1	Lucas Novak (SBN 257484)	
2	LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217	
3	Los Angeles, CA 90069	
4	Telephone: (323) 337-9015 Email: lucas.nvk@gmail.com	
5		
6	Attorney for Plaintiff, APS&EE, LLC	
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
8	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
9		
10	APS&EE, LLC, a limited liability company,) CASE NO. 19ST CV20131
11	Plaintiff,) PROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT
12	v.) Judge: Hon. Patricia D. Nieto
13	MSRF, INC., a corporation, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	Dept.: 24 Compl. Filed: June 10, 2019
14	Defendants.	Unlimited Jurisdiction
15) Unlimited Jurisdiction
16		
17)
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	[PROPOSEDLCON	ISENT JUDGEMENT
		1-

1. **RECITALS**

1.1 The Parties

- 1.1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between APS&EE, LLC ("APS&EE") and MSRF, Inc. ("MSRF"). APS&EE and MSRF shall hereinafter collectively be referred to as the "Parties."
- 1.1.2 APS&EE is an organization based in California with an interest in protecting the environment, improving human health and the health of ecosystems, and supporting environmentally sound practices, which includes promoting awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and reducing exposure to hazardous substances found in consumer products.
- **1.1.3** APS&EE alleges that MSRF is a person in the course of doing business as the term is defined in California *Health & Safety Code* section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.2 Allegations

- 1.2.1 APS&EE alleges that MSRF sold ceramic mugs with exterior decorations, such as the "Dog Mom" Mug 7-02014-21047-4, (hereinafter the "Products") in the State of California causing users in California to be exposed to hazardous levels of lead from the Products' exterior decorations without providing "clear and reasonable warnings", in violation of Proposition 65. Lead is potentially subject to Proposition 65 warning requirements because it is listed by the State of California as known to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.
- 1.2.2 On January 22, 2019, APS&EE sent a Sixty-Day Notice of Violation (the "Notice"), along with a Certificate of Merit, to MSRF and the various public enforcement agencies regarding the alleged violation of Proposition 65 with respect to the Products. On June 10, 2019, acting in the public interest, Plaintiff filed the instant action (the "Complaint") in the Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles, alleging violations of Proposition 65.

1.3 No Admissions

MSRF denies all allegations in APS&EE's Notice and Complaint and maintains that the Products have been, and are, in compliance with all laws, and that MSRF has not violated

Proposition 65. This Consent Judgment shall not be construed as an admission of liability by MSRF but to the contrary as a compromise of claims that are expressly contested and denied. However, nothing in this section shall affect the Parties' obligations, duties, and responsibilities under this Consent Judgment.

1.4 Compromise

The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment in order to resolve the controversy described above in a manner consistent with prior Proposition 65 settlements and consent judgments that were entered in the public interest and to avoid prolonged and costly litigation between them.

1.5 Effective Date

The "Effective Date" shall be the date upon which this Consent Judgment is approved and entered by the Court.

2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF</u>

2.1 Reformulation Standard

- 2.1.1 As of the Effective Date, MSRF shall not distribute, supply, sell or offer for sale the Products in California if they contain more than 1.0 microgram of lead based on a wipe sample collected and tested using NIOSH Method 9100 as applied to the area of Product that contains the majority of the Exterior Decorations, unless clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warnings accompany each unit, as described below in Section 2.2. ("Exterior Decorations" is defined as all colored artwork, designs and/or markings on the exterior surface of the Products.)
- 2.1.2 Compliance with this Reformulation Standard can be proven if (a) a Certified Laboratory performed or performs lead testing pursuant to NIOSH Method 9100 on at least six (6) randomly selected units of each Production Unit, and all results do not exceed the lead levels described in Section 2.1.1 ("Production Unit" means, for each unique Exterior Decoration on a mug, Products with a common Exterior Decoration that are produced, on behalf of MSRF, at the same manufacturing facility in a single lot; "Certified Laboratory" means the laboratory meets the requirements of 27 CCR Section 25900(a)(3): a laboratory certified by the State of California or accredited by the State of California, a federal agency, the National

Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program or similar nationally recognized accrediting organization to perform the particular method of detection and analysis in question.); and (b) certification from the manufacturer that its manufacturing process for a particular Exterior Decoration did not change during the manufacture of a Production Unit. A manufacturing process change will be deemed to have occurred if there is a material change in: the glaze, print, decorating materials (or in the supplier of those materials), type of fuel used to fire the kiln, manufacturing site, firing temperatures, cycles, settings and procedures.

2.1.3 If the Products do not meet this Reformulation Standard, then clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warnings must accompany each unit, as described below in Section 2.2.

2.2 Proposition 65 Warnings

2.2.1 Whenever a clear and reasonable warning is required under Section 2.1, MSRF shall comply with 27 Cal. Code Regs. § 25601, et seq. (operative Aug. 30, 2018) and use a warning with the capitalized and emboldened wording substantially similar to the following:

WARNING: This product can expose you to lead, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

Or, if the warning is placed directly on the product or its immediate packaging:

WARNING: Cancer and Reproductive Harm-<u>www.P65Warnings.ca.gov</u>.

If it has reason to believe the Products contain additional chemicals listed under Proposition 65, then MSRF may use "chemicals including lead" in place of "lead". The warning shall be accompanied by a symbol consisting of a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a bold black outline. Where the label for the product is not printed using the color yellow, the symbol may be printed in black and white. The symbol shall be placed to the left of the text of the warning, in a size no smaller than the height of the word "WARNING".

2.2.2 Each unit shall carry said warning directly on each unit or its label or package, with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements or designs as to [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGEMENT

render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary consumer prior to sale.

2

3. **PAYMENTS**

3

1

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Civil Penalty Pursuant To Proposition 65 3.1

In settlement of all claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, MSRF shall pay a total civil penalty of two thousand dollars (\$2,000.00) to be apportioned in accordance with *Health* and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with 75% (\$1,500.00) for State of California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining 25% (\$500.00) for APS&EE.

MSRF shall issue two (2) checks for the civil penalty: (1) a check or money order made payable to "OEHHA" in the amount of \$1,500.00; and (2) a check or money order made payable to "Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak" in the amount of \$500.00. MSRF shall remit the payments within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date and after receipt of relevant tax payer information, to:

Lucas T. Novak, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069

3.2 Reimbursement Of APS&EE's Fees And Costs

MSRF shall reimburse APS&EE's reasonable experts' and attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting the instant action, for all work performed through execution and approval of this Consent Judgment. Accordingly, MSRF shall issue a check or money order made payable to "Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak" in the amount of twenty thousand five hundred dollars (\$20,500.00). MSRF shall remit the payment within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date, to:

Lucas T. Novak, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069

4. <u>RELEASES</u>

4.1 APS&EE's Release Of MSRF

APS&EE, acting in its individual capacity, and in the public interest, in consideration of the promises and monetary payments contained herein, hereby releases MSRF, its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated companies under common ownership or control, shareholders, directors, members, officers, employees, attorneys, successors and assignees, as well as its downstream distributors, customers and retailers (including Big Lots Stores, Inc.) and all other entities to which MSRF directly or indirectly distributes or sells Products, including but not limited to wholesalers, customers, franchisees, licensors, and licensees (collectively, "Released Parties"), from any alleged Proposition 65 violation claims asserted in APS&EE's Notice regarding exposure to lead from the Products distributed, sold or supplied by MSRF in California before and up to the Effective Date. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by MSRF and Released Parties with respect to alleged failure to warn about lead in Products manufactured, distributed, sold, or supplied by MSRF after the Effective Date.

