.3.	Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by
16	sending the o	ther Party notice by first class and electronic mail.
17	9. COURT	APPROVAL
18	9.1.	This Consent Judgment shall become effective as a contract upon the date signed
19	by CEH and S	Settling Defendant, whichever is later, provided however, that CEH shall also
20	prepare and fi	le a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall
21	support appro	val of such Motion.
22	9.2.	If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or
23	effect and sha	ll not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any
24	purpose.	
25	10. GOVER	NING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION
26	10.1.	The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of
27	California.	
28		

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

11. ATTORNEYS' FEES

- 11.1. A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs unless the unsuccessful Party has acted with substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016.010, et seq.
- 11.2. Notwithstanding Section 11.1, a Party who prevails in a contested enforcement action brought pursuant to Section 4 may seek an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 against a Party that acted with substantial justification. The Party seeking such an award shall bear the burden of meeting all of the elements of § 1021.5, and this provision shall not be construed as altering any procedural or substantive requirements for obtaining such an award.
- 11.3. Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

12.1. This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent

1	Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof
2	whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.
3	13. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION
4	13.1. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the
5	Consent Judgment.
6	14. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT
7	14.1. Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized
8	by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and
9	execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and to legally bind that Party.
10	15. SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS
11	15.1. This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling
12	Defendant, and their respective divisions, subdivisions, and subsidiaries, and the successors or
13	assigns of any of them.
14	16. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS
15	16.1. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim
16	against an entity that is not Settling Defendant on terms that are different than those contained in
17	this Consent Judgment.
18	17. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS
19	17.1. The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by
20	means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to
21	constitute one document.
22	IT IC CO CTIDIU ATED.
23	IT IS SO STIPULATED:
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 PARED	-11-
	11

CONSENT JUDGMENT – LUCKY VITAMIN, LLC – CASE NO. CIV 1900778

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

	Dated: 9 3., 2019	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
2		A D D C
3		Mekel
4		
5		Michael Green
6		Printed Name
7		NEO.
8		Title
9	Dated:, 2019	DEFENDANT LUCKY VITAMIN, LLC
10		
11		
12		· ·
13		Printed Name
14		1 Timed Paine
15		
16		Title
17		
18	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND	DECREED:
19		
20	Dated:, 2019	
21	Dated:, 2019	Judge of the Superior Court of California
22		
23 24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT HIDOMENT LUCKS	-12- Y VITAMIN, LLC – CASE NO. CIV 1900778
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – LUCK	I VIIAMIN, LLC — CASE NO. CIV 1900//8

1	Dated:	, 2019	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
2			
3			
4			
5			Printed Name
6			1 mice ivanic
7			
8			Title
9	Dated: September 20	, 2019	DEFENDANT LUCKY VITAMIN, LLC
10			2
11			
12			0 W/K
13			Sam Wolf Printed Name
14			
15			CEO
16			Title
17 18			
19	IT IS SO ORDER	RED, ADJUDGED, A	ND DECREED:
20			
21	Dated:	, 2019	
22			Judge of the Superior Court of California
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			_12_
UMENT PREPARED			-12-