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, APS&EE, for itself, its past and current representatives, agents, successors, and assigns, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims and waives all rights to institute any form of legal action against MSRF and Released Parties arising from any violation of Proposition 65 that has been or could have been asserted by APS&EE regarding exposure to lead from the Products distributed, sold or supplied by MSRF prior to the Effective Date.

4.2 MSRF's Release Of APS&EE

MSRF, its parents, subsidiaries, shareholders, directors, members, officers, employees, attorneys, successors and assignees, by this Consent Judgment, waives all rights to institute any form of legal action against APS&EE, its shareholders, directors, members, officers, employees, attorneys, experts, successors and assignees for actions or statements made or undertaken in relation to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 regarding a failure to warn about exposure to

lead from the Products distributed, sold or supplied by MSRF prior to the Effective Date, whether in the course of investigating claims or seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against MSRF in relation to the claims in this matter. If any Released Party should institute any such action, then APS&EE's release of said Released Party in this Agreement shall be rendered void and unenforceable.

4.3 Waiver Of Unknown Claims

Each of the Parties acknowledges that it is familiar with Section 1542 of California Civil Code which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

Each of the Parties waives and relinquishes any right or benefit it has or may have under Section 1542 of California Civil Code or any similar provision under the statutory or non-statutory law of any other jurisdiction to the full extent that it may lawfully waive all such rights and benefits as to the subject matter of the Consent Judgment. The Parties acknowledge that each may subsequently discover facts in addition to, or different from, those that it believes to be true with respect to the claims released herein. The Parties agree that this Consent Judgment and the releases contained herein shall be and remain effective in all respects notwithstanding the discovery of such additional or different facts.

5. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by all Parties, APS&EE shall file a noticed Motion for Approval and Entry of Consent Judgment in the above-entitled Court. This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court. It is the intention of the Parties that the Court approve this Consent Judgment, and in furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties and their respective counsel agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement in a timely manner, including cooperating on drafting and

filing any papers in support of the required motion for judicial approval.

If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there is a material breach of this Section 5.

6. **SEVERABILITY**

Should any part or provision of this Consent Judgment for any reason be declared by a Court to be invalid, void or unenforceable, the remaining portions and provisions shall continue in full force and effect.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

8. NOTICE

TO MSRF:

David Reich

MSRF, Inc.

3319 N. Elston Ave.

Lauren Michals, Esq.

Nixon Peabody LLP One Embarcadero Ctr

San Francisco, CA 94111

Chicago, IL 60618

With a copy to:

32nd Floor

All correspondence and notice required to be provided under this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and delivered personally or sent by first class, overnight or certified mail addressed as follows:

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

TO APS&EE:

Lucas Novak, Esq. Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069

9. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related hereto, if any, are hereby merged herein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those expressly referred to in the Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party hereto. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless in executed in writing by the Party to be bound hereby. No waiver of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

10. <u>RETENTION OF JURISDICTION</u>

This court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce and/or modify the Consent Judgment pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 664.6 and Proposition 65.

11 <u>COUNTERPARTS</u>

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute the same document. Execution and delivery of this Consent Judgment by e-mail, facsimile, or other electronic means shall constitute legal and binding execution and delivery. Any photocopy of the executed Consent Judgment shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

24 || \\

//

//

//

//

\\

26 |

28 ||

1	12. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>		
2	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their		
3	respective Parties. Each Party has read, understood, and agrees to all of the terms and conditions		
4	of this Consent Judgment. Each Party warrants to the other that it is free to enter into this		
5	Consent Judgment and is not subject to any conflicting obligation that will or might prevent or		
6	interfere with the execution or performance of this Consent Judgment by said Party.		
7			
8	AGREED TO:		
9	Date: 7/26/2019 By: 21/12/92		
10	By: Meggi		
11	Authorized Representative of APS&EF, LLC		
12			
13	AGREED TO:		
14	Date: 7/26/2019		
15	By: () //2 /		
16	Authorized Representative of MSRF, INC.		
17			
18			
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
20	Dated:		
21	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			